Electronically Filed
5/16/2022 2:14 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

BENJAMIN B. CHILDS, ESQ.
[redacted]
p.m.

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

LUBA GONZALEZ kna LUBA SNOW
Plaintiff

vs.

ENRIQUE IVAN VELAZQUEZ-GONZALEZ
Defendant

Case # D-18-575860-D
Dept # P

NOTICE OF APPEAL

==========

Notice is hereby given that Plaintiff LUBA GONZALEZ kna LUBA SNOW hereby appeals to the Supreme Court of Nevada from the following Orders and Judgments.

ORDER AFTER HEARING filed February 8, 2022

ORDER AFTER MARCH 30, 2022 HEARING filed April 28, 2022

ORDER AWARDING ATTORNEY'S FEE AND COSTS TO DEFENDANT, ENRIQUE IVAN VELAZQUEZ-GONZALEZ filed April 27, 2022

/s/ Benjamin B. Childs, Sr.
BENJAMIN B. CHILDS, Sr. ESQ.
NEVADA BAR # 3946
Attorney for Plaintiff/ Appellant LUBA SNOW

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that on May 16, 2022, I electronically filed this NOTICE OF APPEAL and it was eserved through the Eighth Judicial District Court electronic filing system to opposing counsel when filed. Electronic service is in lieu of mailing.

/s/ Benjamin B. Childs, Sr.
BENJAMIN B. CHILDS, Sr. ESQ.
NEVADA BAR # 3946

Docket 84759 Document 2022-16652

Electronically Filed
5/16/2022 2:14 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

BENJAMIN B. CHILDS, ESQ.
[redacted]

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

LUBA GONZALEZ kna LUBA SNOW Plaintiff vs. ENRIQUE IVAN VELAZQUEZ-GONZALEZ Defendant	Case # D-18-575860-D Dept # P CASE APPEAL STATEMENT

==========

1. NAME OF APPELLANTS FILING THIS CASE APPEAL STATEMENT.

LUBA GONZALEZ kna LUBA SNOW

2. IDENTIFY JUDGE ISSUING THE DECISION APPEALED FROM :

Eighth Judicial District Court Judge Mary Perry

3. IDENTIFY ALL PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDINGS IN THE DISTRICT COURT :

Plaintiff LUBA GONZALEZ kna LUBA SNOW
Defendant ENRIQUE IVAN VELAZQUEZ-GONZALEZ

4. SET FORTH THE NAME, LAW FIRM, ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE NUMBER OF ALL COUNSEL ON APPEAL AND IDENTIFY THE PARTY WHOM THEY REPRESENT

BENJAMIN B. CHILDS of Benjamin B. Childs, Ltd. [redacted] Las [redacted] for Plaintiff/Appellant. Admitted to practice in Nevada.

MELVIN R. GRIMES of Roberts Stoffell Family Law Group [redacted] Las [redacted] VELAZQUEZ-GONZALEZ. Admitted to practice in Nevada.

6. INDICATE WHETHER APPELLANT WAS REPRESENTED BY APPOINTED OR RETAINED COUNSEL IN THE DISTRICT COURT :

Appellant was represented by retained counsel in the District Court.

7. INDICATE WHETHER APPELLANT IS REPRESENTED BY APPOINTED OR RETAINED COUNSEL ON APPEAL :

Appellant is represented by retained counsel on appeal.

8. INDICATE WHETHER APPELLANT WAS GRANTED LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS, AND THE DATE OF ENTRY OF THE DISTRICT COURT ORDER GRANTING SUCH LEAVE :

Appellant has not been granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis.

9. INDICATE THE DATE THE PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED IN DISTRICT COURT:

August 20, 2018

10. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE NATURE OF THE ACTION AND RESULT IN THE DISTRICT COURT, INCLUDING THE TYPE OF JUDGMENT OR ORDER BEING APPEALED AND THE RELIEF GRANTED BY THE DISTRICT COURT

Divorce. Stipulated Decree of Divorce was filed December 8, 2021. The Court signed an order on February 8, 2022 which was inconsistent with the ruling made from the bench as it omitted the stipulation entered on the record by the parties to attend family therapy for six months. The Court then denied a MOTION TO AMEND OR MAKE ADDITIONAL FINDINGS OF FACTS [NRCP 52(b)] AND/OR TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT [NRCP 59(e)] AND/OR CORRECT CLERICAL ERROR [NRCP 60(a) AND (b)], and, in a separate written order, imposed sanctions on Appellant of $1,600.

11. PREVIOUS APPEAL OR WRIT PETITION

None.

12. DOES THE CASE INVOLVE CHILD CUSTODY OR VISITATION?

No.

13. IN APPELLANT'S OPINION, CAN THE CASE BE SETTLED?

Yes.

/s/ Benjamin B. Childs, Sr.
BENJAMIN B. CHILDS, Sr. ESQ.
NEVADA BAR # 3946
Attorney for Plaintiff/Appellant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that on May 16, 2022, I electronically filed this CASE APPEAL STATEMENT and it was eserved through the Eighth Judicial District Court electronic filing system to opposing counsel when filed. Electronic service is in lieu of mailing.

/s/ Benjamin B. Childs, Sr.
BENJAMIN B. CHILDS, Sr. ESQ.
NEVADA BAR # 3946

Electronically Filed
5/18/2022 1:16 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

BENJAMIN B. CHILDS, ESQ.
[redacted]

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

LUBA GONZALEZ kna LUBA SNOW
Plaintiff

vs.

ENRIQUE IVAN VELAZQUEZ-GONZALEZ
Defendant

Case # D-18-575860-D
Dept # P

==========

NOTICE OF POSTING APPEAL BOND

Plaintiff posted the Appeal Cost Bond [NRAP 7] on May 17, 2022. The receipt is attached.

/s/ Benjamin B. Childs, Sr.
BENJAMIN B. CHILDS, Sr. ESQ.
NEVADA BAR # 3946
Attorney for Plaintiff/ Appellant LUBA SNOW

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that on May 18, 2022, I electronically filed this NOTICE OF POSTING APPEAL BOND and it was eserved through the Eighth Judicial District Court electronic filing system to opposing counsel when filed. Electronic service is in lieu of mailing.

/s/ Benjamin B. Childs, Sr.
BENJAMIN B. CHILDS, Sr. ESQ.
NEVADA BAR # 3946

OFFICIAL RECEIPT

District Court Clerk of the Court [redacted]

Payor
Benjamin B. Childs, Ltd.

Receipt No.
2022-28717-CCCLK

Transaction Date
05/17/2022

Description	Amount Paid
On Behalf Of Gonzalez, Luba	
D-18-575860-D	
Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff vs. Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.	
Registry/Trust Account-- FM Registry	
Trust Deposit	500.00
SUBTOTAL	**500.00**

PAYMENT TOTAL **500.00**

Check (Ref #13856) Tendered	500.00
Total Tendered	**500.00**
Change	0.00

05/17/2022	Cashier	Audit
03:00 PM	Station RJCC1	38140013

OFFICIAL RECEIPT

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-18-575860-D

Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff	§	Location:	**Department P**
vs.	§	Judicial Officer:	**Perry, Mary**
Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.	§	Filed on:	**08/20/2018**
	§		

CASE INFORMATION

Statistical Closures
02/08/2022 Settled/Withdrawn With Judicial Conference or Hearing
12/08/2021 Judgment Reached (Bench Trial)

Case Type: **Divorce - Complaint**
Subtype: **Complaint Subject Minor(s)**

Case Status: **02/09/2022 Reopened**

Case Flags: **Order After Hearing Required**
Proper Person Mail Returned
Proper Person Documents Mailed
Appealed to Supreme Court

DATE — CASE ASSIGNMENT

Current Case Assignment

Case Number	D-18-575860-D
Court	Department P
Date Assigned	01/12/2021
Judicial Officer	Perry, Mary

PARTY INFORMATION

Plaintiff	**Gonzalez, Luba**	**Childs, Benjamin B., ESQ**
	[redacted]	
Defendant	**V ue Ivan**	**Grimes, Melvin**
	[redacted]	
		Lozano, Dawn M *Retained*
		[redacted]
Subject Minor	**Gonzalez,** [redacted]	
Counter Claimant	**V ue Ivan**	**Grimes, Melvin**
	[redacted]	
		Lozano, Dawn M *Retained*
		[redacted]
Counter	**Gonzalez, Luba**	**Childs, Benjamin B., ESQ**
	[redacted]	

DATE — EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT

EVENTS

05/18/2022 Notice of Hearing
[339] Notice of Hearing

05/18/2022 Notice of Posting of Cost Bond

Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[338] NOTICE OF POSTING APPEAL BOND

05/18/2022 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[337] Motion/Opposition Fee Information Sheet

05/18/2022 Motion to Stay
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[336] Motion for Stay

05/17/2022 Reply
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[335] REPLY TO OBJECTION TO DECLARATION IN LIEU OF AFFIDAVIT OF PREJUDICE [NRS 1.235]

05/16/2022 Objection
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[334] Defendant's Objection to Plaintiffs Improper Request to Recuse Judge Perry.

05/16/2022 Certificate of Service
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[333] CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE OF DECLARATION IN LIEU OF AFFIDAVIT OF PREJUDICE [NRS 1.2 (4)5], with Exhibits

05/16/2022 Notice of Appeal
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[332] NOTICE OF APPEAL

05/16/2022 Case Appeal Statement
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[331] CASE APPEAL STATEMENT

05/12/2022 Declaration
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[330] DECLARATION IN LIEU OF AFFIDAVIT OF PREJUDICE [NRS 1.235]

05/11/2022 Declaration
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[329] DECLARATION OF LUBA SNOW IN SUPPORT OF REPLY TO OPPOSITION AND OPPOSITION TO COUNTERMOTION

05/11/2022 Reply
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[328] REPLY AND OPPOSITION TO COUNTERMOTION

05/05/2022 Exhibits
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[327] Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Objection to Claim for Exemption from Execution

05/05/2022 Objection
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[326] Defendant's Objection to Claim for Exemption from Execution

05/04/2022 Claim
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[325] AMENDED CLAIM OF EXEMPTION FROM EXECUTION

05/03/2022 Claim
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[324] CLAIM OF EXEMPTION FROM EXECUTION

04/29/2022 Notice of Entry of Order
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[323] Notice of Entry of Order Awarding Attorney's Fee's and Cost's

04/29/2022 Financial Disclosure Form
Filed by: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[322] Financial Disclosure Form

04/28/2022 Notice of Entry of Order
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[321] Notice of Entry of Order After March 30, 2022 Hearing

04/28/2022 Order
[320] Order after March 30, 2022 Hearing

04/27/2022 Order
[319] Order Awading Attorney's Fees's and Costs

04/22/2022 Opposition and Countermotion
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[318] Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Amend or Make Additional Findings of Fact and/or for a New Trial and/or to Alter or Amend Judgement and/or Correct Clerical Error and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs

04/18/2022 Notice of Entry of Order
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[317] Notice of Entry of Order after Hearing

04/15/2022 Order
[316] Order (December 22, 2021 Hearing)

04/12/2022 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[315] Family Court Motion / Opposition Fee Information Sheet (NRS 19.0312)

04/12/2022 Motion to Amend
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[314] Motion to Amend or Make Additional Findings of Facts [NRCP 52(b)] and/or for a New Trial [NRCP 59 (a) and/or to Alter or Amend Judgement [NRCP 59(e)] and/or Correct Clerical Error [NRCP 60(a) and (b)]

04/08/2022 Reply
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[313] Defendants Reply to Plaintiff's Objection to Memorandum of Fees and Costs.

04/08/2022 Objection
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[312] Objection to Memorandum of Fees and Costs

04/07/2022 Certificate of Service
Filed by: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[311] Certificate of Service

04/07/2022 Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements
Filed by: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[310] Brunzell Affidavit and Affidavit of Fees and Costs

04/06/2022 Transcript of Proceedings
[309] March 30, 2022

04/06/2022 Final Billing of Transcript
[308] March 30, 2022

03/31/2022 Estimate of Transcript
[307] March 30, 2022

03/07/2022 Financial Disclosure Form
[306]

03/07/2022

Exhibits
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[305] EXHIBITS TO MOTION TO AMEND OR MAKE ADDITIONAL FINDINGS OF FACTS [NRCP 52(b)] AND/OR TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT [NRCP 59(e)] AND/OR CORRECT CLERICAL ERROR [NRCP 60(a) AND (b)] and REPLY AND OPPOSITION TO COUNTERMOTION

03/07/2022 Reply to Opposition
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[304] Reply To Opposition To Motion To Amend Or Make Additional Findings Of Facts [Nrcp 52(B)] And/Or To Alter Or Amend Judgment [Nrcp 59(E)] And/Or Correct Clerical Error [Nrcp 60(A) And (B)] And Opposition To Countermotion

02/23/2022 Opposition and Countermotion
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
Party 2: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[303] Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Amend or Make Additional Findings of Fact and/or Amend Judgement and/or Correct Clerical Error and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees

02/23/2022 Transcript of Proceedings
[302] October 11, 2021

02/23/2022 Transcript of Proceedings
[301] October 12, 2021

02/09/2022 Notice of Hearing
[300] Notice of Hearing

02/09/2022 Notice of Entry of Order
[299] Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing

02/09/2022 Exhibits
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[298] EXHIBITS TO MOTION TO AMEND OR MAKE ADDITIONAL FINDINGS OF FACTS [NRCP 52(b)] AND/OR TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT [NRCP 59(e)] AND/OR CORRECT CLERICAL ERROR [NRCP 60(a) AND (b)]

[redacted]
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[297] Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet

02/09/2022 Motion to Amend Judgment
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[296] Motion to Amend or Make Additional Findings of Facts [Nrcp 52(B)] and/or to Alter or Amend Judgment [Nrcp 59(E)] and/or Correct Clerical Error [Nrcp 60(A) And (B)]

02/08/2022 Order
[295] Order (December 7, 2021 Hearing)

01/05/2022 Transcript of Proceedings
[294] December 22, 2021

12/30/2021 Estimate of Transcript
[293] October 11, 2021; October 12, 2021

12/28/2021 Estimate of Transcript
[292] DECEMBER 22, 2021

12/22/2021 Exhibits
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[291] EXHIBIT 2 TO PLAINTIFF S LEGAL BRIEF

12/21/2021 Notice of Hearing
[290] Notice of Hearing

12/21/2021 Exhibits

Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[289] EXHIBIT 1 TO PLAINTIFF S LEGAL BRIEF

12/21/2021 Brief
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[288] PLAINTIFF'S BRIEF

12/21/2021 Notice of Appearance
Party: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[287] NOTICE OF APPEARANCE

12/21/2021 Exhibits
[286] Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Motion for an Order to Show Cause

12/20/2021 Order to Show Cause
[284] Order to Show Cause

12/17/2021 Motion to Set Aside
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[285] Motion to Set Aside Order Granting Ex Parte Temporary Restraining Order and Setting Briefing Scheduling for Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Injunction

12/17/2021 Ex Parte Application
[283] Ex Application for an Order to Show Cause

12/17/2021 Motion
[282] Defendant's Motion for an Order to Show Cause

12/17/2021 Certificate
[281] CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

12/17/2021 Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document
[280] Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document

12/17/2021 Exhibits
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[279] EXHS TO MOTION TO SET ASIDE ORDER GRANTING EX PARTE TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

12/17/2021 Motion to Set Aside
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[278] MOTION TO SET ASIDE ORDER GRANTING EX PARTE TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

12/16/2021 Notice of Entry of Order
[277] Notice of Entry of Order

12/16/2021 Certificate of Service
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[276] Certificate of Service

12/16/2021 Notice
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[275] Notice of Bankruptcy Filing

12/16/2021 Order
[274] Temporary Restraining Order

12/15/2021 Ex Parte Application
Filed by: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[273] Ex Parte Application For An Order For A Temporary Restraining Order Pursuant To Edcr 5.520

12/15/2021 Notice of Withdrawal
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[272] Notice of Withdrawal of Attorney of Record

12/10/2021	Notice of Entry of Decree *[271] Notice of Entry of Decree*
12/09/2021	Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan *[270] Notice of Entry of Decree*
12/08/2021	Decree of Divorce *[269] Decree of Divorce*
11/23/2021	Affidavit in Support Filed by: Claimant Lozano, Dawm M, ESQ *[268] Affidavit in Support of Recordation of Order Reduced to Judgment on 11-16-21*
11/23/2021	Affidavit of Resident Witness *[267] Affidavit of resident Witness*
11/22/2021	Notice of Appearance Party: Claimant Lozano, Dawm M, ESQ *[266] Notice of Appearance*
11/16/2021	Notice of Entry of Order *[265] Notice of Entry of Order*
11/16/2021	Order *[264] Order (October 15, 2021 Hearing)*
11/05/2021	Brief Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba *[263] Plaintiff's Brief*
11/05/2021	Brief Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan *[262] Defendant's Status Check Brief*
10/08/2021	Pre-trial Memorandum Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba *[260] Plaintiff's 1st Supplemental Pre-Trial Memorandum*
10/08/2021	Reply to Counterclaim Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba *[259] Reply to Amemded Counterclaim*
10/07/2021	Objection Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan *[258] Defendant's Objection to Plaintiff's Untimely Proposed Trial Exhibits*
10/04/2021	Pre-trial Memorandum *[257] Defendant's Pre-Trial Memorandum*
09/30/2021	Notice of Entry of Order *[256] Notice of Entry of Order*
09/24/2021	Order *[255] Order (Granting Attorney's Lien)*
09/24/2021	Objection Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan *[253] Objection to Plaintiff's Disclosures*
09/23/2021	Subpoena Electronically Issued *[254] Subpoena - Domestic*
09/13/2021	Order

[252] Amended Order (February 19, 2020)

09/10/2021 Notice of Hearing
[251] Notice of Hearing

09/09/2021 Motion
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[250] Renewed Motion to Reduce Attorney's Lien to Judgment

08/10/2021 Notice
[249] Notice of Change of Firm and Change of Address and Contact Information

08/06/2021 Notice of Entry of Order
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[248] Notice of Entry of Order

08/05/2021 Order
[247] Order (July 9, 2021 Hearing)

07/09/2021 Reply to Counterclaim
[246] Reply To Counterclaim

07/09/2021 Notice
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[245] Notice of Change of Law Firm and Change of Address and Contact Information

07/08/2021 Brief
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[244] Defendant s Pre-trial Brief for the July 9, 2021 Evidentiary Hearing on Choice of School for the Minor Child

07/07/2021 Brief
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[243] Plaintiff s Pretrial Brief for July 9, 2021 Evidentiary Hearing on Choice of School for the Minor Child

06/18/2021 Notice of Entry of Order
[242] Notice of Entry of Order

06/16/2021 Order
[241] Order (April 28, 2021 Hearing

06/10/2021 Ex Parte
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[240] Ex Parte Motion for a Temporary Order to Stop Defendant from Interfering with the Minor Child's School Application Process and to Sign Any Documents Needed to Secure the Minor Child's Position with Said Schools

04/28/2021 Amended Answer
Filed by: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[239] Defendant's Amended Answer and Counterclaim

04/28/2021 Amended Answer
[238]

04/19/2021 Subpoena
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[237] Subpoena Domestic

04/06/2021 Notice of Entry of Order
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[236] Notice of Entry of Order

04/06/2021 Order
[235] 20210225 Order from 02.17.21 Hearing 2

04/01/2021 Certificate of Service

Filed by: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[234] Certificate of Service

03/03/2021 Financial Disclosure Form
Filed by: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[233] General Financial Disclosure Form

02/10/2021 Affidavit of Service
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[232] Affidavit of Service

02/10/2021 Subpoena
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[231] Subpoena Domestic

02/09/2021 Notice of Appearance
Party: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[230] Notice of Appearance of Counsel

02/06/2021 Supplemental
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[229] SUPP 1 to Amended Motion and Notice of Motion for an Order to Show Cause Regarding Contempt

01/31/2021 Certificate of Service
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[228] Certificate of Service

01/31/2021 Exhibits
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[227] Amended Exhibit Appendix to Luba's Reply to Defendant's Omnibus Supp and obj to Exhibit L and Luba's Omnibus Sup One

01/12/2021 Certificate of Mailing
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[226] Certificate of Mailing

01/12/2021 Exhibits
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[225] Exhibit Appendix for Defendant s Opposition to Plaintiff s Amended Motion and Notice of Motion for an Order to Show Cause Regarding Contempt and Countermotion to have Plaintiff Declared a Vexatious Litigant, for Attorney s Fees, and for Exclusive Possession on the Marital Residence.

01/12/2021 Opposition and Countermotion
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[224] Defendant s Opposition to Plaintiff s Amended Motion and Notice of Motion for an Order to Show Cause Regarding Contempt and Countermotion to have Plaintiff Declared a Vexatious Litigant, for Attorney s Fees, and for Exclusive Possession on the Marital Residence.

01/12/2021 Notice of Department Reassignment
[223] Amended

01/12/2021 Notice of Department Reassignment
[222]

01/11/2021 Peremptory Challenge
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[221] Peremptory Challenge

01/07/2021 Schedule of Arrearages
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[220] Schedule of Arrearages

01/04/2021 Administrative Reassignment - Judicial Officer Change
Judicial Reassignment to Judge Sunny Bailey

01/03/2021 Certificate

[219] Certificate of Service by Electronic Means

01/03/2021 Exhibits
[218] Exhibit Appendix to Luba's Reply to Defendant's Omnibus Supplemental and Objection to Exhibit L and Luba's Omnibus Supplemental One

01/03/2021 Reply
[217] Luba's Reply to Defendant's Omnibus Supplemental and Objection to Exhibit L and Luba's Onmibus Supplemental One

12/30/2020 Certificate of Mailing
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[216] Certificate of Mailing

12/30/2020 Ex Parte Motion
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[215] Ex Parte Motion to Consolidate Hearings

12/29/2020 Notice of Hearing
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[214] Notice of Hearing

12/28/2020 Ex Parte Application for Order
[213] Amended Ex Parte Application for an Order to Show Cause Why Defendant Should not be Held in Contempt for Failure to Abide the Orders of this Court

12/28/2020 Exhibits
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[212] Amended Exhibit for Motion and Notice of Motion for an Order to Show Cause Regarding Contempt

12/28/2020 Ex Parte Application
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[211] Ex Parte Application for an Order to Show Cause Why Defendant Should Not Be Held in Contempt of Court for Failure to Abide the Orders of this Court

12/28/2020 Amended Motion
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[210] AMENDED MOTION FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE REGARDING CONTMEPT

12/21/2020 Certificate of Mailing
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[209] Certificate of Mailing

12/16/2020 Notice of Entry of Order
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[208] Notice of Entry of Order

12/15/2020 Order
[207] Order from July 27, 2020 Hearing

12/10/2020 Affidavit of Service
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[206] Affidavit of Service

12/08/2020 Subpoena
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[205] Subpoena - Domestic

12/07/2020 Notice of Entry of Order
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[204] Notice of Entry of Order for Return of Child

12/02/2020 Certificate of Mailing
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[203] Certificate of Mailing

12/02/2020 Exhibits
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[202] Exhibit Appendix to Supplement to Defendant s Omnibus Opposition and Reply to Plaintiff s Verified Motion for an Order to Show Cause why Defendant Should not be Held in Contempt of Court and to Revise Protocol for Drop Offs and for Adding Specific Instructions to the Video Call Order, Plaintiff s Ex Parte Motion for Return of Child, Plaintiff s Opposition to Defendant s Emergency Motion to Modify Custody, Plaintiff s Ex Parte Motion for Return of a Child, Luba s Objection to Authenticity and Relevance of Enrique s Exhibits, Plaintiff s Motion for an Order to Show Cause Regarding Contempt, and Plaintiff s Ex Parte Application for an Order to Show Cause

12/02/2020 Supplemental
Filed By: Attorney Grimes, Melvin; Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[201] Supplement to Defendant s Omnibus Opposition and Reply to Plaintiff s Verified Motion for an Order to Show Cause why Defendant Should not be Held in Contempt of Court and to Revise Protocol for Drop Offs and for Adding Specific Instructions to the Video Call Order, Plaintiff s Ex Parte Motion for Return of Child, Plaintiff s Opposition to Defendant s Emergency Motion to Modify Custody, Plaintiff s Ex Parte Motion for Return of a Child, Luba s Objection to Authenticity and Relevance of Enrique s Exhibits, Plaintiff s Motion for an Order to Show Cause Regarding Contempt, and Plaintiff s Ex Parte Application for an Order to Show Cause

12/02/2020 Notice of Rescheduling of Hearing
[200] Notice of Rescheduling of Hearing

11/30/2020 Decision
[199]

11/25/2020 Notice of Change of Address
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[198] Notice of Change of Address

11/23/2020 Certificate of Mailing
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[197] Certificate of Mailing

11/23/2020 Supplemental
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[196] DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF OPPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS EMERGENCY MOTION TO MODIFY CUSTODY

11/23/2020 Certificate of Mailing
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[195] Certificate of Mailing

11/23/2020 Supplement
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[194] Declaration in Support of Verified Plaintiff s Motion For an Order to Show Cause Why Defendant Should Not Be Held in Contempt of Court and for Adding Specific Instructions to the Video Call Order

11/23/2020 Exhibits
[193] EXHS for Reply to Omnibus Opposition/motion to strike

11/23/2020 Certificate of Mailing
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[192] Certificate of Mailing

11/23/2020 Reply to Opposition
[191] Reply to Defendant's Omnibus Oppostion/motion to strike

11/20/2020 Certificate of Service
Filed by: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[190] Certificate of Service

11/20/2020 Exhibits
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[189] Exhibit Appendix for Defendant s Omnibus Opposition and Reply to Plaintiff s Verified Motion for an Order to Show Cause why Defendant Should not be Held in Contempt of Court and to Revise Protocol for Drop

Offs and for Adding Specific Instructions to the Video Call Order, Plaintiff s Ex Parte Motion for Return of Child, Plaintiff s Opposition to Defendant s Emergency Motion to Modify Custody, Plaintiff s Ex Parte Motion for Return of a Child, Luba s Objection to Authenticity and Relevance of Enrique s Exhibits, Plaintiff s Motion for an Order to Show Cause Regarding Contempt, and Plaintiff s Ex Parte Application for an Order to Show Cause

11/20/2020 Opposition
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[188] Defendant s Omnibus Opposition and Reply to Plaintiff s Verified Motion for an Order to Show Cause why Defendant Should not be Held in Contempt of Court and to Revise Protocol for Drop Offs and for Adding Specific Instructions to the Video Call Order, Plaintiff s Ex Parte Motion for Return of Child, Plaintiff s Opposition to Defendant s Emergency Motion to Modify Custody, Plaintiff s Ex Parte Motion for Return of a Child, Luba s Objection to Authenticity and Relevance of Enrique s Exhibits, Plaintiff s Motion for an Order to Show Cause Regarding Contempt, and Plaintiff s Ex Parte Application for an Order to Show Cause

11/20/2020 Certificate of Mailing
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[187] Certificate of Mailing

11/20/2020 Opposition
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[186] Plaintiff's Opposition to Ex Parte Motion to Concolidate Hearings

11/20/2020 Ex Parte Motion
Filed by: Attorney Grimes, Melvin; Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[185] Ex Parte Motion to Consolidate Hearings

11/19/2020 Exhibits
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[184] EXHS SUPPLEMENTAL TO MOTION FOR 23 NOV HEARING

11/19/2020 Certificate of Mailing
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[183] CERT MAIL SUPPLEMENTAL TO MOTION FOR 23 NOV HEARING

11/19/2020 Supplemental
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[182] SUPPLEMENTAL TO MOTION FOR 23 NOV HEARING

11/17/2020 Ex Parte Application for Order
[181] Ex Parte Application for Order to Show Cause

11/17/2020 Notice of Hearing
[180] Notice of Hearing

11/17/2020 Notice of Hearing
[179] Notice of hearing

11/17/2020 Order
[178] Order for Return of a Child

11/16/2020 Motion for Order to Show Cause
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[177] AMENDED MOTION FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

11/16/2020 Certificate of Mailing
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[176] CERT OF MAILING FOR MOTION TO ENFORCE CUSTODY

11/16/2020 Exhibits
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[175] EXHS FOR MOTION FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE...ENFORCE CHILD CUSTODY

11/16/2020 Motion to Enforce
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba

[174] Motion and Notice of Motion for an Order to Show Cause Regarding Contempt

11/16/2020 Notice
[173] NOTICE OF RELATED CASES

11/16/2020 Certificate of Mailing
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[172] CERTIFICATE OF MAILING FOR OBJECTION TO EVIDENCE

11/16/2020 Exhibits
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[171] Exhibit Appendix to Luba's Objection to Authenticity and Relevance of Enrique's Exhibits A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, & I from Emergency Motion to Modify Custody

11/16/2020 Objection
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[170] OBJECTION TO EVIDENCE

11/12/2020 Supplemental
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[169] SUPPLEMENTAL TO EXPTMT FOR RETURN OF CHILD

11/08/2020 Exhibits
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[168] EXHS OPPOSITION EMERGENCY MOTION TO MODIFY CUSTODY

11/08/2020 Exhibits
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[167] EXHS EXPTMT RETURN OF CHILD

11/08/2020 Ex Parte Motion
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[166] Ex Parte Motion for Return of a Child

11/06/2020 Exhibits
[165] EXHIBIT APPENDIX FOR MOTION OST

11/06/2020 Ex Parte Motion
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[164] Ex Parte Motion for an Order Shortening Time

11/05/2020 Opposition
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[163] Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Emargency Motoin to Modify Custody

11/05/2020 Certificate of Mailing
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[162] Certificate of Mailing

11/05/2020 Certificate of Mailing
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[161] Certificate of Mailing

11/05/2020 Notice of Hearing
[160] Notice of Hearing

11/04/2020 Exhibits
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[159] Exhibit Appendix for Defendant's Emergency Motion to Modify Custody

11/03/2020 Motion
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[158] Defendant's Emergency Motion to Modify Custody

10/29/2020 Amended

Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[157] AMENDED SECOND MEMORANDUM FOR EXPARTE RETURN OF CHILD

10/29/2020 Exhibits
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[156] EXHIBIT APPENDIX FOR SECOND MEMORANDUM EXPARTE RETURN CHILD

10/29/2020 Memorandum
[155] SECOND MEMORANDUM FOR EXPARTE MOTION FOR RETURN OF CHILD

10/23/2020 Memorandum
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[154] MEMORANDUM FOR EXPARTE MOTION FOR RETURN OF CHILD

10/20/2020 Notice of Entry of Order
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[153] Notice of Entry of Order

10/19/2020 Exhibits
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[152] Exhibit Appendix to Ex Parte Motion for Return of a Child

10/19/2020 Ex Parte Motion
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[151] Ex Parte Motion for Return of a Child

10/19/2020 Notice of Hearing
[150] Notice of Hearing

10/15/2020 Exhibits
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[149] EXHIBIT APPENDIX TO MOTION FOR ORDER SHOW CAUSE REVISE DROP OFF PROTOCOL VIDEO CALL SPECIFICS

10/15/2020 Motion for Order to Show Cause
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[148] Pltf's Verified Motion for an Order to Show Cause Why Deft Should Not be Held in Contempt of Court and to Revise Protocol for Drop Offs and for Adding Specific Instruction to the Video Call Order

10/15/2020 Order
[147] Order Granting R. Nathan Gibbs, Esq. Motion to Withdraw As Attorney of Record

10/12/2020 Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document
[146] Clerk's Notice Of Nonconforming Document

10/09/2020 Exhibits
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[145] Exhibit Appendix For Pltf's Verified Motion For An Order To Show Cause Why Deft Should Not Be Held In Contempt Of Court And to Revise Protocol For Drop Offs And For Adding Specific Instructions To The Video Call Order And For An Order From 27 July 2020 Hearing To Modif yTime Share

10/09/2020 Motion
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[144] Pltf's Verified Motion For An Order To Show Cause Why Deft Should Not Be Held In Contempt Of Court And To Revise Protocol For Drop Offs And For Adding Specific Instructions To The Video Call Order And For An Order From 27 July 2020 Hearing To Modify Time Share

10/09/2020 Motion for Order
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
*[143] (**Bundled Filing**) Plaintiff s Verified Motion For an Order to Show Cause Why Defendant Should Not Be Held in Contempt of Court and to Revise Protocol for Drop offs and for Adding Specific Instructions to the Video Call Order and for an Order from 27 July 2020 hearing to Modify Time-Share*

10/05/2020 Brief
[142] Dawn M. Lozano, Esq's., Trial Brief

10/04/2020 Supplemental
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[141] Luba Snow's Supplemental Dispute of Billing Statement Filing as Ordered During the 15 September 2020 Hearing

10/02/2020 Supplemental
[140] Dawn M. Lozano, Esq.'s, Supplemental Billing Statement Filing as Ordered During the September 15, 2020, Hearing

10/02/2020 Stricken Document
*[139] ***STRICKEN PER COURT MINUTES 10/5/20 PRE TRIAL MEMORANDUM****

09/30/2020 Amended Certificate of Mailing
Party: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[138] Amended Certificate of Mailing

09/24/2020 List of Witnesses
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[137] PLAINTIFFS LIST OF WITNESSES

09/23/2020 Certificate of Mailing
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[136] Certificate of Mailing

09/22/2020 Clerk's Notice of Hearing
[135] Clerk's Notice of Hearing

09/21/2020 Motion
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[134] Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record

09/11/2020 Reply to Opposition
Filed by: Attorney Kelleher, John T.; Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[133] Plaintiff's Reply to Opposition to Plaintiff s Renewed Motion for Relief from the February 19, 2020 Hearing Order and Opposition to Counter Motion for Sanctions

09/08/2020 Notice of Hearing
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[132] Notice of Hearing

09/04/2020 Opposition
[131] Defendants Opposition to Plaintiff's Renewed (Third) Motion to Continue Evidentiary Hearing on Adjudication of Attorney's Lien of Dawn Lozano, Esq., and Countermotion for Sanctions

09/04/2020 Exhibits
[130] Exhibits to Opposition to Plaintiff's Renewed Motion for Relief From the February 19, 2020 Hearing Order and Countermotion to Renew Motion to Reduce Attorney's Lien to Judgment and for Sanctions

09/04/2020 Opposition and Countermotion
[129] Opposition to Plaintiff's Renewed Motion for Relief From the February 19, 2020 Hearing Order and Countermotion to Renew Motion to Reduce Attorney's Lien to Judgment and for Sanctions

09/04/2020 Opposition and Countermotion
[128] Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Production of Documents Pursuant to Duly Served Subpoena Duces Tecum and Countermotion for Sanctions

08/31/2020 Ex Parte Application
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[127] Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time for Hearing on Motion to Compel Production of Documents Pursuant to Duly Served Subpoena Duces Tecum

08/31/2020 Ex Parte Application
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[126] Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time for Hearing on Plaintiff s Renewed (Third) Motion to

Continue Evidentiary Hearing on Adjudication of Attorney s Lien of Dawn Lozano, Esq.

08/31/2020 Ex Parte
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[125] Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time for Hearing on Plaintiff s Renewed Motion for Relief from the February 19, 2020 Hearing Order

08/21/2020 Notice of Hearing
[124] Notice of Hearing

08/21/2020 Notice of Hearing
[123] Notice of hearing

08/21/2020 Notice of Hearing
[122] Notice of Hearing

08/20/2020 Certificate
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[121] Certificate of Mailing

08/19/2020 Exhibits
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[120] Exhibits to Plaintiff s Motion to Compel Production of Documents Pursuant to Duly Served Subpoena Duces Tecum

08/19/2020 Motion to Compel
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[119] Plaintiff s Motion to Compel Production of Documents Pursuant to Duly Served Subpoena Duces Tecum

08/19/2020 Exhibits
Filed By: Attorney Kelleher, John T.; Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
*[118] **Missing EXHS atty signature page** Exhibits to Plaintiff s Renewed (Third) Motion to Continue Evidentiary Hearing on Adjudication of Attorney s Lien of Dawn Lozano, Esq.*

08/19/2020 Motion
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[117] Plaintiff s Renewed (Third) Motion to Continue Evidentiary Hearing on Adjudication of Attorney s Lien of Dawn Lozano, Esq.

08/19/2020 Exhibits
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[116] Exhibits to Plaintiff s Renewed Motion for Relief from the February 19, 2020 Hearing Order

08/19/2020 Motion
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[115] Plaintiff s Renewed Motion for Relief from the February 19, 2020 Hearing Order

08/10/2020 Reply
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[114] Reply to Opposition to Plaintiff s Renewed Motion For Relief from the February 19, 2020 Hearing Order and Opposition to Countermotion

08/08/2020 Opposition and Countermotion
[113] Opposition to Plaintiff's Renewed Emotion (sic) for Relief From the February 10, 2020 Hearing Order; for Continuance of July 14, 2020 Attorney's Fees Hearing and Countermotion to Rene Motion to Reduce Attorney's Lien to Judgment

08/07/2020 Certificate of Mailing
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[112] Certificate of Mailing

07/08/2020 Order Setting Evidentiary Hearing
[111] Second Amended Order Setting Evidentiary Hearing

07/07/2020 Ex Parte Application for Order

Party: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[110] Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time for Hearing on Motion and Notice of Motion for Trial Date to be Vacated and Reset to a Later Date

07/06/2020 Notice of Hearing
[109] Notice of hearing

07/02/2020 Exhibits
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[108] Exhibits to Plaintiff s Renewed Motion for Relief From the February 19, 2020 Hearing Order; For Continuance of Attorney s Fees Hearing

07/02/2020 Motion
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[107] Plaintiff s Renewed Motion for Relief From the February 19, 2020 Hearing Order; For Continuance of Attorney s Fees Hearing

06/17/2020 Order Setting Evidentiary Hearing
[106] Amended Order Setting Evidentiary Hearing

05/12/2020 Ex Parte Application
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[105] Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time for Hearing on Motion and Notice of Motion for Trial Date to be Vacated and Reset to a Later Date

05/04/2020 Clerk's Notice of Hearing
[104] Notice of Hearing

05/02/2020 Exhibits
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[103] Exhibits to Plaintiff's Motion for Relief from the February 19, 2020 Hearing Order; For Continuance of Attorney's Fees Hearing

05/02/2020 Motion
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[102] Plaintiff's Motion for Relief from the February 19, 2020 Hearing Order; For Continuance of Attorney's Fees Hearing

04/24/2020 Order Setting Evidentiary Hearing
[101] Amended Order Setting Evidentiary Hearing Re: Attorney's Fees

04/24/2020 Order Setting Evidentiary Hearing
[100] Amended Order Setting Evidentiary Hearing

03/24/2020 Order Setting Evidentiary Hearing
[99] Order Setting Evidentiary Hearing

03/22/2020 Notice of Entry of Order
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[98] Notice of Entry of Order

03/20/2020 Affidavit of Service
[97] Affidavit of Service

03/17/2020 Order
[96] Order from February 19, 2020 Hearing

03/12/2020 Notice of Entry of Order
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[95] Notice of Entree of Order

03/11/2020 Order
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[94] Order

03/09/2020

Affidavit of Service
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[93] Affidavit of Service

03/09/2020 Affidavit of Service
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[92] Affidavit of Service

02/21/2020 Notice of Entry of Order
[90] Notice of Entry of Order

02/19/2020 Order
[91] Case and Non-Jury Trial Management Order

02/19/2020 Acceptance of Service
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[89] Acceptance of Service

02/19/2020 Order
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[88] Order Regarding Russian School

02/18/2020 Opposition
[87] Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Exhibit 1 to Dawn M. Lozano, Esq.'s Reply to Opposition to Motion to Reduce Attorney's Lien to Judgment

02/18/2020 Opposition
[86] Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Exhibit 1 to Dawn M. Lozano, Esq.'s Reply to Opposition to Motion to Reduce Attorney's Lien to Judgment

02/14/2020 Order Shortening Time
[85] Order Shortening Time

02/12/2020 Ex Parte Motion
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[84] Ex Parte Motion for an Order Shortening Time

02/12/2020 Notice of Hearing
[83] Notice of Hearing

02/11/2020 Motion
Filed By: Attorney Kelleher, John T.; Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[82] Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Exhibit 1 to Dawn Lozano, Esq.'s Reply to Opposition to Motion to Reduce Lien to Judgment

02/07/2020 Affidavit of Service
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[81] Affidavit of Service

02/06/2020 Affidavit of Service
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[80] Affidavit of Service

02/04/2020 Notice of Rescheduling of Hearing
[79] Notice of Rescheduling of Hearing

01/29/2020 Reply
[78] Defendant's Reply to Plaintiff s Opposition to Notice of Attorney s Lien and Lien and Motion to Reduce Attorney's Lien to Judgment

01/21/2020 Order Setting Evidentiary Hearing
[76] Order Setting Evidentiary Hearing

01/15/2020 Order to Show Cause
[77] Order to Show Cause

01/15/2020 Notice of Entry of Order
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[75] Notice of Entry of Order

01/14/2020 Ex Parte Application
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[74] Ex Parte Application for an Order to Show Cause Why Defendant Should not be Held in Contempt of Court for Failure to Abide the Orders of This Court

01/03/2020 Subpoena
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[73] Affidavit of Service for Amended Subpoena to Witness

01/03/2020 Subpoena
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[72] Affidavit of Service for Subpoena for Witness

12/31/2019 Opposition
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[71] Opposition to Notice of Attorney's Lien and Lien, and Motion to Reduce Attorney's Lien to Judgment

12/27/2019 Notice of Rescheduling of Hearing
[70] Notice of Rescheduling of Hearing

12/19/2019 Certificate of Service
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[69] Certificate of Service by Electronic Means

12/18/2019 Substitution of Attorney
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[68] Substitution of Attorneys

12/18/2019 Notice of Hearing
[67] Notice of Hearing

12/18/2019 Motion
[66] Motion to Reduce Attorney's Lien to Judgment

12/18/2019 Notice of Attorney Lien
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[65] Notice of Attorney's Lien and Lien

12/18/2019 Certificate of Service
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[64] Certificate of Service

12/17/2019 Subpoena
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[63] Subpoena-Domestic

12/17/2019 Notice of Entry of Order
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[62] Notice of Entry of Order

12/17/2019 Certificate of Mailing
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[61] Certificate of Mailing

12/17/2019 Receipt of Copy
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[60] Receipt of Copy

12/16/2019 Order Shortening Time
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba

[59] Order Shortening Time

12/16/2019 Affidavit of Service
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[58] Affidavit Of Service

12/13/2019 Discovery Commissioners Report and Recommendations
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[57] Discovery Commissioners Report and Recommendations from 11/15/19 Hearing

12/13/2019 Ex Parte Application for Order
Party: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[56] Ex Parte Application for an Order Shortening Time

12/13/2019 Behavior Order
[55] Behavior Order

12/13/2019 Order Setting Evidentiary Hearing
[54] Order Setting Evidentiary Hearing

12/13/2019 Notice of Hearing
[53] Notice of Hearing

12/12/2019 Motion to Withdraw As Counsel
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[52] Motion to Withdraw as Counsel

11/26/2019 Errata
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[50] Eratta to Plaintiff's Amended Pre-Trial Memorandum

11/25/2019 Order
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[51] Order

11/25/2019 Amended
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[49] Amended Schedule of Arrearages

11/25/2019 Brief
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[48] Plaintiff's Trial Briel

11/25/2019 Certificate of Service
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[47] Certificate of Service by Electronic Means

11/25/2019 Schedule of Arrearages
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[46] Schedule of Arrearages

11/22/2019 Amended
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[45] Plaintiff's Amended Pre-Trial Memorandum

11/18/2019 Pre-trial Memorandum
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[44] Defendant's Pre-Trial Memorandum

11/15/2019 Opposition
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[43] Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discovery and For Attorney's Fees and Sanctions

11/11/2019 Receipt of Copy
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba

[42] Receipt of Copy

11/08/2019 Order
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[41] Order Shortening Time

11/07/2019 Ex Parte Application for Order
Party: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[40] Ex Parte Application for an Order Shortening Time

11/07/2019 Receipt of Copy
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[39] Receipt of Copy

11/04/2019 Certificate of Service
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[38] Certificate of Service by Electronic Means

11/02/2019 Notice of Hearing
[37] Notice of Hearing

11/01/2019 Motion
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[36] Motion to Compel Discovery and for Attorney's Fees and Sanctions

10/16/2019 Certificate of Service
Filed by: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[35] Certificate of Service

06/28/2019 Witness List
Filed by: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[34] Defendant's Third Supplemental Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents Pursuant to NRCP 16.2

05/24/2019 Witness List
Filed by: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[33] Defendant's Second Supplemental Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents Pursuant to NRCP 16.2

04/19/2019 Witness List
Filed by: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[32] Defendant's First Supplemental Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents Pursuant to NRCP 16.2

04/09/2019 Witness List
Filed by: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[31] Defendant's Initial Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents Pursuant to NRCP 16.2

03/25/2019 Trial Management Order
[30] Case and Non-Jury Management Order

03/25/2019 Schedule of Arrearages
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[29] Schedule of Arrearages

03/25/2019 Receipt
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[28] Receipt of Trial Exhibits

03/19/2019 Clerk's Notice of Hearing
[27] Clerk's Notice of Hearing

03/19/2019 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet
Filed by: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[26] Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet

03/19/2019 Motion
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan

[25] Motion to Continue Trial Setting Pursuant to EDCR 7.30 and Reopen Discovery Pursuant to EDCR 2.35 (First Request)

03/18/2019 Notice of Appearance
Party: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[24] Notice of Appearance

02/25/2019 Pre-trial Memorandum
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[23] Plaintiff's Pre-Trial Memorandum

02/22/2019 Financial Disclosure Form
Filed by: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[22] Financial Disclosure Form

02/22/2019 Notice of Change of Address
Filed By: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[21] Notice of Change of Address

02/08/2019 Notice of Entry of Order
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[20] Notice of Entry of Order

02/06/2019 Order
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[19] Order

01/24/2019 Notice
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[18] Notice of Completion

12/20/2018 Request for Issuance of Joint Preliminary Injunction
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[17] Request for Issuance of joint Preliminary Injunction

12/06/2018 Notice of Change of Address
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[14] Notice of Change of Address

12/05/2018 Parenting Agreement
[16] Parenting Agreement

12/05/2018 Case Management Order
[15] Case and Non-Jury Trial Managment Order

12/05/2018 Financial Disclosure Form
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[13] General Financial Disclosure Form

12/04/2018 Reply
Filed By: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[12] Reply to Answer and Counterclaim for Divorce and UCCJEA Declaration

11/26/2018 Notice of Appearance
Party: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[11] Notice of Appearance

11/06/2018 NRCP 16.2 Case Management Conference Order
[10] Order Setting Case Management Conference and Directing Compliance with NRCP 16.2

10/12/2018 FMC Request and Order for Mediation - NRS 3.475
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[9] FMC Request and Order for Mediation - NRS 3.475

09/17/2018 Financial Disclosure Form

Filed by: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
[8] Financial Disclosure Form

09/17/2018 Answer and Counterclaim - Divorce, Annulment, Separate Maint
Filed by: Counter Claimant Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan
For: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[7] Answer and Counterclaim - Divorce, Annulment, Separate Maint

09/13/2018 Default
[6] Default

09/13/2018 Request for Summary Disposition
[5] Request for Summary Disposition of Decree of Divorce

09/13/2018 Affidavit in Support
[4] Affidavit in Support of Request for Summary Disposition of Decree

08/24/2018 Affidavit of Resident Witness
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[3] Affidavit of Resident Witness

08/20/2018 Summons Electronically Issued - Service Pending
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[2] Summons

08/20/2018 Complaint for Divorce
Filed by: Counter Defendant Gonzalez, Luba
[1] Complaint for Divorce

HEARINGS

06/29/2022 **Motion** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)
Plaintiff's Motion for Stay

05/18/2022 *CANCELED* **Opposition & Countermotion** (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)
Vacated
Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Amend or Make Additional Findings of Fact and/or for a New Trial and/or to Alter or Amend Judgement and/or Correct Clerical Error and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs

05/18/2022 *CANCELED* **Motion** (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)
Vacated
[314] Plaintiff's Motion to Amend or Make Additional Findings of Facts [NRCP 52(b)] and/or for a New Trial [NRCP 59(a) and/or to Alter or Amend Judgement [NRCP 59(e)] and/or Correct Clerical Error [NRCP 60(a) and (b)]

05/17/2022 **Minute Order** (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)
Minute Order - No Hearing Held;
Journal Entry Details:

MINUTE ORDER NO HEARING HELD D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez vs. Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez This case was reassigned to Department P from Department I after the 2020 election. When Department P took over this case there was already a lengthy history of game playing and manipulation between the Parties dating back to 2018. These games and the need to win did not cease once Department P took over. At the time of the October Evidentiary Hearing there were already 260 filings within the matter. On October 11 and October 12, 2021, the Court held the Evidentiary Hearing for Divorce in this matter. Testimony was taken, but the Parties ultimately entered into a Marital Settlement agreement after Counsel for both Parties engaged in a side bar with Judge Perry. Judge Perry had made it clear to Counsel that after hearing testimony regarding the transfer of the marital party, she found that Plaintiff had committed fraud upon Defendant in the transfer of the property and did not find Plaintiff credible. Plaintiff s Counsel advised his client based off this information and the Marital Property was stipulated to being Community Property and proceeds from the sale to be equally divided. After once again reviewing the entirety of the case, at the end of the October 12 , 2021 Evidentiary Hearing the Court gave warning to both Parties to put their differences aside and start working on developing a friendship for the sake of their child. Judge Perry noted how many times the Parties had appeared before her in a short period of time because of their insistence to win over the other Party at all costs. Similar sentiments were made after the November 18, 2021 and December 7, 2021 Evidentiary Hearing dates to determine the child s school. Shortly thereafter on December 15, 2021 Plaintiff filed for Bankruptcy. The Bankruptcy paperwork alleged that Plaintiff was the only person with an interest in the home, and that she was still in fact married with the divorce pending.

However, the Stipulated Decree of Divorce was signed on December 8, 2021 and a Notice of Entry was filed December 10, 2021. Therefore, this was not true, Plaintiff had been divorced for a week and the property had been ordered to be divided. This appeared to be another stab at winning on behalf of Plaintiff. Seeing that Plaintiff was attempting to commit fraud in another Court, at the hearing on December 22, 2021, the Court did state the adverse findings it would have made in October 2021 as to the property and Plaintiff s credibility had the Parties not entered into the Martial Settlement Agreement. One more hearing occurred in this case on March 30, 2022 regarding Plaintiff s Motion to Amend of Make Additional Findings, which was denied. Plaintiff has since filed one more Motion to Amend, Make Additional Findings or Order a New Trial. It appears to the Court that Plaintiff s game-playing and insistence at winning continues. Before this final Motion could be heard, Plaintiff filed an Affidavit to Disqualify based on bias or prejudice. This Court FINDS that after presiding over this case for almost a year and a half, and having watched Plaintiff attempt to defraud the other Party as well as attempt to defraud another Court, the Court has now developed a personal bias against Plaintiff and therefore should not remain on this case. This Court voluntarily recuses from future hearings in D-18-575860-D and hereby ORDERS that the Clerk of the Court randomly reassign D-18-575860-D to another department. The Motion hearing set for May 18, 2022 at 11:00am on the Department P Calendar for PLAINTIFF S MOTION TO AMEND OR MAKE ADDITIONAL FINDINGS OF FACTS [NRCP52(b)] AND/OR FOR A NEW TRIAL [NRCP 59(a)] AND/OR TO ALTER OR AMENDS JUDGMENT [NRCP 59(e)] AND/OR CORRECT CLERICAL ERROR [NRCP 60(a) AND (b)] filed April 12, 2022 and Defendants subsequent OPPOSITION AND COUNTERMOTION filed April 22, 2022 shall be vacated and rescheduled upon re-noticing on the new Department Calendar, as well as Plaintiff s Claim of Exemption and Defendant s Objection. Pursuant to the Model Code of Judicial Conduct: Canon 2, Rule 2.11(A)(1): A judge shall disqualify herself in any proceeding in which the judge s impartiality might reasonably be questioned, including but not limited to the following circumstances: (1) The judge has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party or a party s lawyer. NOW THEREFORE; IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that this Court voluntarily recuse itself on D-18-575860-D, pursuant to: Model Code of Judicial Conduct: Canon 2 Rule 2.11. Disqualification. (A) A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in any proceeding in which the judge s impartiality might reasonably be questioned, including but not limited to the following circumstances: (1) The judge has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party or a party s lawyer, or personal knowledge of facts that are in dispute in the proceeding. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that this case be immediately be transferred to another department pursuant to: NRS 1.235(5)(a): (5) Except as otherwise provided in subsection 6, the judge against whom an affidavit alleging bias or prejudice is filed shall proceed no further with the matter and shall: (a) If the judge is a district judge, immediately transfer the case to another department of the court, if there is more than one department of the court in the district, or request the judge of another district court to preside at the trial or hearing of the matter. A copy of this minute order shall be served on the parties and their attorneys, as well as the Department 7 Law Clerk. ;

03/30/2022 **All Pending Motions** (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)
Matter Heard;
Journal Entry Details:
MOTION: MOTION: PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO AMEND OR MAKE ADDITIONAL FINDINGS OF FACTS [NRCP 52 B AND/OR TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT...(CONTINUED FROM 03/16/2022)...OPPOSITION & COUNTERMOTION: OPPOSITION & COUNTERMOTION: DEFENDANT'S MOTION (CONTINUED FROM 03/16/2022)...MOTION: MOTION: PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO AMEND (CONTINUED FROM 03/16/2022) In the interest of public safety due to the Coronavirus pandemic, all parties were present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the BlueJeans application. Court reviewed the pleadings on file and the history of the case. Attorney Child's stated that bankruptcy has been filed and court noted that there is an automatic stay. Court stated that the Department reviewed the competing Orders that were submitted and the Court chose the correct Order. Court further noted that the Court will not force someone to attend therapy. COURT ORDERED the following: The Plaintiff's Motions shall be DENIED. Attorney's fees shall be GRANTED. Attorney Grimes shall submit a memorandum of fees and costs to the Court. Attorney Grimes shall submit the December 22, 2022 Order to the Court. Attorney Grimes shall prepare the Final Order, send it to Attorney Child's for review and signature and submit it to the Court. Case shall be CLOSED.;

03/30/2022 **Motion** (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)
MOTION: PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO AMEND (CONTINUED FROM 03/16/2022)
Denied; See all pending motion on 03/30/2022

03/30/2022 **Opposition & Countermotion** (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)
OPPOSITION & COUNTERMOTION: DEFENDANT'S MOTION (CONTINUED FROM 03/16/2022)
Matter Heard; See all pending motion on 03/30/2022

03/30/2022 **Motion** (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)
MOTION:PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO AMEND OR MAKE ADDITIONAL FINDINGS OF FACTS [NRCP 52 B AND/OR TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT...(CONTINUED FROM 03/16/2022)
Denied; See all pending motion on 03/30/2022

03/16/2022

All Pending Motions (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Hardcastle, Gerald W.)
Matter Heard;
Journal Entry Details:
MOTION: PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO AMEND OR MAKE ADDITIONAL FINDINGS OF FACTS [NRCP 52 (B) AND/ OR TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGEMENT [NRCP 59(E)] AN/OR CORRECT CLERICAL ERROR [NRCP 0(A) AND (B)]...OPPOSITION & COUNTERMOTION: DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS'S MOTION TO AMEND OR MAKE ADDITIONAL FINDINGS OF FACT AND/OR AMEND JUDGEMENT AND/OR CORRECT CLERICAL ERROR AND COUNTERMOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES...MOTION: PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO OPPOSITION MOTION TO AMEND OR MAKE ADDITIONAL FINDINGS OF FACTS [NRCP 52 (B) AND/ OR TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGEMENT [NRCP 59(E)] AN/OR CORRECT CLERICAL ERROR [NRCP 0(A) AND (B)] In the interest of public safety due to the Coronavirus pandemic, all parties were present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the BlueJeans application. Court noted that the pleadings have been reviewed. Court stated that due to the history of the case the pleadings shall be heard by Judge Perry. Counsel agreed with the Court's suggestion. COURT ORDERED the following: The Motion and Opposition hearings shall be CONTINUED to March 30, 2022 at 11:00 am. The Minute Order shall suffice, and a written Order is not required.;

03/16/2022 **Motion** (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Hardcastle, Gerald W.)
Plaintiff's Reply To Opposition To Motion To Amend Or Make Additional Findings Of Facts [Nrcp 52(B)] And/Or To Alter Or Amend Judgment [Nrcp 59(E)] And/Or Correct Clerical Error [Nrcp 60(A) And (B)] And Opposition To Countermotion
Matter Continued; See all pending motion on 03/16/2022

03/16/2022 **Opposition & Countermotion** (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Hardcastle, Gerald W.)
Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Amend or Make Additional Findings of Fact and/or Amend Judgement and/or Correct Clerical Error and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees
Matter Continued; See all pending motion on 03/16/2022

03/16/2022 **Motion** (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Hardcastle, Gerald W.)
Plaintiff's Motion to Amend or Make Additional Findings of Facts [Nrcp 52(B)] and/or to Alter or Amend Judgment [Nrcp 59(E)] and/or Correct Clerical Error [Nrcp 60(A) And (B)]
Matter Continued; See all pending motion on 03/16/2022

01/28/2022 *CANCELED* **Motion to Set Aside** (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)
Vacated - per Judge
Plaintiff's Motion to Set Aside Order Granting Ex Parte Temporary Order and Setting Briefing Scheduling for Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Injunction

12/22/2021 **All Pending Motions** (11:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)
Matter Heard;
Journal Entry Details:
HEARING REQUIRED FOR TPO: & ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE...MOTION: LUBA SNOW MOTION TO SET ASIDE In the interest of public safety due to the Coronavirus pandemic, Attorney Grimes, Attorney Burke and the Defendant were present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the BlueJeans application. Attorney Child's and the Plaintiff were present in person. Court noted that the bankruptcy Court needs a clear record of the findings of the case. Court further noted that the Plaintiff's share could have been placed in the Attorney's trust account until the bankruptcy Court reached a decision so that it would have not adversely affected the new buyers of the property or the Defendant of the property. Attorney Grimes stated that for the record he did not mislead the Court or violate any sections. COURT stated its FINDINGS and ORDERED the following: There is an automatic stay on the proceedings therefore the Matter shall be taken OFF CALENDAR. Attorney Grimes shall prepare the Final Order and submit it to the Court.;

12/22/2021 **Motion** (11:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)
Luba Snow Motion to Set Aside
Matter Heard; See all pending motion on 12/22/2021

12/22/2021 **Hearing Required for TPO** (11:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)
& Order to Show Cause
Matter Heard; See all pending motion on 12/22/2021

12/20/2021 **Minute Order** (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)
Minute Order - No Hearing Held;
Journal Entry Details:
MINUTE ORDER NO HEARING HELD D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez vs. Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez NRCP 1 and EDCR 1.10 state that the procedure in district courts shall be administered to secure efficient, speedy, and inexpensive determinations in every action. In the interest of Judicial Economy, both Plaintiff and Defendant s Motions filed on December 17, 2021 will be ADVANCED to be heard on December 22, 2021 at 11:30am in conjunction with the required hearing on the Temporary Restraining Order. Copies of this Minute

Order to be provided to the parties and their counsel. ;

12/07/2021 **Non-Jury Trial** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)
NON JURY TRIAL (HALF DAY) (DAY 2)
Decision Made;
Journal Entry Details:
NON-JURY TRIAL: NON JURY TRIAL (HALF DAY) (DAY 2) Parties put under OATH. Sworn testimony and Exhibits presented (see worksheet). COURT state [redacted] D the following: The Minor Child shall continue to [redacted] nrolled in [redacted] School. The Plaintiff shall be allowed to apply [redacted] Elementar [redacted]. The parties shall work together and research the [redacted] ide if it is in the best interest of the Minor Child. The parties STIPULATE to attending fami [redacted] shall agree on a therapist and shall attend therapy for a minimum of six months. Attorney Grimes shall prepare the final Order, send it to Attorney Gibbs for review and signature and submit it to the Court. Case shall be CLOSED.;

11/18/2021 **Non-Jury Trial** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)
NON JURY TRIAL (1/2 DAY) : SCHOOLING
Matter Resolved;
Journal Entry Details:
NON JURY TRIAL: NON JURY TRIAL [redacted] noted that today's setting was to decide on school for the Minor Child to attend. Court further noted that the parties have narrowed down to two schools that is equal distant from both residences but that the Defendant is opposed to the charter school. Parties put under OATH. Plaintiff's TESTIMONY presented. Exhibits presented/admitted (see worksheet). Parties STIPULATED to the follow Exhibits 1 through 19 shall be ADMITTED. Temporarily the Minor Child shall be enrolled into [redacted] school without prejudice. COURT ORDERED the following: The parties shall be allowed to t Child out of the Country during their timeshare during the summer months as long as the party notifies the other party in advance. Counsel shall exchange the school statistics no later than November 29, 2021. The Defendant shall keep the passport in his possession during the even years and the Plaintiff shall keep the passport in her possession during the odd years. The passport shall follow the Minor Child during the summer months. The Defendant shall keep the passport for the remainder of the year 2020. The Non Jury Trial (Day 2) SET for December 7, 2021 at 9:00 am. ;

11/09/2021 **Status Check** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)
STATUS CHECK RE:SCHOOLING
Matter Heard;
Journal Entry Details:
STATUS CHECK: STATUS CHECK RE: SCHOOLING In the interest of public safety due to the Coronavirus pandemic, all parties were present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the BlueJeans application. Court inquire al names of schools were provided for the Court's consideration. Attorney Gibbs stated that the [redacted] previously chosen has no curriculum and that volunteer parents are teaching the childre argument regarding home schooling the Minor Child until a school is chosen. Court discussed the additional schooling options that are equal distance from the parties' residence. Court further discussed setting a Trial based on the schools provided. COURT ORDERED the following: The parties shall each present three schools to the Court. Attorney Grimes shall being the passport paperwork on the Trial date to be signed in open Court. Non Jury Trial SET for November 18, 2021 at 1:30 pm. The Minute Order shall suffice, and a written Order is not required. ;

10/15/2021 *CANCELED* **Motion** (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)
Vacated - per Order
Renewed Motion to Reduce Attorney's Lien to Judgment

10/12/2021 **Evidentiary Hearing** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)
Matter Resolved;
Journal Entry Details:

EVIDENTIARY HEARING Parties Put under Oath. Plaintiff's Sworn Testimony given, Exhibits presented (see worksheet). Counsel stated that the parties have stipulated to the remaining financial issues. Parties Canvassed by Counsel. Parties STIPULATED to and the Court ORDERED the following: COURT FINDS that it has subject matter jurisdiction over this case, personal jurisdiction over the parties, and child custody subject matter jurisdiction over the minor child. Upon submission of Decree, an absolute DECREE OF DIVORCE is GRANTED, pursuant to the stipulation outlined in Trial. The Parties are returned to the status of SINGLE and UNMARRIED. The parties' stipulation shall be in effect pursuant to rule 7.50. The parties shall have JOINT LEGAL JOINT PHYSICAL custody of the Minor Child. The parties shall have a week on week off timeshare schedule with the Minor Child. The child exchanges shall be on Wednesdays. The Defendant shall notify the Plaintiff if he will be more than twenty four hours away from the Minor Child and the Plaintiff shall keep the Minor Child in her custody until the Defendant arrives back into the Country. The non custodial parent shall have video communication with the Minor Child on Monday's and Friday's at 7:15 pm. The parties shall not

monitor or record the calls. Each party shall take a vacation period with the Minor Child for no less than seven days per year for a total of seven days but shall not interfere with the Minor Child's school. The parties shall notify one another where they will be traveling with the Minor Child anywhere outside of Clark county. The Defendant shall have timeshare with the Minor Child for Thanksgiving day in the year 2021 and the Plaintiff shall have Thanksgiving day with the Minor Child in 2022. The Defendant shall have timeshare with the Minor Child for Halloween 2021 from and the Plaintiff shall have Halloween 2022 with the Minor Child. The Plaintiff shall have timeshare with the Minor Child from December 17, 2021 to December 26, 2021 for winter break. The Defendant shall have timeshare with the Minor Child from December 26, [redacted] for winter break. The child exchanges shall be at 12:00 pm. The Plaintiff shall have timeshare with the Minor Child each year for the Plaintiff's birthday and on Mother's Day. The Defendant shall have timeshare with the Minor Child each year for the Defendant's birthday and on Father's Day. The holiday times shall be described as starting at 10:00 am to 12:00 pm the following day. The parties shall alternate Easter with the Minor Child each year. Staring in 2022 the party that has the last week of school during their custodial time with the Minor Child shall also have the first week of winter break with the Minor Child. The Plaintiff shall select a suitable counselor for the Minor Child. The Counseling sessions shall be during the Plaintiff's custodial time with the Minor Child. The Plaintiff shall bear all costs for the counseling. Both parties shall participate in Counseling as needed. Counseling itself shall not have any part in building the case unless it is a mandatory reporting situation. All and any Child Support and Arrears shall be WAIVED and shall be revisited in eighteen months as of today October 12, 2021. The Plaintiff shall claim the Minor Child for the Dependent tax credit in odd years and the Defendant shall claim the Minor Child in even years. The marital residence shall be listed for sale. Attorney Grimes shall provide Attorney Gibbs with three names of realtors and Attorney Gibbs shall select one of the three. If the realtor chosen is not sufficient in selling the home in timely matter the parties shall stipulate on choosing a different realtor. The parties shall participate and cooperate with the realtors recommendations. The money from the sale of the home shall be used to pay off the balance on the Mortgage and whatever fees are associated with "Exhibit A" the quick claim deed. The remaining balance from the sale of the home shall be equally split between the parties. The parties shall keep any and all assets and bank accounts in their name as their sole and separate property. The parties shall bear their own Attorney's fees and costs. Status Check SET for November 9, 2021 at 9:00 am STANDS. Attorney Gibbs shall prepare the Divorce Decree, send it to Attorney Grimes for review and signature and submit it to the Court. ;

10/11/2021 **Evidentiary Hearing** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)
Matter Heard;
Journal Entry Details:
EVIDENTIARY HEARING Counsel noted that the parties have stipulated to custody and financial's are the only remaining issues. Parties put under OATH. Testimony from the Defendant and Exhibits presented. Parties STIPULATED to and the Court ORDERED the following: The parties shall have JOINT LEGAL JOINT PHYSICAL custody of the Minor Child. The parties shall have a week on week off timeshare schedule with the Minor Child. The child exchanges shall be on Wednesdays. The Defendant shall notify the Plaintiff if he will be more than twenty four hours away from the Minor Child and the Plaintiff shall keep the Minor Child in her custody until the Defendant arrives back into the Country. The non custodial parent shall have video communication with the Minor Child on Monday's and Friday's at 7:15 pm. The parties shall not monitor or record the calls. Each party shall take a vacation period with the Minor Child for no less than seven days per year for a total of seven days but shall not interfere with the Minor Child's school. The parties shall notify one another where they will be traveling with the Minor Child anywhere outside of Clark county. The Defendant shall have timeshare with the Minor Child for Thanksgiving day in the year 2021 and the Plaintiff shall have Thanksgiving day with the Minor Child in 2022. The Defendant shall have timeshare with the Minor Child for Halloween 2021 from and the Plaintiff shall have Halloween 2022 with the Minor Child. The Plaintiff shall have timeshare with the Minor Child from December 17, 2021 to December 26, 2021 for winter break. The Defendant shall have timeshare with the Minor Child from December 26, 2021 to January 5, 2022 for winter break. The child exchanges shall be at 12:00 pm. The Plaintiff shall have timeshare with the Minor Child each year for the Plaintiff's birthday and on Mother's Day. The Defendant shall have timeshare with the Minor Child each year for the Defendant's birthday and on Father's Day. The holiday times shall be described as starting at 10:00 am to 12:00 pm the following day. The parties shall alternate Easter with the Minor Child each year. Staring in 2022 the party that has the last week of school during their custodial time with the Minor Child shall also have the first week of winter break with the Minor Child. The Plaintiff shall select a suitable counselor for the Minor Child. The Counseling sessions shall be during the Plaintiff's custodial time with the Minor Child. The Plaintiff shall bear all costs for the counseling. Both parties shall participate in Counseling as needed. Counseling itself shall not have any part in building the case unless it is a mandatory reporting situation. The Plaintiff shall claim the Minor Child for the Dependent tax credit in odd years and the Defendant shall claim the Minor Child in even years. Child Support shall be DEFERRED. The parties' custodial stipulation shall be in effect pursuant to rule 7.50. Evidentiary Hearing SET for October 12, 2021 at 9:30 am STANDS.;

09/22/2021 **Calendar Call - HM** (3:00 PM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)
Matter Heard;
Journal Entry Details:

CALENDAR CALL-HM In the interest of public safety due to the Coronavirus pandemic, all parties were present

via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the BlueJeans application. Counsel stated that they are ready to proceed with the Trial dates given. Counsel agreed that 9:00 am would work for both parties instead of starting at 9:30 am. Court discussed the quick claim deed from the Defendant to the Plaintiff. Court noted that the payments made towards the marital residence is from community income and the Court may be inclined to equally split the amount. COURT ORDERED the following: Evidentiary Hearing SET for October 11, 2021 and October 12, 2021 shall start at 9:00 am. The Minute Order shall suffice, and a written Order is not required.;

07/09/2021 **Evidentiary Hearing** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)

RE SCHOOL

Matter Resolved;

Journal Entry Details:

EVIDENTIARY HEARING: RE SCHOOL The parties stated they have a stipulated agreement. Parties put under OATH and CANVASSED by the Co ULATED and the COURT ORDERED the following: The Minor Child shall be enrolled in the [redacted] The Minor Child shall participate at least 2 days of the week and Wednesday shall be on of arties shall have the right to attend the Minor Child's first day of school. Both parties shall have a back up school that is equal distance from the parties residence if Bloom is determined to not be in the Minor Child's best interest. The parties shall temporarily have a week on week off timeshare schedule with the Minor Child. The exchanges shall be on Wednesday at The Defendant shall receive the Minor Child today July 9, 2021 at 6:30 pm with the Minor Child's passport for the planned vacation to Mexico The parties shall have video communication through Zoom with the Minor Child on Fridays and Mondays at 7:00 pm. The Plaintiff shall have vacation time with the Minor Child from July 28, 2021 to Massachusetts and shall provide the Defendant with a copy of the itinerary. The parties shall not withhold the Minor Child's passport. The Defendant shall notify the Plaintiff if he will be more than twenty four hours away from the scheduled exchanged and the Plaintiff shall keep the Minor Child in her custody. Status Check SET for November 9, 2021 at 9:00 am. Attorney Gibbs shall prepare the Order, send it to Attorney Grimes shall sign off and submit it to the Court.;

04/28/2021 **Return Hearing** (11:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)

(psych evaluation)

MINUTES

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

RETURN HEARING: (PSYCH EVALUATION) Attorney Gibbs appeared by Bluejeans video in an unbundled capacity for Plaintiff. Plaintiff appeared by Bluejeans video. Attorney Grimes appeared by Bluejeans video for Defendant. Defendant appeared by Bluejeans video. The Court noted that it had received the psychological evaluations and further noted that the parties should have used the same person. Upon the Court's inquiry, Plaintiff stated that she paid $700.00 for her report. Attorney Grimes stated that dad paid the doctor that he used about $2,500.00. Attorney Grimes stated that there were only two issues: custody and the marital home. Argument by counsel regarding traveling out of state and to Mexico with the child. Attorney Grimes stated that dad's psychologist received all of the pleadings, but that he was not duplicative if the same facts were asserted in more than one document. Attorney Gibbs stated he sent pleadings to mom's psychologist as well. Argument by counsel regarding the child's school and cost. Attorney Grimes stated that mom had enrolled the child in a private school over dad's objection and that the school was 45 minutes from dad's residence and 15 minutes from mom's residence. Attorney Gibbs stated that both parties preferred a 3-2-2 schedule. COURT ORDERED: Per STIPULATION of counsel attorney Grimes may amend Defendant's Answer and Counterclaim and attorney Gibbs may amend his Reply. The current location for child exchanges is at the courthouse. Per STIPULATION of counsel the parties shall exchange the child at the gas station on I-215 and Charleston and attorney Grimes shall include the address in the Order. The parties shall stay 10 feet apart and there shall be no communication between the adults at the exchange and they shall not leave their cars. Dad shall go to mom's psychiatrist and the parties shall split the $700.00. Mr. Gonzalez shall meet with Dr. Paglini and Dr. Paglini shall be used as the rebuttal for both mom and dad. The Court does not need recommendations. Both parties shall have 14 days vacation and dad may take the child to Mexico and mom shall turn over the child's passport. The parties vacation time could be longer than 14 days when custodial days are attached. If dad does not return with the child the Court shall have him criminally prosecuted. Mom may call the child on Tuesdays and Fridays when she is gone. If travel days are Tuesday and Friday then the parties shall change the days and that applies to both parties and the child is allowed to call whenever the child wishes. As mom has already enrolled the child in private school over dad's objection mom shall pay the entire amount for the school and the Court shall have a small evidentiary hearing on that issue. Counsel shall fully brief the Court on the Arcela factors and include the numbers, standings for the schools, costs, etc. If the Court rules in dad's favor, dad shall be awarded attorney fees. Attorney Gibbs shall provide counsel with mom's proposed custody schedule for review. Discovery closes on 9/13/21. Any expert witnesses will be due on 6/14/21. Rebuttal experts are due on 7/14/21. Pre-Trial Memorandums and exhibits are due on 10/4/21. Evidentiary Hearing SET for 10/11/21 @ 9:30 A.M. (Day 1) and for 10/12/21 @ 9:30 (Day 2). The Evidentiary Hearing shall be IN PERSON. Evidentiary Hearing SET for 7/9/21 @ 1:30 P.M. (school issue) IN PERSON Attorney Grimes shall prepare the Order from today's hearing and include the Trial Management Order. ;

04/06/2021 *CANCELED* **Non-Jury Trial** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Bailey, Sunny)
Vacated
stack 1

02/17/2021 **All Pending Motions** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)
Matter Heard;
Journal Entry Details:
OPPOSITION & COUNTERMOTION: DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED MOTION AND NOTICE OF MOTION FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE REGARDING CONTEMPT AND COUNTERMOTION TO HAVE PLAINTIFF DECLARED A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT, FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND FOR EXCLUSIVE POSSESSION ON THE MARTIAL RESIDENCE... MOTION: AMENDED MOTION AND NOTICE OF MOTION FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE REGARDING CONTEMPT... MOTION TO MODIFY CUSTODY: DEFENDANT'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO MODIFY CUSTODY... OPPOSITION: PLAINTIFF'S MOTION AND NOTICE OF MOTION FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE REGARDING CONTEMPT... OPPOSITION & COUNTERMOTION: PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION T EX PARTE MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE HEARINGS... OPPOSITION: DEFENDANT'S OMNIBUS OPPOSITION AND RE;REPLY TO PLAINTIFFS VERIFIED MOTION FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY DEFENDANT SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN CONTEMPT OF COURT AND TO REVISE PROTOCOL OF FOR DROP OFFS AND FOR ADDING SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS TO THE VIDEO CALL ORDER, PLAINTIFFS E PARTE MOTION FOR RETURN OR CHILD, PLAINTIFF OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO MODIFY CUSTODY, PLAINTIFF'S EX PARE MOTION FOR RETURN OF CHILD, PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S EMERGENCY MOTION MODIFY CUSTODY, PLAINTIFF'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR RETURN OF A CHILD, LUBAS OBJECTION TO AUTHENTICITY AND RELEVANCE OF ENRIQUE'S EXHIBITS, PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE REGARDING CONTEMPT, AND PLAINTIFF'S EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE. BlueJeans/video hearing. The Court noted the papers and pleadings on file. Discussion/argument regarding Child Protective Services (CPS) issues, visitation, and child related matters. COURT ADMONISHED the parties that if the parties do not act appropriately, the Court shall consider a third (3rd) party to care for the minor child. The Court stated that any more long line of Motions as previously filed, shall be deemed vexatious litigant and therefore, COURT ORDERED, TEMPORARILY as follows: The parties shall share JOINT LEGAL CUSTODY of the minor child. The parties shall share JOINT PHYSICAL CUSTODY of the minor child. Defendant shall have PARENTAL TIMESHARE with the minor child on Sundays at 8:00 a.m. until Wednesday at 5:00 p.m. The CHILD EXCHANGES shall be at Family Court front gate/Marshall area. If any issues arise, Donna's House shall be ordered. Plaintiff shall sign all pleadings as Gonzalez (legal name) until Plaintiff's name is legally changed. Both of the parents shall submit to a PSYCH EVALUATION within sixty (60) days. Counsel shall work together to determine who shall complete the PSYCH EVALUATIONS and provider must be a Ph.D. level and with knowledge as to writing reports to the Court. The psychologist shall have access to all of the pleadings in this case. The minor child shall not be told that her last name is "Snow", as the minor child's last name is Gonzalez. The minor child shall not be enrolled/not attend a Russian school. Plaintiff may hire a tutor to come to Plaintiff's house for minor child to learn Russian. All phone call communications with the minor child shall be in English (no Russian shall be used). The phone call communications with the minor child may be recorded STANDS. There shall be no phone call communications during the other parent's custodial time with the minor child. DISCOVERY is OPEN. Return hearing (psych eval) SET 4-28-21 at 11:30 a.m. Trial shall be set at next hearing. Grandmother's VISITATION is SUSPENDED. Grandmother may see the minor child when the minor child is in Plaintiff's care. MOTION/OPPOSITION/COUNTERMOTION ISSUES PENDING are HELD IN ABEYANCE. Mr. Grimes shall prepare the Order from today's hearing and Mr. Gibbs shall countersign.;

02/17/2021 **Opposition & Countermotion** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)
Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Amended Motion and Notice of Motion for an Order to Show Cause Regarding Contempt and Countermotion to Have Plaintiff Declared a Vexatious Litigant, For Attorney's Fees and for Exclusive Possession on the Marital Residence
Matter Heard;

02/17/2021 **Motion** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)
Amended Motion and Notice of Moiton for an Order to Show Casue Regarding Contempt
Matter Heard;

02/17/2021 **Opposition** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)
Deft s Omnibus Opposition and Reply to Pltf s Verified Motion for an Order to Show Cause why Deft Should not be Held in Contempt of Court and to Revise Protocol for Drop Offs and for Adding Specific Instructions to the Video Call Order, Pltf s Ex Parte Motion for Return of Child, Pltf s Opposition to Deft s Emergency Motion to Modify Custody, Pltf s Ex Parte Motion for Return of a Child, Luba s Objection to Authenticity and Relevance of Enrique s Exhibits, Pltf s Motion for an Order to Show Cause Regarding Contempt, and Pltf s Ex Parte Application for an Order to Show Cause
Matter Heard;

02/17/2021 **Opposition & Countermotion** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)
Plaintiff's Opposition to Ex Parte Motion to Concolidate Hearings

Matter Heard;

02/17/2021 **Motion** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)
Plaintiff's Motion and Notice of Motion for an Order to Show Cause Regarding Contempt
Matter Heard;

02/17/2021 **Motion** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)
Plaintiff's Motion and Notice of Motion for an Order to Show Cause Regarding Contempt
Matter Heard;

02/17/2021 **Opposition** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)
Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Emargency Motoin to Modify Custody
Matter Heard;

02/17/2021 **Motion to Modify Custody** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)
Deft's Emergency Motion to Modify Custody
Matter Heard;

02/02/2021 *CANCELED* **Non-Jury Trial** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bailey, Sunny)
Vacated
Day 2 (Stack 1)

02/01/2021 *CANCELED* **Non-Jury Trial** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bailey, Sunny)
Vacated

12/02/2020 *CANCELED* **Motion** (2:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B.)
Vacated - per Order
Motion to Withdreaw as Attorney of Record

11/30/2020 **Minute Order** (3:20 PM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B.)
Minute Order - No Hearing Held;
Journal Entry Details:
MINUTE ORDER - NO HEARING HELD The Court reviewed all pleadings on file and issued the following ruling. Based on the pleadings on file, the Court FINDS there were NO specific violations from Defendant addressed or supported in the Plaintiff's request for an Order to Show Cause. The Court further FINDS Plaintiff's request was VAGUE. COURT ORDERED, Plaintiff's request for an Order to Show Cause shall be DENIED. However, the Motion's remaining requests shall be CONTINUED and HEARD on January 5, 2021, at 10:00 AM.;

11/23/2020 **Motion** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Hardcastle, Gerald W.)
Pltf's Verified Motion for an Order to Show Cause Why Deft Should Not be Held in Contempt of Court and to Revise Protocol for Drop Offs and for Adding Specific Instruction to the Video Call Order

MINUTES
Decision
[199]
Decision Made;
Journal Entry Details:
PLAINTIFF'S VERIFIED MOTION FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY DEFENDANT SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN CONTEMPT OF COURT AND TO REVISE PROTOCOL FOR DROP OFFS AND FOR ADDING SPECIFIC INSTRUCTION TO THE VIDEO CALL ORDER COURT CLERKS: Michelle Cunningham (mlc), Jamile Vazquez Parties appeared via BLUEJEANS. COURT NOTED it received the Motion to Consolidate late yesterday, it was not timely filed but the Court is inclined to consider it. Plaintiff stated there are some issues that she would like to address today regarding Defendant conspiring with her boyfriend's child's mother and Attorney Grimes to take Plaintiff's child and her boyfriend's child. Attorney Grimes stated the October motion before the Court today does not comply with AWOD, the child is not being withheld and the motion is moot. COURT ORDERED the Matter shall be taken UNDER ADVISEMENT. If the Court grants the Order to Show Cause (OSC), the Court will have the OSC issued and will set a hearing date.;

10/13/2020 *CANCELED* **Hearing** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Steel, Cynthia Dianne)
Vacated - per Judge
Plaintiff's Reply to Opposition to Plaintiff s Renewed Motion for Relief from the February 19, 2020 Hearing Order and Opposition to Counter Motion for Sanctions

10/13/2020 *CANCELED* **Opposition & Countermotion** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Steel, Cynthia Dianne)
Vacated - per Judge
Deendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Renewed (Third) Motion to Continue Evidentiary Hearing on Adjudication of Attorney's Lien of Dawn Lozano, ESQ., and Countermotion for Sanctions

10/13/2020 *CANCELED* **Opposition** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Steel, Cynthia Dianne)

Vacated - per Judge
Defendants Opposition to Plaintiff's Renewed (Third) Motion to Continue Evidentiary Hearing on Adjudication of Attorney's Lien of Dawn Lozano, Esq., and Countermotion for Sanctions

10/13/2020 *CANCELED* **Opposition & Countermotion** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Steel, Cynthia Dianne)
Vacated - per Judge
Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Production of Documents Pursuant to duly Served Subpoena Duces Tecum and Countermotion for Sanctions

10/13/2020 *CANCELED* **Motion** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Steel, Cynthia Dianne)
Vacated - per Judge
Plaintiff'sRenewed (Third) Motion to Continue Evidentiary Hearing on Adjudication of Attorney's Lien of Dawn Lozano ESQ.

10/13/2020 *CANCELED* **Motion** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Steel, Cynthia Dianne)
Vacated - per Judge
Pltf's Renewed Motion For Relief From The February 19,2020 Hearing Order

10/05/2020 **Evidentiary Hearing** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B.)
Matter Continued;
Journal Entry Details:
INFORMAL EVIDENTIARY HEARING RE AMOUNT OF ATTORNEY'S FEES FOR DAWN LOZANO/STATUS CHECK HEARING COURT CLERKS: Jefferyann Rouse, Michelle Cunningham (JAVS only for minute preparation) (mlc) COURT NOTED it read Plaintiff's Pro Per Pre-Trial Memorandum. Further discussion regarding the contents and attorney/client privilege. COURT NOTED both sides previously stipulated to have trial in person in 2021. Matter Trailed. Matter Recalled. Attorney Gibbs had a sidebar discussion with his client, Plaintiff, and Court went back on the record. Attorney GIbbs stated Plaintiff requests this trial be set after the divorce/custody trial is concluded, her pretrial memorandum (PTM) be stricken, and that the exhibits be stricken that were attached to her PTM. Attorney Lozano stated the PTM should be stricken because the Court did not order it and it is irrelevant. Further, Plaintiff's PTM was already filed and everyone has a copy. Attorney Grimes stated he has legally obtained these documents, does not view them as overly relevant regarding custody except to impeach contrary to the contents of the document and has no position on whether they should be stricken. Plaintiff stated her concerns about attorney client privilege information and requests this trial be put over till after the divorce/custody trial. Attorney Lozano argued interest is racking up on her retainer agreement, there is also prejudice to her law firm for waiting months to get paid. Attorney Lozano also argued she doesn't see any prejudice with proceeding today, Attorney Gibbs argued that it is prejudicial to Plaintiff since Attorney Lozano can get a video copy of today's trial. COURT ORDERED the following: 1. This trial shall be POSTPONED until after the divorce/custody trial. The Matter shall be SET for 4/6/2021 at 1:30 p.m. 2. Non-Jury Trial currently scheduled 2/1/2021 and 2/2/2021 at 9:00 a.m. STANDS. STACK 1 is confirmed, HOWEVER, with the new incoming judge there is a possibility of reassignment to dates nearby. 3. Based upon Plaintiff's request and no opposition from either counsel, Plaintiff's Pre-Trial Memorandum shall be STRICKEN. 4. DISCOVERY is CLOSED. Plaintiff shall prepare the order from today's hearing and Attorney Lozano shall review and sign off.;

09/15/2020 **All Pending Motions** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B.)

MINUTES
Matter Heard;
Journal Entry Details:

INFORMAL EVIDENTIARY HEARING RE AMOUNT OF ATTORNEY'S FEES FOR DAWN LOZANO/STATUS CHECK HEARING...PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PURSUANT TO DULY SERVE SUBPOENA DEUCES TECUM Attorney Gibbs appeared by video for Plaintiff. Plaintiff appeared by video. Attorney Lozano appeared by video for Defendant. The Court reviewed the case. Argument by counsel. The Court noted that on 1/29/20 attorney Lozano filed her billing statements. The COURT FINDS that NRS 18.015 grants an attorney a lien if they meet all requirements of the statue; the Argentena case discusses the Court's jurisdiction to hear a lien, attorneys have since updated their Retainer Agreements to address the Court's jurisdiction by providing for client consent to jurisdiction if they have an outstanding balance due; a retaining lien is proper in NV, see Friedanelli case, if NRS 18.015 requirements are properly met; here, there's just a dispute as to reasonableness of fees, a final judgment amount needs to be adjudicated. From Nevada case law, it is apparent that a client may get their file turned over if they put up some type of collateral or security for the disputed amount or pay off the bill. COURT ORDERED: Attorney Gibbs' Motion to Compel is DENIED to the extent that the retaining lien on the client file is proper. Mom would need to put up collateral or pay the balance off to obtain her client file. The Motion for 60b is GRANTED to the extent that the Court shall amend to have the Court acknowledge the state bar fee dispute policy language. Attorney Lozano has already produced her retainer agreement, she can file a supplemental billing statement for the additional proceedings up through today. Attorney Lozano requested disputed line items. Attorney Lozano and attorney Gibbs should file their line item disputes in the D-file. Counsel may respond. Bluejeans Evidentiary Hearing on ATTORNEY FEES lien SET for October 5, 2020 at 1:30 P.M. Each side shall have 1 hour, 10 minutes for closing arguments. Attorney Gibbs shall go first in his case in chief. Attorney Lozano's office shall e-mail the downloaded chat with fiance' Phil to

attorney gibbs. Attorney Gibbs shall serve attorney Lozano any final discovery for trial no later than 9/29/20. Discovery is closed except for updated FDF'S, new events that did not exist, recent documents that did not exist, etc. Non-Jury Trial SET for February 1, 2021 at 9:00 A.M. to noon (Day 1 - 1/2 Day) and for February 2, 2021 at 9:00 A.M. to noon (Day 2 - 1/2 Day). The Court's staff shall prepare an Amended Order Setting Trial. Attorney Lozano shall prepare the Order from today's hearing and attorney Gibbs shall review and sign off. ;

09/15/2020 **Motion** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B.)
Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Production of Documents Pursuant to Duly Serve Subpoena Deuces Tecum
Per Minute Order dated 9/2/2020
Evidentiary Hearing;

09/15/2020 **Evidentiary Hearing** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B.)
Informal Evidentiary Hearing re amount of attorney's fees for Dawn Lozano/Status Check Hearing
see 5/15/20 minute order
Evidentiary Hearing;

09/02/2020 **Minute Order** (12:30 PM) (Hearing Master: Bailey, Soonhee)
Minute Order - No Hearing Held;
Journal Entry Details:
MINUTE ORDER WITHOUT HEARING. After a meeting between Judge Moss and the Discovery Commissioner, the Court decided the hearing regarding the Motion to Compel Production of Documents should be heard by Judge Moss on September 15, 2020. Therefore, COURT RECOMMENDED: Hearing on the Motion to Compel Production of Documents set for 10-13-20 at 9:00 a.m. will be VACATED and RESET for 9-15-20 at 1:30 p.m. in Department I. CLERK'S NOTE: Clerk provided a copy of this Minute Order to all parties. dg ;

09/01/2020 **Minute Order** (12:30 PM) (Hearing Master: Bailey, Soonhee)
Minute Order - No Hearing Held;
Journal Entry Details:
MINUTE ORDER WITHOUT HEARING. Upon review of the request for an Order Shortening Time regarding the Motion to Compel Production of Documents, Court noted this matter is currently set for hearing on October 13, 2020 in Department I. Therefore, COURT RECOMMENDED: Order Shortening Time is DENIED, as there is no pending Motion before the Discovery Commissioner. CLERK'S NOTE: Court clerk provided a copy of this Minute Order to all parties by email. dg ;

08/11/2020 *CANCELED* **Motion** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B.)
Vacated
Plaintiff's Renewed Motion for Relief from the February 19, 2020 Hearing Order; for Continuance of July 14, 2020 Attorney's Fees Hearing

07/31/2020 *CANCELED* **Evidentiary Hearing** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B.)
Vacated
Day 4 (FULL DAY)

07/27/2020 **Status Check** (2:00 PM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B.)
Matter Heard;
Journal Entry Details:
STATUS CHECK: Due to Governor Sisolak's Stay Home for Nevada directive, Plaintiff/Mom appeared with her Attorney of Record Nathan Gibbs. Attorney Melvin Grimes appeared on behalf of client Defendant/Dad and requested the Court excuse his appearance for today's court proceedings. Upon the matter being called, the Court advised Counsel as to issues related to a trial being set in this matter. Attorney Grimes stated concerns as to the trial being continued to January, Counsel further stated concerns as to witnesses coaching. Attorney Gibbs stated concerns as to the courts order from the previous hearing as to Plaintiff/Mom's having visitation with the minor child one (1) weekend per month with the minor child. The Court held a discussion as to the trial being heard by (bluejeans) audio equipment. THE COURT NOTED it's still Plaintiff/Mom's Case in Chief and there one (1) POSSIBLE rebuttal witness. THE COURT NOTED Defendant/Dad s has four (4) WITNESSES to call in his Case in Chief. THE COURT ORDERED, CUSTODY shall REMAIN STATUS QUO. Counsel STIPULATED to an IN-PERSON TRIAL being held. Should the COURTHOUSE OPEN SOON this COURT hall NOTIFY Counsel as to the hearing being held in the courtroom. TEMPORARILY if the Maternal Grandmother wants (48) hours of VISITATION, Defendant/Dad shall receive COMPENSATORY 48 HOURS. The Maternal Grandmothers (48) hours shall be on Saturday and Sunday. The monthly visitation shall remain If the child's Maternal Grandmother with the minor child, parties shall TRADE custodial days therefore, Defendant/Dad will have (2) COMPENSATORY DAYS with the minor child on (2) Tuesday's of the month. The NON-JURY TRIAL shall be CONTINUED to 2-2-2021 at 1:30 pm, subject to the New Judge ADJUSTING their calendar. The New Judge shall have TRIAL TRANSCRIPTS since day 1, if feasible, video DVD for the New Judge shall be also made for the file. Department I's JEA shall PREPARE and AMENDED ORDER SETTING TRIAL. Attorney Grimes shall prepare the order of the court. Attorney Gibbs shall review and sign off. ;

06/19/2020 *CANCELED* **Evidentiary Hearing** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B.)

Vacated
Day 4 (FULL DAY)

06/08/2020 *CANCELED* **Motion** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B.)
Vacated
Plaintiff's Motion for Relief from the February 19, 2020 Hearing Order; For Continuance of Attorney's Fees Hearing

05/15/2020 **Minute Order** (2:15 PM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B.)
Minute Order - No Hearing Held;
Journal Entry Details:
MINUTE ORDER - NO HEARING HELD AND NO APPEARANCES NRCP 1 and EDCR 1.10 state that the procedures in district court shall be administered to secure efficient, just, and inexpensive determinations in every action and proceeding. Pursuant to EDCR 5.206, a party filing a motion is required to serve the opposing party with a copy of all papers filed within 3 calendar days of submission for filing. Pursuant to EDCR 2.23(c), the judge may consider the motion on its merits at any time with or without oral argument, and grant or deny it. On May 2, 2020 Plaintiff/Mother represented by R. Nathan Gibbs, Esq. filed a Motion for Relief from the February 19, 2020 Hearing; For Continuance of Attorney s Fees Hearing. On May 04, 2020 a Notice of Hearing was generated by the Clerk of Court and served electronically. On May 12, 2020 Plaintiff filed an Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time for Hearing on Motion and Notice of Motion for Trial Date to be Vacated and Reset to a Later Date. The COURT NOTES that the parties both have email addresses on file with the Court. The COURT NOTES that the Evidentiary Hearing on the Divorce/Custody is set to be heard on June 19, 2020 at 9:00AM. The COURT FINDS that the matter of the divorce/custody SHALL require final adjudication before the matter of attorney s fees may be considered. Pursuant to Administrative Order 20-09 and 20-13 re no in-person deposition absent a stipulation or agreement amongst the parties, the Informal Evidentiary Hearing on attorney s fees set for June 01, 2020 at 1:30PM SHALL be CONTINUED to JULY 14, 2020 at 1:30PM. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Discovery on the issue of Attorney Lozano s Fees SHALL close June 30, 2020 by 5pm. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff s Motion currently set for June 8, 2020 at 9:30am is VACATED as MOOT. The Evidentiary Hearing on Divorce/Custody set for JUNE 19, 2020 at 9:00AM STANDS. Pursuant to Administrative Order 20-10 re Paper Elimination in response to the COVID-19 outbreak, a copy of this minute order shall be served electronically. SO ORDERED. CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order shall be emailed to the parties/counsel. (kw 5/15/2020);

02/19/2020 **All Pending Motions** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B.)
Matter Heard;
Journal Entry Details:
EVIDENTIARY HEARING (DAY 4)...PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO REDUCE ATTORNEY'S LIEN TO JUDGMENT...DEFENDANT'S REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO NOTICE OF ATTORNEY'S LIEN AND MOTION TO REDUCE ATTORNEY'S LIEN TO JUDGMENT....PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO STRIKE EXHIBIT 1 TO DAWN LOZANO, ESQ'S REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO REDUCE LIEN TO JUDGMENT...DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO STRIKE EXHIBIT 1 TO DAWN M. LOZANO, ESQ'S REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO REDUCE ATTORNEY'S LIEN TO JUDGMENT...OPPOSITION TO NOTICE OF ATTORNEY'S LIEN AND MOTION TO REDUCE ATTORNEY'S LIEN TO JUDGMENT Attorney Nathan Gibbs, Bar #5965, present for the Plaintiff/Mother. Attorney Melvin Grimes, Bar #12972, present for the Defendant/Father with Court Interpreter, Estela Castro. Former Counsel to Mother, Attorney Dawn Lozano, Bar #3475, present with paralegal, Kathryn Njus. Discussion regarding Attorney Lozano's request for an Attorney's Lien and Motion to Reduce Attorney's Lien. Upon Court's inquiry, Attorney Lozano represented she is owed $18,390.50 in attorney's fees. COURT ORDERED the following: 1. Attorney Lozano's Motion to WITHDRAW is MOOT as Attorney Gibbs has filed a Substitution of Attorney. 2. Motion to strike Exhibit 1 is DENIED, however, it shall be SEALED. 3. Court is inclined to grant Attorney Lozano's request for a lien, however, the amount shall be set for an informal Evidentiary Hearing on the reasonableness of the fees. 4. An informal Evidentiary Hearing is set for 5/1/20 at 1:30 p.m. Attorney Lozano shall submit redacted billing statements and Attorney Gibbs shall submit a list of disputed items. Case Management Order SIGNED and FILED IN OPEN COURT. Attorney Lozano shall prepare the Order from today's hearing; Attorney Gibbs shall review and countersign; Attorney Grimes WAIVES his signature. Attorney Lozano exited the courtroom. Court labeled, today as Day 3 of the Evidentiary Hearing. Attorney Grimes represented the exclusionary rule is in effect. Testimony and Exhibits presented. Plaintiff's Exhibit 23 admitted. COURT FURTHER ORDERED the following: 5. Father shall have CUSTODIAL TIMESHARE from Saturday at 2:00 p.m. until Tuesday at 8:30 a.m., sending parent shall drop off the child. 6. The non-custodial parent shall receive a daily VIDEO CALL for three (3) minutes at 5:00 p.m. Parties shall remove all distractions. 7. MATTER CONTINUED TO Day 4 set for 4/1/20 at 9:00 a.m. for a FULL DAY and Day 5 set for 4/2/20 at 9:00 a.m. for a FULL DAY. Department I's Judicial Executive Assistant (JEA) shall prepare a Trial Management Order.;

02/19/2020 **Opposition** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B.)
Deft's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Exhibit 1 to Dawn M. Lozano, Esq.'s Reply to Opposition to Motion to Reduce Attorney's Lien to Judgment
Matter Heard;

02/19/2020 **Motion** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B.)
Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Exhibit 1 to Dawn Lozano, Esq.'s Reply to Opposition to Motion to Reduce Lien to Judgment
Matter Heard;

02/19/2020 **Hearing** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B.)
Defendant's Reply to Plaintiff s Opposition to Notice of Attorney s Lien and Lien and Motion to Reduce Attorney's Lien to Judgment
Matter Heard;

02/19/2020 **Evidentiary Hearing** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B.)
(Day #4, stack #1)
Matter Continued;

02/19/2020 **Opposition** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B.)
Opposition to Notice of Attorney's Lien and Lien, and Motion to Reduce Attorney's Lien to Judgment
Matter Heard;

02/19/2020 **Motion** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B.)
Plaintiff's Motion to Reduce Attorney's Lien to Judgment
Matter Heard;

01/29/2020 *CANCELED* **Motion** (2:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B.)
Vacated
Motion to Withdraw as Counsel

01/15/2020 *CANCELED* **Evidentiary Hearing** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B.)
Vacated - per Judge
Evidentiary Hearing (Day 3 of 3) re: divorce and custody

01/15/2020 **Evidentiary Hearing** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B.)
Evidentiary Hearing (Day 2 of 3) re: divorce and custody

MINUTES

Matter Heard;
Journal Entry Details:
EVIDENTIARY HEARING: DAY 2 (MORNING SESSION) Attorney Nathan Gibbs, Bar #5968, present for the Plaintiff/Mother. Attorney Melvin Grimes, Bar # 12972, present for the Defendant/Father. Court Interpreter, Magdalena Becerra, present for the Father. Discussion regarding an Order to Show Cause to be submitted by Mother per Day 1 of trial. Further discussion regarding Mother's exhibits for Volume 2. Testimony and Exhibits presented. Plaintiff's Exhibit 20, 26, 30, 34-1, and 34-2 admitted. Defendant's Exhibit OO and SS admitted. Attorney Grimes will provide a copy of the video marked "Exhibit SS" at the next hearing. COURT ORDERED the following: 1. The Order to Show Cause submitted by Attorney Gibbs is rejected this morning and shall be resubmitted in the afternoon for a more specific Order to Show Cause with page numbers and line numbers of the violations. MATTER TRAILED for lunch recess. MATTER RECALLED. EVIDENTIARY HEARING: DAY 3 (AFTERNOON SESSION) Court labeled the afternoon session as Day 3. All Parties present. The video marked as "Exhibit SS" was received by the Court Clerk. Discussion regarding future trial dates. Testimony and Exhibits presented. COURT FURTHER ORDERED the following: 2. Order to Show Cause SIGNED and FILED IN OPEN COURT, parties served in open court. 3. Parties STIPULATE the child's medical bill from Southern Hills Hospital Medical Center in exhibit #13 will be split equally, however, both parents should have a reasonable time to negotiate a reduction in the amount and the final amount shall be split equally. The Court reserves jurisdiction. The Court excuses the 30/30 Rule as it is an outstanding marital debt. 4. On a trial basis, effective 1/18/20, Father shall have expanded VISITATION from Saturday at 10:00 a.m. until Monday at 7:00 p.m. Mother shall keep an eye on the child if the child does not have a stomach ache or the child is fine. Sending parent drops off the child. Parties shall follow the honk and text rule, however, the sending parent will unbuckle the child and wait on the sidewalk, the receiving parent will accompany the child back to the household. 5. Parents are admonished not to engage in any drama or conflict at exchanges. Parties may continue to video record each other at exchanges. 6. The custodial parent shall initiate a video call to the non-custodial parent between a time window of 5:00 p.m. and 5:15 p.m. for approximately three (3) minutes. Mother shall receive a phone call from Father on Saturday and Sunday, but not on Monday. 7. The RUSSIAN SCHOOL is exclusively for Mother, Mother's household, and child to be allowed on the premises. Temporarily and without prejudice, Father shall not come within 100 yards of the Russian School until further order of the Court. A stand alone order regarding the Russian school shall be prepared by Attorney Gibbs and Attorney Grimes shall review and countersign. 8. Day 4 of trial is set for 2/19/20 at 1:30 p.m. 9. Pursuant to EDCR 7.50, the Order shall be enforceable, effective immediately. Attorney Grimes shall prepare the Order from today's hearing; Attorney Gibbs shall review and countersign.;

12/19/2019 *CANCELED* **Motion** (8:45 AM) (Judicial Officer: Steel, Cynthia Dianne)
Vacated

Motion to Withdraw as Counsel - OST, per Judge

12/06/2019 **Status Check** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Fic, Holly)
Off Calendar;
Journal Entry Details:
STATUS CHECK: SUBMISSION OF REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION Per Hearing Master, Report and Recommendation was received today, December 6, 2019; therefore, matter taken OFF CALENDAR.;

11/25/2019 **Evidentiary Hearing** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B.)
Evidentiary Hearing (Stack 1) re: divorce and custody

MINUTES
Matter Heard;
Journal Entry Details:
EVIDENTIARY HEARING (STACK #1) RE: DIVORCE AND CUSTODY Court Certified Spanish Interpreter, Soledad Garcia, present with [redacted] r. Court Certified Russian Interpreter, Tatyana Vargason, present with Plaintiff's Witness, Nadia [redacted] Paralegal, Kathryn Njus, also present with Attorney Lozano. Testimony and exhibits presen [redacted] eets). Attorney Lozano objected to Attorney Grime's exhibits because she only received them today at the start of court. Argument and discussion. Court overruled and allowed the exhibits. Attorney Lozano objected to custody being an issue during today's trial. Court reviewed the tape and further discussion. After reviewing the tape, Court overruled and will hear testimony for custody. Attorney Lozano stated Child Support needs to be properly set. Attorney Lozano further stated Attorney Grimes was to file a Motion to Strike his Admissions and it was not done. Attorney Lozano further stated the Pre-Trial Memorandum (PTM) was due 6/1/2019 and it was not filed until 11/18/2019 and asks it be stricken. Attorney Lozano further stated Discovery closed 10/24/2019 and the request for admissions/request for production of documents was received 11/4/2019 and the interrogatories came at the same time not signed by Plaintiff/Father as required by the rules and asks they be stricken as well. Further discussion Court will not strike anything but will consider monetary sanctions. Discussion regarding the Schedule of Arrears. Further discussion regarding Attorney's fees. The EXCLUSIONARY RULE shall be invoked. Counsel waives opening statements. Discussion regarding Contempt for Defendant/Father not signing the Life Insurance policy over. As a matter of procedure, Attorney Lozano shall submit an Order to Show Cause, have Attorney Grimes served and it will be addressed on day two (2) of the trial. Attorney Grimes STIPULATES to the admission of Plaintiff's Exhibits 1 - 19. Attorney Lozano STIPULATES to the admission of Defendant's Exhibits A - NN. Attorney Grimes objected to Attorney Lozano's witnesses after the first witness testified and after the second witness was sworn in and had begun testifying because they were not disclosed on her initial Pre-Trial Memorandum. Court overruled and permitted the testimony. Discussion regarding Thanksgiving. Parties STIPULATE to Plaintiff/Mother receiving Thanksgiving in ODD YEARS and Defendant/Father receiving Thanksgiving in EVEN YEARS. COURT ORDERED the following: 1. Order from 3/25/2019 hearing SIGNED and FILED IN OPEN COURT interlineated TEMPORARY into the Custody Order. Copies provided to counsel. 2. Evidentiary Hearing CONTINUED to 1/2/2020 from 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. and 1/3/2020 from 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. 3. Plaintiff/Mother shall meet Defendant/Father at the front desk at the Venetian tonight, 11/25/19, at 6:00 p.m. to exchange the child for a dinner visit. Plaintiff/Mother shall return the child to Defendant/Father's house at 9:00 p.m. 4. Defendant/Father shall have the child until Wednesday, 11/27/19, at 1:00 p.m. Defendant/Father shall take the minor child to Russian school tomorrow morning, 11/26/19. Plaintiff/Mother shall pick the child up from Defendant/Father's house. Normal visitation shall resume 12/1/19. 5. Starting tomorrow night, every night at 5:00 - 5:15 p.m. the custodial parent shall initiate a video call using the WHATSUP App. to the non-custodial parent. If there is an emergency and a video call is missed the call must be made up within 24 hours. Department I's Judicial Executive Assistant (JEA) shall send out an Amended Scheduling Order to counsel/parties. CLERK'S NOTE: Following a review of the video from the hearing at the request of the JEA, the Minute Order is being amended to include the following ruling: The Court will issue a BEHAVIOR ORDER. (12/13/2019 - mlc);

SCHEDULED HEARINGS

Evidentiary Hearing (01/15/2020 at 9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B.)
Evidentiary Hearing (Day 2 of 3) re: divorce and custody

11/15/2019 **All Pending Motions** (1:00 PM) (Judicial Officer: Fic, Holly)
Matter Heard;
Journal Entry Details:

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND SANCTIONS...DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND SANCTIONS The Court reviewed the case. Argument by counsel. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED: COMMISSIONER FINDS that EDCR 5.602 was not met as counsel attempted to contact opposing counsel after the motion was filed. Plaintiff's motion is DENIED. Plaintiff can re-notice the motion and advise of the deficiencies. Each side reserves their right to request attorney fees before the Judge. Attorney Throne shall submit the Report and Recommendation and attorney Grimes need not countersign. Status Check SET for 12/6/19 at 1:30 P.M. If the Court receives the Report and Recommendation by next week

counsel shall not need to appear for the Status Check.;

11/15/2019 **Opposition** (1:00 PM) (Judicial Officer: Fic, Holly)
Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discovery and for Attorney's Fees and Sanctions
Granted in Part;

11/15/2019 **Motion** (1:00 PM) (Judicial Officer: Fic, Holly)
Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discovery and for an Attorney's Fees and Sanctions
Denied in Part;

05/08/2019 *CANCELED* **Motion** (2:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B.)
Vacated - per Judge
Motion to Continue Trial Setting Pursuant to EDCR 7.30 and Reopen Discovery Pursuant to EDCR 2.35 (First Request)

04/16/2019 *CANCELED* **Motion** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B.)
Vacated

03/25/2019 **Non-Jury Trial** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B.)
Events: 12/05/2018 Case Management Order
Non-Jury Trial - Stack #2

MINUTES
Matter Continued;
Journal Entry Details:
NON-JURY TRIAL: STACK 2 Attorney Lozano's paralegal, Kathryn Njus, present with Attorney Lozano. Discussion regarding Father's oral motion to continue the trial. Resident Witness, Philip Dace, sworn and testified for the purposes of the parties' divorce. COURT ORDERED the following: 1. Mother shall retain PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY. 2. Father shall pay Mother CHILD SUPPORT in the amount of $372.00 per month, effective 2/1/19. 3. The following people are approved to pick-up the child on behalf of Mother: A. Maternal Grandmother, Nadia B. Maternal Aunt, Katerina C. Katerina's husband, Michael D. Mother's significant other, Philip E. Mother's friend, Canan, pronounced "Janan" F. Mother's friend, Fernanda 4. Father's significant other, Jennifer, is approved to pick up the child on behalf of Father. 5. Parties shall file updated FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE FORMS (FDF's). 6. Father is directed to go to the State Farm office on Blue Diamond, today, 3/25/19, and transfer ownership of the LIFE INSURANCE POLICY to Mother. 7. Father owes Mother ATTORNEY'S FEES for today's hearing, 3/25/19, in the amount of $500.00 due by 12:00 p.m. (noon) on 3/29/19. The remaining amount of Mother's requested Attorney's Fees in the amount of $2,000.00 is DEFERRED to trial. 8. Father's Motion to continue trial set for 5/8/19 at 2:30 a.m. is VACATED. 9. Discovery closes on 10/24/19. 10. Pre-Trial Memos are due on 6/1/19. 11. Evidentiary Hearing re: custody and divorce (Stack #1) is SET for 11/25/19 at 1:30 p.m. Parties shall be placed on the priority list for 7/1/19, forward. 12. Case Management Order SIGNED and FILED IN OPEN COURT. A copy of the Case Management Order was provided to both Counsel IN OPEN COURT. Attorney Grimes shall prepare the Order from today's hearing; Attorney Lozano shall review and countersign.;

12/05/2018 **All Pending Motions** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B.)
12/5/18

MINUTES
Matter Heard;
Journal Entry Details:

RETURN HEARING: FMC MEDIATION...CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE Plaintiff and Defendant sworn and testified. With regard to FMC Mediation, Ms. Lozano noted there was a paragraph in the draft that she would like to add with regard to temporary timeshare. Court noted that is not in the Partial Parenting Agreement the Court received, and Ms. Lozano may draft an order from today's hearing with that information. Upon Court's inquiry, Defendant advised he is working as a bartender at a restaurant, and he does not have a car and has been using Uber. Defendant advised he is renting a room in a four bedroom house and he can rent another room for the child. Upon Court's inquiry, Defendant advised Plaintiff has been to the house. Ms. Lozano noted Plaintiff is concerned about marijuana and alcohol use around the baby and there has already been a break-in at the house. Defendant advised the house is safe. Defendant advised he stopped drinking about three months ago. Upon Court's inquiry, Plaintiff advised she smokes marijuana at night before she goes to bed. Court noted it would like to see six months or one year of responsible use. Ms. Lozano suggested testing for levels to keep it down. Ms. Lozano requested a child support order today. Upon Court's inquiry, Defendant advised he earns minimum wage plus about $150 in tips per week, and he works three days a week. Partial Parenting Agreement with regard to Joint Legal Custody, holidays, and temporary transportation signed and FILED IN OPEN COURT. COURT ORDERED, Partial Parenting Agreement AFFIRMED and ADOPTED. PER STIPULATION, Plaintiff will have PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY of the minor child. Defendant's VISITATION SCHEDULE will be Sunday and Monday from 10:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. each day. There will be no overnights until Defendant has a place and a crib. Defendant will have to get a car seat for the child. Defendant

	is to pay $100 per month towards child support ARREARS. Parties are to cooperate regarding transfer of State Farm life insurance with the child as a beneficiary. With regard to Thanksgiving, with the exception of 2019, the child will reside with Plaintiff in even years and with Defendant in odd years. The child will be with Plaintiff for Thanksgiving in 2019. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, Non-Jury Trial SET. Case and Non-Jury Trial Management Order FILED IN OPEN COURT. 18% of Defendant's represented gross monthly income of $1,560 is $281. TEMPORARILY, Defendant is to pay Plaintiff CHILD SUPPORT in the amount of $281 PER MONTH. Amount is to be transferred into Plaintiff's bank account. There is to be NO ALCOHOL or SMOKING MARIJUANA by either party when they are driving with the child or taking care of the child. Either parent may call for a random drug test of the other parent for up to one year. The party being tested will have to report the same day unless they are notified too late in the day and have to go in the morning. Additionally, Plaintiff may request an alcohol assessment for Defendant at Options. ATI Drug Testing Referral forms for random drug urinalysis and Options referral for random alcohol urinalysis provided to the parties. Referrals expire 12/5/19. Defendant is required to file a Financial Disclosure Form. Blank form provided to Defendant in open court. As the primary physical custodian, Plaintiff may claim the child each year for tax purposes. Parties are to use the Talking Parents App to communicate. Parties are to take the COPE class. Ms. Lozano is to prepare the order. 3/25/19 1:30 PM NON-JURY TRIAL (STACK #2) Clerk's note: Clerk placed a copy of Partial Parenting Agreement, Case and Non-Jury Trial Management Order, and ATI and Options referrals in the attorney folder of Dawn Lozano, Esq. and sent a copy to Defendant by mail. ;
12/05/2018	**Return Hearing** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B.) *Re: FMC Mediation* Parenting Plan;
12/05/2018	**Case Management Conference** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B.) Non Jury Trial;
08/20/2018	**Summons** Velazquez-Gonzalez, Enrique Ivan Served: 08/23/2018

Electronically Filed
02/08/2022 5:16 PM
CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR
Melvin R. Grimes, Esq.
State Bar of Nevada No. 12972
ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP
4411 S. Pec[redacted]
Las Vegas, [redacted]9121
[redacted]
FAX: (702) [redacted]
Attorney [redacted]

ISTRICT COURT
AMILY DIVISION
K COUNTY, NEVADA

LUBA G[redacted]w,	)	Case No: D-18-575860-D
	)	
	)	Dept No: P
v.	)	
	)	
ENRIQU[redacted]-	)	
GONZA[redacted]	)	
	)	Date of Hearing: December 7, 2021
[redacted]	)	Time of Hearing: 9:00 a.m.

CR AFTER HEARING

Th[redacted]efore this Court on the 7th day of December, 2021, for an *Ar*[redacted]fendant, Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, being represent[redacted]torney, Melvin R. Grimes, Esq., of Roberts Stoffel Family L[redacted] Luba Gonzalez nka Luba Snow, being present by and throu[redacted]d, Nathan R. Gibbs, Esq. of Kelleher and Kelleher.

Statistically closed: USJR-FAM-Set/Withdrawn with Judicial Conf/Hearing Close Case (UWJC)

The Parties and Counsel appearances in person, in accordance with Administrative Order. The Court having heard arguments of Counsel and reviewed the pleadings on file herein hereby Orders as follows:

1. **The wishes of the child, to the extent that the child is of sufficient age and capacity to form an intelligent preference**

The minor child has stated that she wants to be around other students. The Court finds that the minor child was not getting interaction with other students in Bloom. The schools presented by the Parties represent the minor child's preferences, [redacted] and [redacted] The child will be around other students and meets the child's preferences. (*See Video at 11:14:15)*

2. **The child's educational needs and each school's ability to meet them;**

The minor child does not have any special education needs. The Court notes that both of the minor child's parents are smart, and intelligence is hereditary. Both schools will be able to meet child's needs. (*See Video at 11:14:34)*

3. **The curriculum, method of teaching, and quality of instruction at each school;**

The Court notes that neither party delved into the method of teaching, thus the Court searched into the matter. (*See Video at 11:15:06)*

At [redacted] there is no guarantee that the teachers are certified. With a public school or a magnet school, there are more controlling factors, and the teachers are likely to be certified. *(See Video at 11:15:11)*

At [redacted] the teacher to student ratio is set at 19:1, while Doral is 28:1. *(See Video at 11:15:24)*

The money spent per student spent on educational level, at [redacted] 10,033 while Doral spends $5,066. *(See Video at 11:15:30)*

The subject where the most children are lacking is math. The Court recognizes that there are more subjects than math, but when students fall behind, teaches tend to spend more time with the student to give more education. When there is a smaller class size, it is easier for teachers to give a little extra time without cutting too much time from the other students. *(See Video at 11: 15:54)*

4. **The child's past scholastic achievement and predicted performance at each school;**

Not applicable as the child is only in kindergarten, and this is her first opportunity. *(See Video at 11:16:21)*

5. **The child's medical needs and each school's ability to meet them;**

Not applicable as the child does not have any known special medical needs *(See Video at 11:16:35)*

6. **The child's extracurricular interests and each school's ability to satisfy them;**

The Court did not hear any testimony from either Party that the minor child has been forming friendships.

7. **Whether leaving the child's current school would disrupt the child's academic progress;**

Both parents agreed that going to a different school is best for the minor child. Not applicable (See Video at 11:16:55)

8. **The child's ability to adapt to an unfamiliar environment;**

Right now, even without considering that the child had been recently in enrolled in [redacted] the Court did not consider this factor. (See Video at 11:18:26)

[redacted]

her current school to another school. The Court finds that this disrupts and creates insecurity in a child. (*See Video at 11:18:50)*

The Court finds that the child is at the top of the waiting list, which would mean that the Plaintiff added the minor child to the wait list long before she was permitted. (*See Video at 11:19:16)*

The Child is currently forming friendships in [redacted] yet no one has testified whether she has made friends in her school. (*See Video at 11:19:54)*

Previously, Plaintiff argued that class size is important, and the amount the school spends on each child is important. The Court agrees that class size and the budget of each child is important, as such the Court Orders that the minor child shall remain at [redacted] *(See Video at 11:20:10)*

9. <u>The length of commute to each school and other logistical concerns;</u>

[redacted] is 35 minutes from Plaintiff's house under normal driving conditions, and 23 minutes from Defendants. [redacted] is 25 minutes from Plaintiff's house, and 24 minutes from Dad's (*See Video at 111:17:26)*

The distances are so close to equal, that this factor is not applicable. (*See Video at 11:17:38)*

10. <u>Whether enrolling the child at a school will be alienating the child from a parent;</u>

If the child was not put in a school that is equidistant from both parties, may grow to alienate the child. She would start getting bitter about having to wake up earlier with one parent. This factor is not applicable *(See Video at 11:17: 53)*

///

///

///

11. Whether enrolling the child at a school will be alienating the child from a parent;

[redacted]

charter schools "cherry-pick" their students. (*See Video at 11:20:29)*

The Plaintiff also testified that she would like the minor child to be around other intelligent children, than the average schools, however, in [redacted] she will be surrounded by diversity. The Court notes that Diversity is not an *Arcella* factor. (*See Video at 11:20:45)*

12. The diversity in the proposed schools.

In [redacted] the minor child would be surrounded by other children who look like her. [redacted] has a 42% Hispanic community that will help the minor child become more comfortable. *(See Video at 11:21:20)*

The Parties should look into Doral in the future as it provides educational benefits. (*See Video at 11:21:31)*

The Court notes that the minor child is smart and needs a school that can keep up with her and offer the minor child challenges. The Court asks the Defendant to objectively review the proposed schools that the Plaintiff presented, for the sake of the minor child (*See Video at 11:23:38)*

The Court finds that the Defendant is strongly opposed to religious schools, but the Court did not take religion to be a factor. However, the Court believes that the Court ruled out many other options that may have been closer to the Parties. The minor child must be taught how to learn now, as opposed to five (5) years in the future. *(See Video at 11:23:48)*

The Court finds that [redacted] went against everything the Plaintiff stood for in the first day of the evidentiary hearing. The Court heard the Plaintiff state that class size and money spent per student, then changed her argument when [redacted] met those specifications. On the few factors the Parties did agree on, [redacted] met their qualifications. *(See Video at 11:24:49)*

NOW THEREFORE,

THE COURT ORDERED the following:

1. [redacted]
2. The Plaintiff shall be allowed to apply at the [redacted] Elementary School in February of 2023.
3. The Parties shall work together and research the [redacted] to decide if it is in the best interest of the Minor Child.
4. That if the Parties stipulate to attending family therapy, the Parties shall shall agree on a therapist and attend therapy for a minimum of six months.

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Attorney Grimes shall prepare the Order from today's hearing and submit it directly to Attorney Gibbs for review and signature.

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS the Case shall be closed.

Statutory Notices

The Parties are put on notice of the following provision of *NRS* §125C.006, which states:

> 1. If primary physical custody has been established pursuant to an order, judgment or decree of a court and the custodial parent intends to relocate his or her residence to a place outside of this State or to a place within this State that is at such a distance that would substantially impair the ability of the other parent to maintain a meaningful relationship with the child, and the custodial parent desires to take the child with him or her, the custodial parent shall, before relocating:
>
> (a) Attempt to obtain the written consent of the noncustodial parent to relocate with the child; and
> (b) If the noncustodial parent refuses to give that consent, petition the court for permission to relocate with the child.
>
> 2. The court may award reasonable attorney's fees and costs to the custodial parent if the court finds that the noncustodial parent refused to consent to the custodial parent's relocation with the child:
>
> (a) Without having reasonable grounds for such refusal, or
> (b) For the purpose of harassing the custodial parent.
>
> 3. A parent who relocates with a child pursuant to this section without the written consent of the noncustodial

parent or the permission of the court is subject to the provisions of NRS 200.359.

Both Parties shall be bound by the provisions of *NRS* §125C.0045(6) which states:

> *PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF ORDER*: THE ABDUCTION, CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE AS A CATEGORY D FELONY AS PROVIDED IN *NRS* § 193.130. *NRS* § 200.359 provides that every person having a limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or removes the child from a parent, guardian or other person having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in violation of an order of this court, or removes the child from the jurisdiction of the court without the consent of either the court or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is subject to being punished for a category D felony as provided in *NRS* §193.130.

Pursuant to *NRS* §125C.0045(7), the terms of the Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private International Law, apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a foreign country.

The minor children's habitual residence is located in the United States of America. *NRS* § 125C.0045 (7) and (8) specifically provide as follows:

> Section 7. In addition to the language required pursuant to subsection 6, all orders authorized by this section must specify that the terms of the Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private International Law, apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a foreign country.

Section 8. If a parent of the child lives in a foreign country or has significant commitments in a foreign country:

(a) The parties may agree, and the Court shall include in the Order for custody of the child, that the United States is the country of habitual residence of the child for the purposes of applying the terms of the Hague Convention as set forth in Subsection 7.

(b) Upon motion of the parties, the Court may order the parent to post a bond if the Court determines that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child outside the country of habitual residence. The bond must be in an amount determined by the Court and may be used only to pay for the cost of locating the child and returning him to his habitual residence if the child is wrongfully removed from or concealed outside the country of habitual residence. The fact that a parent has significant commitments in a foreign country does not create a presumption that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child.

The Parties are further put on notice that they are subject to the provisions of *NRS* §31A and *NRS* § 125.450 regarding the collection of delinquent child support payments.

The Parties are further put on notice that either Party may request a review of child support pursuant to *NRS* §125B.145.

The Parties shall submit the information required in *NRS* §125B.055, *NRS* [redacted] Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten (10) days from the date

the Decree in this matter is filed. Such information shall be maintained by the Clerk in a confidential manner and not part of the public record.

The Parties shall update the information filed with the Court and the Welfare [redacted] partment of Human Resources within ten (10) days should a[redacted] become inaccurate.

[redacted]uary, 2022

IT

DISTRI[redacted]

ROBER[redacted]ILY LAW G[redacted]

Melvin R[redacted]
State of N[redacted]72

Attorney for Defendant

LAW O[redacted]MIN B. CHILDS[redacted]

Benjamin[redacted]
State of N[redacted]

PH: (702[redacted]

Attorney for Plaintiff

CSERV

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff vs. Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.	CASE NO: D-18-575860-D DEPT. NO. Department P

AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

Service Date: 2/8/2022

[redacted]

Electronically Filed
2/9/2022 1:29 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

NEOJ
Melvin R
State Bar
ROBER **Y LAW GROUP**
[redacted]
PH: (702
[redacted]
Attorneys

ISTRICT COURT
AMILY DIVISION
K COUNTY, NEVADA
***

LUBA G now,
Case No: D-18-575860-D
Dept No: P

vs.

ENRIQU -
GONZA

NTRY OF ORDER AFTER HEARING

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

Please take notice that an Order After [redacted] red in the above referenced case on the 8th day of February 2[redacted] attached hereto and by reference fully incorporated herein.

DATED this 9th day of February [redacted]

ROBERTS [redacted] LAW GROUP

By: [redacted]
Melvin R[redacted]
State Bar[redacted]

[redacted]

FAX (70[redacted]

Attorneys for Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group, and on the 9th day of February 2022, I served by and through Wiz-Net electronic service, pursu[redacted] District Court Administrative Order 14-2 for service of doc[redacted] Rule 9 of the N.E.F.C.R., the foregoing Notice of Entry of Orde[redacted]n the Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing attached there[redacted]:

Benjam[redacted]

Attorne[redacted]

DATED 9th day of February 2022.

By: Archie Rodriguez
An Employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group

Electronically Filed
02/08/2022 5:16 PM
CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR
Melvin R. Grimes, Esq.
State Bar of Nevada No. 12972
ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP
4411 S. Pec[redacted]
Las Vegas, [redacted]21
[redacted]
Attorney for [redacted]

DISTRICT COURT
FAMILY DIVISION
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

LUBA GOZALEZ nka Luba Snow, Plaintiff, v. ENRIQUE IVAN VELAZQUEZ-GONZALEZ Defendant.	Case No: D-18-575860-D Dept No: P Date of Hearing: December 7, 2021 Time of Hearing: 9:00 a.m.

ORDER AFTER HEARING

This matter having come before this Court on the 7th day of December, 2021, for an *Arcella* Hearing. The Defendant, Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, being represented by and through his attorney, Melvin R. Grimes, Esq., of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group; the Plaintiff, Luba Gonzalez nka Luba Snow, being present by and through her attorney of record, Nathan R. Gibbs, Esq. of Kelleher and Kelleher.

Statistically closed: USJR-FAM-Set/Withdrawn with Judicial Conf/Hearing Close Case (UWJC)

The Parties and Counsel appearances in person, in accordance with Administrative Order. The Court having heard arguments of Counsel and reviewed the pleadings on file herein hereby Orders as follows:

1. **The wishes of the child, to the extent that the child is of sufficient age and capacity to form an intelligent preference**

The minor child has stated that she wants to be around other students. The Court finds that the minor child was not getting interaction with other students in Bloom. The schools presented by the Parties represent the minor child's preferences, [redacted] and [redacted] The child will be around other students and meets the child's preferences. (*See Video at 11:14:15)*

2. **The child's educational needs and each school's ability to meet them;**

The minor child does not have any special education needs. The Court notes that both of the minor child's parents are smart, and intelligence is hereditary. Both schools will be able to meet child's needs. (*See Video at 11:14:34)*

3. **The curriculum, method of teaching, and quality of instruction at each school;**

The Court notes that neither party delved into the method of teaching, thus the Court searched into the matter. (*See Video at 11:15:06)*

At [redacted] there is no guarantee that the teachers are certified. With a public school or a magnet school, there are more controlling factors, and the teachers are likely to be certified. *(See Video at 11:15:11)*

At [redacted] the teacher to student ratio is set at 19:1, while Doral is 28:1. *(See Video at 11:15:24)*

The money spent per student spent on educational level, at [redacted] 10,033 while Doral spends $5,066. *(See Video at 11:15:30)*

The subject where the most children are lacking is math. The Court recognizes that there are more subjects than math, but when students fall behind, teaches tend to spend more time with the student to give more education. When there is a smaller class size, it is easier for teachers to give a little extra time without cutting too much time from the other students. *(See Video at 11: 15:54)*

4. **The child's past scholastic achievement and predicted performance at each school;**

Not applicable as the child is only in kindergarten, and this is her first opportunity. *(See Video at 11:16:21)*

5. **The child's medical needs and each school's ability to meet them;**

Not applicable as the child does not have any known special medical needs *(See Video at 11:16:35)*

6. **The child's extracurricular interests and each school's ability to satisfy them;**

The Court did not hear any testimony from either Party that the minor child has been forming friendships.

7. **Whether leaving the child's current school would disrupt the child's academic progress;**

Both parents agreed that going to a different school is best for the minor child. Not applicable (See Video at 11:16:55)

8. **The child's ability to adapt to an unfamiliar environment;**

Right now, even without considering that the child had been recently in enrolled in [redacted] the Court did not consider this factor. (See Video at 11:18:26)

[redacted]

her current school to another school. The Court finds that this disrupts and creates insecurity in a child. *(See Video at 11:18:50)*

The Court finds that the child is at the top of the waiting list, which would mean that the Plaintiff added the minor child to the wait list long before she was permitted. *(See Video at 11:19:16)*

The Child is currently forming friendships in [redacted] yet no one has testified whether she has made friends in her school. (*See Video at 11:19:54)*

Previously, Plaintiff argued that class size is important, and the amount the school spends on each child is important. The Court agrees that class size and the budget of each child is important, as such the Court Orders that the minor child shall remain at [redacted] *(See Video at 11:20:10)*

9. **The length of commute to each school and other logistical concerns;**

[redacted] is 35 minutes from Plaintiff's house under normal driving conditions, and 23 minutes from Defendants. [redacted] is 25 minutes from Plaintiff's house, and 24 minutes from Dad's (*See Video at 111:17:26)*

The distances are so close to equal, that this factor is not applicable. (*See Video at 11:17:38)*

10. **Whether enrolling the child at a school will be alienating the child from a parent;**

If the child was not put in a school that is equidistant from both parties, may grow to alienate the child. She would start getting bitter about having to wake up earlier with one parent. This factor is not applicable *(See Video at 11:17: 53)*

///

///

///

11. Whether enrolling the child at a school will be alienating the child from a parent;

[redacted]

charter schools "cherry-pick" their students. (*See Video at 11:20:29)*

The Plaintiff also testified that she would like the minor child to be around other intelligent children, than the average schools, however, in [redacted] she will be surrounded by diversity. The Court notes that Diversity is not an *Arcella* factor. (*See Video at 11:20:45)*

12. The diversity in the proposed schools.

In [redacted] the minor child would be surrounded by other children who look like her. [redacted] has a 42% Hispanic community that will help the minor child become more comfortable. *(See Video at 11:21:20)*

The Parties should look into Doral in the future as it provides educational benefits. (*See Video at 11:21:31)*

The Court notes that the minor child is smart and needs a school that can keep up with her and offer the minor child challenges. The Court asks the Defendant to objectively review the proposed schools that the Plaintiff presented, for the sake of the minor child (*See Video at 11:23:38)*

The Court finds that the Defendant is strongly opposed to religious schools, but the Court did not take religion to be a factor. However, the Court believes that the Court ruled out many other options that may have been closer to the Parties. The minor child must be taught how to learn now, as opposed to five (5) years in the future. (*See Video at 11:23:48)*

The Court finds that [redacted] went against everything the Plaintiff [redacted] state that class size and money spent per student, then changed her argument when [redacted] met those specifications. On the few factors the Parties did agree on, [redacted] met their qualifications. (*See Video at 11:24:49)*

NOW THEREFORE,

THE COURT ORDERED the following:

1. [redacted]
2. The Plaintiff shall be allowed to apply at the [redacted] Elementary School in February of 2023.
3. The Parties shall work together and research the [redacted] to decide if it is in the best interest of the Minor Child.
4. That if the Parties stipulate to attending family therapy, the Parties shall shall agree on a therapist and attend therapy for a minimum of six months.

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Attorney Grimes shall prepare the Order from today's hearing and submit it directly to Attorney Gibbs for review and signature.

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS the Case shall be closed.

Statutory Notices

The Parties are put on notice of the following provision of *NRS* §125C.006, which states:

> 1. If primary physical custody has been established pursuant to an order, judgment or decree of a court and the custodial parent intends to relocate his or her residence to a place outside of this State or to a place within this State that is at such a distance that would substantially impair the ability of the other parent to maintain a meaningful relationship with the child, and the custodial parent desires to take the child with him or her, the custodial parent shall, before relocating:
>
> (a) Attempt to obtain the written consent of the noncustodial parent to relocate with the child; and
> (b) If the noncustodial parent refuses to give that consent, petition the court for permission to relocate with the child.
>
> 2. The court may award reasonable attorney's fees and costs to the custodial parent if the court finds that the noncustodial parent refused to consent to the custodial parent's relocation with the child:
>
> (a) Without having reasonable grounds for such refusal, or
> (b) For the purpose of harassing the custodial parent.
>
> 3. A parent who relocates with a child pursuant to this section without the written consent of the noncustodial

parent or the permission of the court is subject to the provisions of NRS 200.359.

Both Parties shall be bound by the provisions of *NRS* §125C.0045(6) which states:

> *PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF ORDER*: THE ABDUCTION, CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE AS A CATEGORY D FELONY AS PROVIDED IN *NRS* § 193.130. *NRS* § 200.359 provides that every person having a limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or removes the child from a parent, guardian or other person having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in violation of an order of this court, or removes the child from the jurisdiction of the court without the consent of either the court or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is subject to being punished for a category D felony as provided in *NRS* §193.130.

Pursuant to *NRS* §125C.0045(7), the terms of the Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private International Law, apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a foreign country.

The minor children's habitual residence is located in the United States of America. *NRS* § 125C.0045 (7) and (8) specifically provide as follows:

> Section 7. In addition to the language required pursuant to subsection 6, all orders authorized by this section must specify that the terms of the Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private International Law, apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a foreign country.

Section 8. If a parent of the child lives in a foreign country or has significant commitments in a foreign country:

(a) The parties may agree, and the Court shall include in the Order for custody of the child, that the United States is the country of habitual residence of the child for the purposes of applying the terms of the Hague Convention as set forth in Subsection 7.

(b) Upon motion of the parties, the Court may order the parent to post a bond if the Court determines that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child outside the country of habitual residence. The bond must be in an amount determined by the Court and may be used only to pay for the cost of locating the child and returning him to his habitual residence if the child is wrongfully removed from or concealed outside the country of habitual residence. The fact that a parent has significant commitments in a foreign country does not create a presumption that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child.

The Parties are further put on notice that they are subject to the provisions of *NRS* §31A and *NRS* § 125.450 regarding the collection of delinquent child support payments.

The Parties are further put on notice that either Party may request a review of child support pursuant to *NRS* §125B.145.

The Parties shall submit the information required in *NRS* §125B.055, *NRS* §125.130 and *NRS* §125.230 on a separate form to the Court and the Welfare Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten (10) days from the date

the Decree in this matter is filed. Such informatic [redacted] ed by the Clerk in a confidential manner and not part of the pub[redacted]

The Parties shall update the information f[redacted] d the Welfare Division of the Department of Human Re[redacted] days should any of that information become inaccurate.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

022

DISTRI[redacted]

ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP	**LAW O[redacted] B. CHILDS[redacted]**
Melvin R Grimes, Esq.	Benjamin[redacted]
State of Nevada Bar No. 12972	State of N[redacted]
Las[redacted]	
Attorney for Defendant	*Attorney for Plaintiff*

CSERV

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff vs. Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.	CASE NO: D-18-575860-D DEPT. NO. Department P

AUTOMATED CERTIF[redacted]CE

This automated certificate of service was [redacted]ghth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order was served via the c[redacted]e system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above [redacted]

Service Date: 2/8/2022

[redacted]

Electronically Filed
04/28/2022 8:53 AM
CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR

Melvin R
State Bar
ROBER Y LAW GROUP
4411 S. F
FAX: (70
Attorney Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez

ISTRICT COURT
AMILY DIVISION
K COUNTY, NEVADA

LUBA C

v.

ENRIQU Z-
GONZA

Case No: D-18-575860-D
Dept No: P

Date of Hearing: March 30, 2022
Time of Hearing: 11:00 a.m.

R MARCH 30, 2022 HEARING

THIS having come before this Court on the 30th day of March, 2022, for M ntiff's Motion To Amend Or Make Additional Findings Of Facts [NRC /or to Alter or Amend Judgment...(continued from 03/16/2022)...Defendants: Opposition & Countermotion: Defendant's Motion (continued from 03/16/2022) and Plaintiff's Reply To Opposition To Motion To Amend (Continued From 03/16/2022). The Defendant, Enrique Ivan Velazquez-

Gonzales, being present and represented by and through his attorneys of record, Melvin R. Grimes Esq., of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group; and the Defendant, being present and represented by and through her attorney of record, Benjamin B. Childs, ESQ, of the Law Office of Benjamin B. Childs. The Parties and Counsel appeared via Blue Jeans video application, in accordance with Administrative Order. The Court having heard arguments of Counsel and reviewed the pleadings on file herein hereby Notes as follows:

THE COURT FURTHER NOTED that Attorney Childs stated that the bankruptcy has been filed and court noted that there is an automatic stay.

THE COURT FURTHER NOTED that the Department reviewed the competing Orders that were submitted and the Court chose the correct Order.

THE COURT FURTHER NOTED that the Court will not force someone to attend therapy.

NOW THEREFORE, the Court Orders as Follows:

1) THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS The Plaintiff's Motions shall be DENIED.

[redacted]

3) THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS Attorney Grimes shall submit a Memorandum of Fees and Costs to the Court.

4) THE COURT FURTHER ORDERED Attorney Grimes shall submit the December 22, 2022 Order to the Court.

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERED Attorney Grimes shall prepare the Order, se[redacted] for review and signature and submit it to the Court.

IT [redacted]

Dated this [redacted]

FBB 629
Mary Per
District C

Submitte[redacted] Approve[redacted] form:
April, 20

ROBER[redacted]Y
LAW G[redacted]

By: [redacted]
Melvin R[redacted]
State of [redacted]

By: Refu[redacted]
Benjamin[redacted]
State of [redacted]
318 S. M[redacted]

FAX: (70[redacted]

FAX: (70[redacted]

Attorneys for Defendant

Attorneys for Plaintiff

CSERV

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff vs. Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.	CASE NO: D-18-575860-D DEPT. NO. Department P

AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

Service Date: 4/28/2022

[redacted]

Electronically Filed
4/28/2022 11:13 AM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

NEOJ
Melvin R. Grimes, Esq.
State of Nevada Bar No. 12972
ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP
[redacted]
PH: (702
FAX: (70
[redacted]
Attorney for Defendant

DISTRICT COURT, FAMILY DIVISION

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

LUBA GONZALEZ, Plaintiff, vs. ENRIQUE IVAN VELAZQUEZ-GONZALEZ, Defendant.	Case No: D-18-575860-D Dept No: P

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER AFTER MARCH 30, 2022 HEARING

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE an Order After March 30, 2022 Hearing was filed with this Court on the 28th day of April 2022, a copy of which is attached hereto and fully incorporated herein by reference.

DATED this 28 day of April, 2022.

ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP

By: _____
Melvin R. Grimes, Esq.
State of Nevada Bar No. 12972
[redacted]
Ph: (702) 474-7007
Attorney for Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group, and on the 28th day of April 2022, I served by and through Wiz-Net electronic service, pursuant to Clark County District Court Administrative Order 14-2 for service of documents identified in Rule 9 of the N.E.F.C.R., the foregoing Notice of Entry of Order After March 30, 2022 Hearing, to the following:

Benjamin B. Childs, ESQ.
[redacted]

Employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group

Electronically Filed
04/28/2022 8:53 AM
CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR
Melvin R. Grimes, Esq.
State Bar of [redacted] No. 12972
ROBERTS[redacted]L FAMILY LAW GROUP
4411 S. Pec[redacted]
Las Vegas, [redacted]9121
PH: (702) 4[redacted]
FAX: (702) [redacted]
Attorney [redacted] Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez

[redacted]ISTRICT COURT
[redacted]AMILY DIVISION
[redacted]K COUNTY, NEVADA

LUBA [redacted]

v.

ENRIQUE IVAN VELAZQUEZ-GONZALEZ,

Defendant.

Case No: D-18-575860-D
Dept No: P

Date of Hearing: March 30, 2022
Time of Hearing: 11:00 a.m.

ORDER AFTER MARCH 30, 2022 HEARING

THIS MATTER having come before this Court on the 30th day of March, 2022, for Motion: Plaintiff's Motion To Amend Or Make Additional Findings Of Facts [NRCP 52 B and/or to Alter or Amend Judgment...(continued from 03/16/2022)...Defendants: Opposition & Countermotion: Defendant's Motion (continued from 03/16/2022) and Plaintiff's Reply To Opposition To Motion To Amend (Continued From 03/16/2022). The Defendant, Enrique Ivan Velazquez-

Gonzales, being present and represented by and through his attorneys of record, Melvin R. Grimes Esq., of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group; and the Defendant, being present and represented by and through her attorney of record, Benjamin B. Childs, ESQ, of the Law Office of Benjamin B. Childs. The Parties and Counsel appeared via Blue Jeans video application, in accordance with Administrative Order. The Court having heard arguments of Counsel and reviewed the pleadings on file herein hereby Notes as follows:

THE COURT FURTHER NOTED that Attorney Childs stated that the bankruptcy has been filed and court noted that there is an automatic stay.

[redacted] reviewed the competing Orders that were submitted and the Court chose the correct Order.

THE COURT FURTHER NOTED that the Court will not force someone to attend therapy.

NOW THEREFORE, the Court Orders as Follows:

1) THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS The Plaintiff's Motions shall be DENIED.

2) THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS Attorney's fees shall be GRANTED.

3) THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS Attorney Grimes shall submit a Memorandum of Fees and Costs to the Court.

4) THE COURT FURTHER ORDERED Attorney Grimes shall submit the December 22, 2022 Order to the Court.

THE [redacted]URTHER ORDERED Attorney Grimes shall prepare the Order, send[redacted]rney Child's for review and signature and submit it to the Court.

IT I[redacted]DERED.

Dated this [redacted]

FBB 629
Mary Per
District C

Submitted t[redacted]day of April, 2022.

Approve[redacted]orm:

ROBERTS[redacted]L FAMILY LAW GRO[redacted]

By:[redacted]
Melvin R, G[redacted]q.
State of Nev[redacted]o. 12972
[redacted]
PH: (702[redacted]
FAX: (7[redacted]

Attorneys for Defendant

By: Refu[redacted]
Benjamin[redacted]
State of N[redacted]
318 S. M[redacted]
PH: (702[redacted]
FAX: (7[redacted]

Attorneys for Plaintiff

CSERV

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff vs. Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.	CASE NO: D-18-575860-D DEPT. NO. Department P

AUTOMATED CERTIF[redacted]CE

This automated certificate of service was [redacted]ghth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order was served via the c[redacted]e system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above [redacted] below:

Service Date: 4/28/2022

[redacted]

Electronically Filed
04/27/2022 1:41 PM
CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR

Melvin R. Grimes, Esq.
State Bar of Nevada No. 12972
ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP
[redacted]
Las Vegas, [redacted]121
PH: [redacted]
[redacted]
Attorneys fo[redacted]nt.

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

LUBA GO[redacted]iff, v. ENRIQUE [redacted]LAZQUEZ-GONZALE[redacted]dant.	Case No: D-18-575860-D Dept No: P **ORDER AWARDING ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS TO DEFENDANT, ENRIQUE IVAN VELAZQUEZ-GONZALEZ**

THIS [redacted]R having come before this Court as it relates to Plaintiff's Motion to A[redacted]d Defendant's Counter-Motion for Attorney's Fees, as directed pursuant to [redacted]s Order of March 30,2022. In response to said Order, the Defendant f[redacted]morandum of Fees and Costs, and this Court issues the following Order:

THE COURT HEREBY FINDS the Defendant filed a Memorandum of Fees and Costs on April 7, 2022, as directed by this Court.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant's attorney's fees and costs outlined in the Memorandum of Fees and Costs was prepared solely as it pertained to Defendant's Counter-Motion for Attorney's Fees, which was filed March 16, 2022; Plaintiff's Opposition thereto; and all matters related to same including attempts to resolve the issues of the Motion prior to it being filed.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the rates charged to Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez were reasonable and ordinary for the amount of work and time expended in this matter, as outlined in Counsel's Memorandum of Fees and Costs.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Defendant followed the terms of *EDCR* § 5.501, by attempting to resolve the issues prior to filing his Opposition.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant was the prevailing Party in this matter and thus, entitled to attorney fees and costs pursuant to *NRS* § 18.010 and EDCR 7.60(b).

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the amount awarded is warranted based upon the holding in *Brunzell v. Golden Gate National Bank*, 85 Nev. 345, 455 P.2d 31 (1969).

THE COURT FURTHE FINDS that Counsel for Defendant has been practicing for more than Eight (8) years; he has appeared before this Court on both Motions and Trial; Counsel is known to the Court and his fees are commiserate with attorneys of his caliber; through Counsel's work, Defendant was the prevailing

Party in this matter; Counsel for Defendant attempted to settle this matter prior to incurring unnecessary attorney fees.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the amount billed by Defendant's Counsel was just and reasonable under the circumstances in this matter.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the sum of $1635.50 in attorney fees and costs were expended by the Defendant, Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, as it relates to the issues addressed and outlined in the Court's Order of March 30,2022.

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

NOW THEREFORE,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Plaintiff, Luba Snow, shall pay the Defendant, Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, the sum of $ 1,600.00, in attorney fees and costs. Said amount to be received from Ms. Snow's portion of the Real Property located at 7260 [redacted] Ave. Las Vegas, NV. These funds are currently held in Trust at the Robert Stoffel Family Law Group.

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated this 27th day of April, 2022

CD9 1A6 DE05 434A
Mary Perry
District Court Judge

Respectfully submitted this 25th day of April, 2022.

ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP

By: _____
Melvin R. Grimes, Esq.
State Bar of Nevada No. 7963
[redacted]
Las Vegas, [redacted] 9121
[redacted]
Attorneys for Defendant

CSERV

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff vs. Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.	CASE NO: D-18-575860-D DEPT. NO. Department P

AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

Service Date: 4/27/2022

[redacted]

Electronically Filed
4/29/2022 2:35 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

NEOJ
Melvin R[redacted]
State of N[redacted]
ROBER[redacted]Y LAW GROUP
[redacted]
PH: (702[redacted]
FAX: (70[redacted]
[redacted]
Attorney [redacted]

[redacted]COURT, FAMILY DIVISION
[redacted]K COUNTY, NEVADA

LUBA G[redacted]

Case No: D-18-575860-D
Dept No: Z

v.

ENRIQUE VELAZQUEZ-GONZALEZ,

Defendant.

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER AWARDING ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS TO DEFENDANT, ENRIQUE IVAN VELAZQUEZ-GONZALEZ

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE an Order Awarding Attorney's Fees and Costs to Defendant, Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez was filed with this Court on the 27 day of April 2022, a copy of which is attached hereto and fully incorporated herein by reference.

DATED this 29 day of April, 2022.

ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP

By: _____
Melvin R, Grimes, Esq.
State of Nevada Bar No. 12972
[redacted]
Attorney for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group, and on the 19 day of April, 2022, I served by and through Wiz-Net electronic service, pursuant to Clark County District Court Administrative Order 14-2 for servic[redacted]ified in Rule 9 of the N.E.F.C.R., the foregoing Notice of Entry [redacted]ttorney's Fees and Costs to Defendant, Enrique Ivan Velaz[redacted] following:

[redacted] ESQ.

[redacted]

By: _____
Employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group

Electronically Filed
04/27/2022 1:41 PM
CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR

Melvin R. Grimes, Esq.
State Bar of Nevada No. 12972
ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP
[redacted]
Las Vegas, [redacted]121
PH: (702) 4[redacted]
FAX: (702) [redacted]
[redacted]
Attorney[redacted]

[redacted]ISTRICT COURT

[redacted]K COUNTY, NEVADA

LUBA [redacted]

Case No: D-18-575860-D
Dept No: P

v.

ENRIQU[redacted]Z-GONZA[redacted]

ORDER AWARDING ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS TO DEFENDANT, ENRIQUE IVAN VELAZQUEZ-GONZALEZ

TH[redacted]ome before this Court as it relates to Plaintiff's Motion t[redacted]'s Counter-Motion for Attorney's Fees, as directed pursuant [redacted] March 30,2022. In response to said Order, the Defenda[redacted] of Fees and Costs, and this Court issues the followin[redacted]

TH[redacted]INDS the Defendant filed a Memorandum of Fees and Cost[redacted]rected by this Court.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant's attorney's fees and costs outlined in the Memorandum of Fees and Costs was prepared solely as it pertained to Defendant's Counter-Motion for Attorney's Fees, which was filed March 16, 2022; Plaintiff's Opposition thereto; and all matters related to same including attempts to resolve the issues of the Motion prior to it being filed.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the rates charged to Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez were reasonable and ordinary for the amount of work and time expended in this matter, as outlined in Counsel's Memorandum of Fees and Costs.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Defendant followed the terms of *EDCR* § 5.501, by attempting to resolve the issues prior to filing his Opposition.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant was the prevailing Party in this matter and thus, entitled to attorney fees and costs pursuant to *NRS* § 18.010 and EDCR 7.60(b).

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the amount awarded is warranted based upon the holding in *Brunzell v. Golden Gate National Bank*, 85 Nev. 345, 455 P.2d 31 (1969).

THE COURT FURTHE FINDS that Counsel for Defendant has been practicing for more than Eight (8) years; he has appeared before this Court on both Motions and Trial; Counsel is known to the Court and his fees are commiserate with attorneys of his caliber; through Counsel's work, Defendant was the prevailing

Party in this matter; Counsel for Defendant attempted to settle this matter prior to incurring unnecessary attorney fees.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the amount billed by Defendant's Counsel was just and reasonable under the circumstances in this matter.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the sum of $1635.50 in attorney fees and costs were expended by the Defendant, Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, as it relates to the issues addressed and outlined in the Court's Order of March 30,2022.

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

NOW THEREFORE,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Plaintiff, Luba Snow, shall pay the Defendant, Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, the sum of $ 1,600.00, in attorney fees and costs. Said amount to be received from Ms. Snow's portion of the Real Property located at 7260 Sunny Countryside Ave. Las Vegas, NV. These funds are currently held in Trust at the Robert Stoffel Family Law Group.

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated this 27th day of April, 2022

CD9 1A6 DE05 434A
Mary Perry
District Court Judge

Respectfully submitted this 25th day of April, 2022.

ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP

By: _____
Melvin R. Grimes, Esq.
State Bar of Nevada No. 7963
[redacted]
Las Vegas, [redacted]121
[redacted]
FAX: (702) [redacted]
Attorneys for Defendant

CSERV

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff vs. Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.	CASE NO: D-18-575860-D DEPT. [redacted]

AUTOMATED CERTIF[redacted]CE

This automated certificate of service was [redacted]ghth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order was served via the c[redacted]e system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above [redacted] below:

Service Date: 4/27/2022

[redacted]

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** December 05, 2018

D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff
vs.
Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.

December 05, 2018 10:00 AM **All Pending Motions**

HEARD BY: Moss, Cheryl B. **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 13

COURT CLERK: Carol Foley

PARTIES:
Dawm Lozano, Claimant, not present
Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant, present
[redacted] Gonzalez, Subject Minor, not present
Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff, present
R. Gibbs, Attorney, Unbundled Attorney, not present
John Muije, Attorney, not present
Melvin Grimes, Attorney, not present
Dawn Lozano, Attorney, present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- RETURN HEARING: FMC MEDIATION...CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

Plaintiff and Defendant sworn and testified.

With regard to FMC Mediation, Ms. Lozano noted there was a paragraph in the draft that she would like to add with regard to temporary timeshare. Court noted that is not in the Partial Parenting Agreement the Court received, and Ms. Lozano may draft an order from today's hearing with that information.

Upon Court's inquiry, Defendant advised he is working as a bartender at a restaurant, and he does not have a car and has been using Uber. Defendant advised he is renting a room in a four bedroom house and he can rent another room for the child.

Upon Court's inquiry, Defendant advised Plaintiff has been to the house. Ms. Lozano noted Plaintiff

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

is concerned about marijuana and alcohol use around the baby and there has already been a break-in at the house. Defendant advised the house is safe. Defendant advised he stopped drinking about three months ago. Upon Court's inquiry, Plaintiff advised she smokes marijuana at night before she goes to bed. Court noted it would like to see six months or one year of responsible use. Ms. Lozano suggested testing for levels to keep it down.

Ms. Lozano requested a child support order today. Upon Court's inquiry, Defendant advised he earns minimum wage plus about $150 in tips per week, and he works three days a week.

Partial Parenting Agreement with regard to Joint Legal Custody, holidays, and temporary transportation signed and FILED IN OPEN COURT. COURT ORDERED, Partial Parenting Agreement AFFIRMED and ADOPTED.

PER STIPULATION,

Plaintiff will have PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY of the minor child.

Defendant's VISITATION SCHEDULE will be Sunday and Monday from 10:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. each day.

There will be no overnights until Defendant has a place and a crib.

Defendant will have to get a car seat for the child.

Defendant is to pay $100 per month towards child support ARREARS.

Parties are to cooperate regarding transfer of State Farm life insurance with the child as a beneficiary.

With regard to Thanksgiving, with the exception of 2019, the child will reside with Plaintiff in even years and with Defendant in odd years. The child will be with Plaintiff for Thanksgiving in 2019.

COURT FURTHER ORDERED,

Non-Jury Trial SET. Case and Non-Jury Trial Management Order FILED IN OPEN COURT.

18% of Defendant's represented gross monthly income of $1,560 is $281. TEMPORARILY, Defendant is to pay Plaintiff CHILD SUPPORT in the amount of $281 PER MONTH. Amount is to be transferred into Plaintiff's bank account.

There is to be NO ALCOHOL or SMOKING MARIJUANA by either party when they are driving with the child or taking care of the child.

Either parent may call for a random drug test of the other parent for up to one year. The party being

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

tested will have to report the same day unless they are notified too late in the day and have to go in the morning. Additionally, Plaintiff may request an alcohol assessment for Defendant at Options. ATI Drug Testing Referral forms for random drug urinalysis and Options referral for random alcohol urinalysis provided to the parties. Referrals expire 12/5/19.

Defendant is required to file a Financial Disclosure Form. Blank form provided to Defendant in open court.

As the primary physical custodian, Plaintiff may claim the child each year for tax purposes.

Parties are to use the Talking Parents App to communicate.

Parties are to take the COPE class.

Ms. Lozano is to prepare the order.

3/25/19 1:30 PM NON-JURY TRIAL (STACK #2)

Clerk's note: Clerk placed a copy of Partial Parenting Agreement, Case and Non-Jury Trial Management Order, and ATI and Options referrals in the attorney folder of Dawn Lozano, Esq. and sent a copy to Defendant by mail.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS: Mar 25, 2019 1:30PM Non-Jury Trial
Non-Jury Trial - Stack #2
Courtroom 13 Moss, Cheryl B.

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** March 25, 2019

D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff
vs.
Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.

March 25, 2019 **1:30 PM** **Non-Jury Trial**

HEARD BY: Moss, Cheryl B. **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 13

COURT CLERK: Erica Jimenez

PARTIES:

Dawm Lozano, Claimant, not present
Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant, present
[redacted] Gonzalez, Subject Minor, not present
Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff, present
R. Gibbs, Attorney, Unbundled Attorney, not present
John Muije, Attorney, not present
Melvin Grimes, Attorney, present
Dawn Lozano, Attorney, present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- NON-JURY TRIAL: STACK 2

Attorney Lozano's paralegal, Kathryn Njus, present with Attorney Lozano.

Discussion regarding Father's oral motion to continue the trial.

Resident Witness, Philip Dace, sworn and testified for the purposes of the parties' divorce.

COURT ORDERED the following:

1. Mother shall retain PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY.

2. Father shall pay Mother CHILD SUPPORT in the amount of $372.00 per month, effective 2/1/19.

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

3. The following people are approved to pick-up the child on behalf of Mother:
A. Maternal Grandmother, Nadia
B. Maternal Aunt, Katerina
C. Katerina's husband, Michael
D. Mother's significant other, Philip
E. Mother's friend, Canan, pronounced "Janan"
F. Mother's friend, Fernanda

4. Father's significant other, Jennifer, is approved to pick up the child on behalf of Father.

5. Parties shall file updated FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE FORMS (FDF's).

6. Father is directed to go to the State Farm office on Blue Diamond, today, 3/25/19, and transfer ownership of the LIFE INSURANCE POLICY to Mother.

7. Father owes Mother ATTORNEY'S FEES for today's hearing, 3/25/19, in the amount of $500.00 due by 12:00 p.m. (noon) on 3/29/19. The remaining amount of Mother's requested Attorney's Fees in the amount of $2,000.00 is DEFERRED to trial.

8. Father's Motion to continue trial set for 5/8/19 at 2:30 a.m. is VACATED.

9. Discovery closes on 10/24/19.

10. Pre-Trial Memos are due on 6/1/19.

11. Evidentiary Hearing re: custody and divorce (Stack #1) is SET for 11/25/19 at 1:30 p.m. Parties shall be placed on the priority list for 7/1/19, forward.

12. Case Management Order SIGNED and FILED IN OPEN COURT. A copy of the Case Management Order was provided to both Counsel IN OPEN COURT.

Attorney Grimes shall prepare the Order from today's hearing; Attorney Lozano shall review and countersign.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS: Mar 25, 2019 1:30PM Non-Jury Trial
Non-Jury Trial - Stack #2
Courtroom 13 Moss, Cheryl B.

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** November 15, 2019

D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff
vs.
Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.

November 15, 2019 **1:00 PM** **All Pending Motions**

HEARD BY: Fic, Holly **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 15

COURT CLERK: Helen Green

PARTIES:
Dawm Lozano, Claimant, not present
Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant, Counter Claimant, not present
[redacted] Gonzalez, Subject Minor, not present
Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant, not present
R. Gibbs, Attorney, Unbundled Attorney, not present
John Muije, Attorney, not present
Melvin Grimes, Attorney, present
Benjamin Childs, Attorney, not present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND SANCTIONS...DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND SANCTIONS

The Court reviewed the case.

Argument by counsel.

COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED:

COMMISSIONER FINDS that EDCR 5.602 was not met as counsel attempted to contact opposing counsel after the motion was filed.

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

Plaintiff's motion is DENIED. Plaintiff can re-notice the motion and advise of the deficiencies.

Each side reserves their right to request attorney fees before the Judge.

Attorney Throne shall submit the Report and Recommendation and attorney Grimes need not countersign.

Status Check SET for 12/6/19 at 1:30 P.M. If the Court receives the Report and Recommendation by next week counsel shall not need to appear for the Status Check.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS: Dec 06, 2019 1:30PM Status Check
Courtroom 15 Fic, Holly

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** November 25, 2019

D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff
vs.
Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.

November 25, 2019 **1:30 PM** **Evidentiary Hearing**

HEARD BY: Moss, Cheryl B. **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 13

COURT CLERK: Michelle Cunningham

PARTIES:

Dawm Lozano, Claimant, not present
Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant, present
[redacted] Gonzalez, Subject Minor, not present
Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff, present
R. Gibbs, Attorney, Unbundled Attorney, not present
John Muije, Attorney, not present
Melvin Grimes, Attorney, present
Dawn Lozano, Attorney, present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- EVIDENTIARY HEARING (STACK #1) RE: DIVORCE AND CUSTODY

Court Certified Spanish Interpreter, Soledad Garcia, present with Defendant/Father. Court Certified Russian Interpreter, Tatyana Vargason, present with Plaintiff's Witness, Nadia [redacted]

Paralegal, Kathryn Njus, also present with Attorney Lozano.

Testimony and exhibits presented (see worksheets).

Attorney Lozano objected to Attorney Grime's exhibits because she only received them today at the start of court. Argument and discussion. Court overruled and allowed the exhibits. Attorney Lozano objected to custody being an issue during today's trial. Court reviewed the tape and further discussion. After reviewing the tape, Court overruled and will hear testimony for custody. Attorney

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

Lozano stated Child Support needs to be properly set. Attorney Lozano further stated Attorney Grimes was to file a Motion to Strike his Admissions and it was not done. Attorney Lozano further stated the Pre-Trial Memorandum (PTM) was due 6/1/2019 and it was not filed until 11/18/2019 and asks it be stricken. Attorney Lozano further stated Discovery closed 10/24/2019 and the request for admissions/request for production of documents was received 11/4/2019 and the interrogatories came at the same time not signed by Plaintiff/Father as required by the rules and asks they be stricken as well. Further discussion Court will not strike anything but will consider monetary sanctions.

Discussion regarding the Schedule of Arrears. Further discussion regarding Attorney's fees. The EXCLUSIONARY RULE shall be invoked. Counsel waives opening statements.

Discussion regarding Contempt for Defendant/Father not signing the Life Insurance policy over. As a matter of procedure, Attorney Lozano shall submit an Order to Show Cause, have Attorney Grimes served and it will be addressed on day two (2) of the trial.

Attorney Grimes STIPULATES to the admission of Plaintiff's Exhibits 1 - 19. Attorney Lozano STIPULATES to the admission of Defendant's Exhibits A - NN.

Attorney Grimes objected to Attorney Lozano's witnesses after the first witness testified and after the second witness was sworn in and had begun testifying because they were not disclosed on her initial Pre-Trial Memorandum. Court overruled and permitted the testimony.

Discussion regarding Thanksgiving. Parties STIPULATE to Plaintiff/Mother receiving Thanksgiving in ODD YEARS and Defendant/Father receiving Thanksgiving in EVEN YEARS.

COURT ORDERED the following:

1. Order from 3/25/2019 hearing SIGNED and FILED IN OPEN COURT interlineated TEMPORARY into the Custody Order. Copies provided to counsel.

2. Evidentiary Hearing CONTINUED to 1/2/2020 from 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. and 1/3/2020 from 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.

3. Plaintiff/Mother shall meet Defendant/Father at the front desk at the Venetian tonight, 11/25/19, at 6:00 p.m. to exchange the child for a dinner visit. Plaintiff/Mother shall return the child to Defendant/Father's house at 9:00 p.m.

4. Defendant/Father shall have the child until Wednesday, 11/27/19, at 1:00 p.m. Defendant/Father shall take the minor child to Russian school tomorrow morning, 11/26/19. Plaintiff/Mother shall pick the child up from Defendant/Father's house. Normal visitation shall resume 12/1/19.

5. Starting tomorrow night, every night at 5:00 - 5:15 p.m. the custodial parent shall initiate a video

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

call using the WHATSUP App. to the non-custodial parent. If there is an emergency and a video call is missed the call must be made up within 24 hours.

Department I's Judicial Executive Assistant (JEA) shall send out an Amended Scheduling Order to counsel/parties.

CLERK'S NOTE: Following a review of the video from the hearing at the request of the JEA, the Minute Order is being amended to include the following ruling: The Court will issue a BEHAVIOR ORDER. (12/13/2019 - mlc)

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS: Dec 06, 2019 1:30PM Status Check
Courtroom 15 Fic, Holly

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** December 06, 2019

D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff
vs.
Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.

December 06, 2019 1:30 PM **Status Check**

HEARD BY: Fic, Holly **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 15

COURT CLERK: Neida Parker

PARTIES:
Dawm Lozano, Claimant, not present
Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant, Counter Claimant, not present
[redacted] Gonzalez, Subject Minor, not present
Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant, not present
R. Gibbs, Attorney, Unbundled Attorney, not present
John Muije, Attorney, not present
Melvin Grimes, Attorney, not present
Benjamin Childs, Attorney, not present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- STATUS CHECK: SUBMISSION OF REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Per Hearing Master, Report and Recommendation was received today, December 6, 2019; therefore, matter taken OFF CALENDAR.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** January 15, 2020

D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff
vs.
Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.

January 15, 2020 9:30 AM Evidentiary Hearing

HEARD BY: Moss, Cheryl B. **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 13

COURT CLERK: Erica Jimenez

PARTIES:
Dawm Lozano, Claimant, not present
Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant, present
[redacted] Gonzalez, Subject Minor, not present
Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff, present
R. Gibbs, Attorney, Unbundled Attorney, not present
John Muije, Attorney, not present
Melvin Grimes, Attorney, present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- EVIDENTIARY HEARING: DAY 2 (MORNING SESSION)

Attorney Nathan Gibbs, Bar #5968, present for the Plaintiff/Mother. Attorney Melvin Grimes, Bar # 12972, present for the Defendant/Father. Court Interpreter, Magdalena Becerra, present for the Father.

Discussion regarding an Order to Show Cause to be submitted by Mother per Day 1 of trial. Further discussion regarding Mother's exhibits for Volume 2.

Testimony and Exhibits presented.

Plaintiff's Exhibit 20, 26, 30, 34-1, and 34-2 admitted. Defendant's Exhibit OO and SS admitted. Attorney Grimes will provide a copy of the video marked "Exhibit SS" at the next hearing.

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

COURT ORDERED the following:

1. The Order to Show Cause submitted by Attorney Gibbs is rejected this morning and shall be resubmitted in the afternoon for a more specific Order to Show Cause with page numbers and line numbers of the violations.

MATTER TRAILED for lunch recess. MATTER RECALLED.

EVIDENTIARY HEARING: DAY 3 (AFTERNOON SESSION)

Court labeled the afternoon session as Day 3. All Parties present.

The video marked as "Exhibit SS" was received by the Court Clerk.

Discussion regarding future trial dates. Testimony and Exhibits presented.

COURT FURTHER ORDERED the following:

2. Order to Show Cause SIGNED and FILED IN OPEN COURT, parties served in open court.

3. Parties STIPULATE the child's medical bill from Southern Hills Hospital Medical Center in exhibit #13 will be split equally, however, both parents should have a reasonable time to negotiate a reduction in the amount and the final amount shall be split equally. The Court reserves jurisdiction. The Court excuses the 30/30 Rule as it is an outstanding marital debt.

4. On a trial basis, effective 1/18/20, Father shall have expanded VISITATION from Saturday at 10:00 a.m. until Monday at 7:00 p.m. Mother shall keep an eye on the child if the child does not have a stomach ache or the child is fine. Sending parent drops off the child. Parties shall follow the honk and text rule, however, the sending parent will unbuckle the child and wait on the sidewalk, the receiving parent will accompany the child back to the household.

5. Parents are admonished not to engage in any drama or conflict at exchanges. Parties may continue to video record each other at exchanges.

6. The custodial parent shall initiate a video call to the non-custodial parent between a time window of 5:00 p.m. and 5:15 p.m. for approximately three (3) minutes. Mother shall receive a phone call from Father on Saturday and Sunday, but not on Monday.

7. The RUSSIAN SCHOOL is exclusively for Mother, Mother's household, and child to be allowed on the premises. Temporarily and without prejudice, Father shall not come within 100 yards of the Russian School until further order of the Court. A stand alone order regarding the Russian school shall be prepared by Attorney Gibbs and Attorney Grimes shall review and countersign.

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

8. Day 4 of trial is set for 2/19/20 at 1:30 p.m.

9. Pursuant to EDCR 7.50, the Order shall be enforceable, effective immediately.

Attorney Grimes shall prepare the Order from today's hearing; Attorney Gibbs shall review and countersign.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** February 19, 2020

D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff
vs.
Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.

February 19, 2020 1:30 PM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Moss, Cheryl B. **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 13

COURT CLERK: Erica Jimenez

PARTIES:
Dawm Lozano, Claimant, not present
Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant, present
[redacted] Gonzalez, Subject Minor, not present
Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff, present
R. Gibbs, Attorney, Unbundled Attorney, not present
John Muije, Attorney, not present
Melvin Grimes, Attorney, present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- EVIDENTIARY HEARING (DAY 4)...PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO REDUCE ATTORNEY'S LIEN TO JUDGMENT...DEFENDANT'S REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO NOTICE OF ATTORNEY'S LIEN AND MOTION TO REDUCE ATTORNEY'S LIEN TO JUDGMENT....PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO STRIKE EXHIBIT 1 TO DAWN LOZANO, ESQ'S REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO REDUCE LIEN TO JUDGMENT...DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO STRIKE EXHIBIT 1 TO DAWN M. LOZANO, ESQ'S REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO REDUCE ATTORNEY'S LIEN TO JUDGMENT...OPPOSITION TO NOTICE OF ATTORNEY'S LIEN AND MOTION TO REDUCE ATTORNEY'S LIEN TO JUDGMENT

Attorney Nathan Gibbs, Bar #5965, present for the Plaintiff/Mother. Attorney Melvin Grimes, Bar #12972, present for the Defendant/Father with Court Interpreter, Estela Castro. Former Counsel to Mother, Attorney Dawn Lozano, Bar #3475, present with paralegal, Kathryn Njus.

Discussion regarding Attorney Lozano's request for an Attorney's Lien and Motion to Reduce

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

Attorney's Lien. Upon Court's inquiry, Attorney Lozano represented she is owed $18,390.50 in attorney's fees.

COURT ORDERED the following:

1. Attorney Lozano's Motion to WITHDRAW is MOOT as Attorney Gibbs has filed a Substitution of Attorney.

2. Motion to strike Exhibit 1 is DENIED, however, it shall be SEALED.

3. Court is inclined to grant Attorney Lozano's request for a lien, however, the amount shall be set for an informal Evidentiary Hearing on the reasonableness of the fees.

4. An informal Evidentiary Hearing is set for 5/1/20 at 1:30 p.m. Attorney Lozano shall submit redacted billing statements and Attorney Gibbs shall submit a list of disputed items. Case Management Order SIGNED and FILED IN OPEN COURT.

Attorney Lozano shall prepare the Order from today's hearing; Attorney Gibbs shall review and countersign; Attorney Grimes WAIVES his signature.

Attorney Lozano exited the courtroom.

Court labeled, today as Day 3 of the Evidentiary Hearing.

Attorney Grimes represented the exclusionary rule is in effect.

Testimony and Exhibits presented.

Plaintiff's Exhibit 23 admitted.

COURT FURTHER ORDERED the following:

5. Father shall have CUSTODIAL TIMESHARE from Saturday at 2:00 p.m. until Tuesday at 8:30 a.m., sending parent shall drop off the child.

6. The non-custodial parent shall receive a daily VIDEO CALL for three (3) minutes at 5:00 p.m. Parties shall remove all distractions.

7. MATTER CONTINUED TO Day 4 set for 4/1/20 at 9:00 a.m. for a FULL DAY and Day 5 set for 4/2/20 at 9:00 a.m. for a FULL DAY. Department I's Judicial Executive Assistant (JEA) shall prepare a Trial Management Order.

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** May 15, 2020

D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff
vs.
Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.

May 15, 2020 **2:15 PM** **Minute Order**

HEARD BY: Moss, Cheryl B. **COURTROOM:** Chambers

COURT CLERK: Kendall Williams

PARTIES:
Dawm Lozano, Claimant, not present
Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant, Counter Claimant, not present
[redacted] Gonzalez, Subject Minor, not present
Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant, not present
R. Gibbs, Attorney, Unbundled Attorney, not present
John Muije, Attorney, not present
Melvin Grimes, Attorney, not present
Benjamin Childs, Attorney, not present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- MINUTE ORDER - NO HEARING HELD AND NO APPEARANCES

NRCP 1 and EDCR 1.10 state that the procedures in district court shall be administered to secure efficient, just, and inexpensive determinations in every action and proceeding.

Pursuant to EDCR 5.206, a party filing a motion is required to serve the opposing party with a copy of all papers filed within 3 calendar days of submission for filing.

Pursuant to EDCR 2.23(c), the judge may consider the motion on its merits at any time with or without oral argument, and grant or deny it.

On May 2, 2020 Plaintiff/Mother represented by R. Nathan Gibbs, Esq. filed a Motion for Relief from the February 19, 2020 Hearing; For Continuance of Attorney s Fees Hearing.

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

On May 04, 2020 a Notice of Hearing was generated by the Clerk of Court and served electronically.

On May 12, 2020 Plaintiff filed an Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time for Hearing on Motion and Notice of Motion for Trial Date to be Vacated and Reset to a Later Date.

The COURT NOTES that the parties both have email addresses on file with the Court.

The COURT NOTES that the Evidentiary Hearing on the Divorce/Custody is set to be heard on June 19, 2020 at 9:00AM.

The COURT FINDS that the matter of the divorce/custody SHALL require final adjudication before the matter of attorney s fees may be considered.

Pursuant to Administrative Order 20-09 and 20-13 re no in-person deposition absent a stipulation or agreement amongst the parties, the Informal Evidentiary Hearing on attorney s fees set for June 01, 2020 at 1:30PM SHALL be CONTINUED to JULY 14, 2020 at 1:30PM.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Discovery on the issue of Attorney Lozano s Fees SHALL close June 30, 2020 by 5pm.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff s Motion currently set for June 8, 2020 at 9:30am is VACATED as MOOT.

The Evidentiary Hearing on Divorce/Custody set for JUNE 19, 2020 at 9:00AM STANDS.

Pursuant to Administrative Order 20-10 re Paper Elimination in response to the COVID-19 outbreak, a copy of this minute order shall be served electronically.

SO ORDERED.

CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order shall be emailed to the parties/counsel. (kw 5/15/2020)

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** July 27, 2020

D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff
vs.
Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.

July 27, 2020 **2:00 PM** **Status Check**

HEARD BY: Moss, Cheryl B. **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 13

COURT CLERK: Jefferyann Rouse

PARTIES:
Dawm Lozano, Claimant, not present
Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant, Counter Claimant, not present
[redacted] Gonzalez, Subject Minor, not present
Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant, not present
R. Gibbs, Attorney, Unbundled Attorney, not present
John Muije, Attorney, not present
Melvin Grimes, Attorney, present
Benjamin Childs, Attorney, not present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- STATUS CHECK:

Due to Governor Sisolak's Stay Home for Nevada directive, Plaintiff/Mom appeared with her Attorney of Record Nathan Gibbs. Attorney Melvin Grimes appeared on behalf of client Defendant/Dad and requested the Court excuse his appearance for today's court proceedings.

Upon the matter being called, the Court advised Counsel as to issues related to a trial being set in this matter.

Attorney Grimes stated concerns as to the trial being continued to January, Counsel further stated concerns as to witnesses coaching.

Attorney Gibbs stated concerns as to the courts order from the previous hearing as to

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

Plaintiff/Mom's having visitation with the minor child one (1) weekend per month with the minor child.

The Court held a discussion as to the trial being heard by (bluejeans) audio equipment.

THE COURT NOTED it's still Plaintiff/Mom's Case in Chief and there one (1) POSSIBLE rebuttal witness.

THE COURT NOTED Defendant/Dad s has four (4) WITNESSES to call in his Case in Chief.

THE COURT ORDERED,

CUSTODY shall REMAIN STATUS QUO.

Counsel STIPULATED to an IN-PERSON TRIAL being held.

Should the COURTHOUSE OPEN SOON this COURT hall NOTIFY Counsel as to the hearing being held in the courtroom.

TEMPORARILY if the Maternal Grandmother wants (48) hours of VISITATION, Defendant/Dad shall receive COMPENSATORY 48 HOURS. The Maternal Grandmothers (48) hours shall be on Saturday and Sunday. The monthly visitation shall remain If the child's Maternal Grandmother with the minor child, parties shall TRADE custodial days therefore, Defendant/Dad will have (2) COMPENSATORY DAYS with the minor child on (2) Tuesday's of the month.

The NON-JURY TRIAL shall be CONTINUED to 2-2-2021 at 1:30 pm, subject to the New Judge ADJUSTING their calendar.

The New Judge shall have TRIAL TRANSCRIPTS since day 1, if feasible, video DVD for the New Judge shall be also made for the file.

Department I's JEA shall PREPARE and AMENDED ORDER SETTING TRIAL.

Attorney Grimes shall prepare the order of the court. Attorney Gibbs shall review and sign off.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** September 01, 2020

D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff
vs.
Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.

September 01, 2020 **12:30 AM** **Minute Order**

HEARD BY: Bailey, Soonhee **COURTROOM:** Chambers

COURT CLERK: Diana Gonzales

PARTIES:
Dawm Lozano, Claimant, not present
Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant, Counter Claimant, not present
[redacted] Gonzalez, Subject Minor, not present
Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant, not present
R. Gibbs, Attorney, Unbundled Attorney, not present
John Muije, Attorney, not present
Melvin Grimes, Attorney, not present
Benjamin Childs, Attorney, not present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- MINUTE ORDER WITHOUT HEARING.

Upon review of the request for an Order Shortening Time regarding the Motion to Compel Production of Documents, Court noted this matter is currently set for hearing on October 13, 2020 in Department I.

Therefore, COURT RECOMMENDED:

Order Shortening Time is DENIED, as there is no pending Motion before the Discovery Commissioner.

CLERK'S NOTE: Court clerk provided a copy of this Minute Order to all parties by email. dg

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** September 02, 2020

D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff
vs.
Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.

September 02, 2020 **12:30 AM** **Minute Order**

HEARD BY: Bailey, Soonhee **COURTROOM:** Chambers

COURT CLERK: Diana Gonzales

PARTIES:

Dawm Lozano, Claimant, not present
Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant, Counter Claimant, not present
[redacted] Gonzalez, Subject Minor, not present
Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant, not present
R. Gibbs, Attorney, Unbundled Attorney, not present
John Muije, Attorney, not present
Melvin Grimes, Attorney, not present
Benjamin Childs, Attorney, not present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- MINUTE ORDER WITHOUT HEARING.

After a meeting between Judge Moss and the Discovery Commissioner, the Court decided the hearing regarding the Motion to Compel Production of Documents should be heard by Judge Moss on September 15, 2020.

Therefore, COURT RECOMMENDED:

Hearing on the Motion to Compel Production of Documents set for 10-13-20 at 9:00 a.m. will be VACATED and RESET for 9-15-20 at 1:30 p.m. in Department I.

CLERK'S NOTE: Clerk provided a copy of this Minute Order to all parties. dg

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** September 15, 2020

D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff
vs.
Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.

September 15, 2020 **1:30 PM** **All Pending Motions**

HEARD BY: Moss, Cheryl B. **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 13

COURT CLERK: Helen Green

PARTIES:
Dawm Lozano, Claimant, not present
Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant, Counter Claimant, not present
[redacted] Gonzalez, Subject Minor, not present
Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant, not present
R. Gibbs, Attorney, Unbundled Attorney, not present
John Muije, Attorney, not present
Melvin Grimes, Attorney, not present
Benjamin Childs, Attorney, not present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- INFORMAL EVIDENTIARY HEARING RE AMOUNT OF ATTORNEY'S FEES FOR DAWN LOZANO/STATUS CHECK HEARING...PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PURSUANT TO DULY SERVE SUBPOENA DEUCES TECUM

Attorney Gibbs appeared by video for Plaintiff.
Plaintiff appeared by video.
Attorney Lozano appeared by video for Defendant.

The Court reviewed the case.

Argument by counsel.

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

The Court noted that on 1/29/20 attorney Lozano filed her billing statements.

The COURT FINDS that NRS 18.015 grants an attorney a lien if they meet all requirements of the statue; the Argentena case discusses the Court's jurisdiction to hear a lien, attorneys have since updated their Retainer Agreements to address the Court's jurisdiction by providing for client consent to jurisdiction if they have an outstanding balance due; a retaining lien is proper in NV, see Friedanelli case, if NRS 18.015 requirements are properly met; here, there's just a dispute as to reasonableness of fees, a final judgment amount needs to be adjudicated. From Nevada case law, it is apparent that a client may get their file turned over if they put up some type of collateral or security for the disputed amount or pay off the bill.

COURT ORDERED:

Attorney Gibbs' Motion to Compel is DENIED to the extent that the retaining lien on the client file is proper. Mom would need to put up collateral or pay the balance off to obtain her client file.

The Motion for 60b is GRANTED to the extent that the Court shall amend to have the Court acknowledge the state bar fee dispute policy language.

Attorney Lozano has already produced her retainer agreement, she can file a supplemental billing statement for the additional proceedings up through today.

Attorney Lozano requested disputed line items. Attorney Lozano and attorney Gibbs should file their line item disputes in the D-file. Counsel may respond.

Bluejeans Evidentiary Hearing on ATTORNEY FEES lien SET for October 5, 2020 at 1:30 P.M. Each side shall have 1 hour, 10 minutes for closing arguments. Attorney Gibbs shall go first in his case in chief.

Attorney Lozano's office shall e-mail the downloaded chat with fiance' Phil to attorney gibbs.

Attorney Gibbs shall serve attorney Lozano any final discovery for trial no later than 9/29/20. Discovery is closed except for updated FDF'S, new events that did not exist, recent documents that did not exist, etc.

Non-Jury Trial SET for February 1, 2021 at 9:00 A.M. to noon (Day 1 - 1/2 Day) and for February 2, 2021 at 9:00 A.M. to noon (Day 2 - 1/2 Day).

The Court's staff shall prepare an Amended Order Setting Trial.

Attorney Lozano shall prepare the Order from today's hearing and attorney Gibbs shall review and sign off.

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS: Oct 05, 2020 1:30PM Evidentiary Hearing
Courtroom 13 Moss, Cheryl B.

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** October 05, 2020

D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff
vs.
Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.

October 05, 2020 1:30 PM Evidentiary Hearing

HEARD BY: Moss, Cheryl B. **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 13

COURT CLERK: Jefferyann Rouse

PARTIES:

Dawm Lozano, Claimant, not present
Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant, present
[redacted] Gonzalez, Subject Minor, not present
Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff, present
R. Gibbs, Attorney, Unbundled Attorney, not present

John Muije, Attorney, not present
Melvin Grimes, Attorney, present

Pro Se

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- INFORMAL EVIDENTIARY HEARING RE AMOUNT OF ATTORNEY'S FEES FOR DAWN LOZANO/STATUS CHECK HEARING

COURT CLERKS: Jefferyann Rouse, Michelle Cunningham (JAVS only for minute preparation) (mlc)

COURT NOTED it read Plaintiff's Pro Per Pre-Trial Memorandum. Further discussion regarding the contents and attorney/client privilege. COURT NOTED both sides previously stipulated to have trial in person in 2021.

Matter Trailed.

Matter Recalled.

Attorney Gibbs had a sidebar discussion with his client, Plaintiff, and Court went back on the record.

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

Attorney GIbbs stated Plaintiff requests this trial be set after the divorce/custody trial is concluded, her pretrial memorandum (PTM) be stricken, and that the exhibits be stricken that were attached to her PTM. Attorney Lozano stated the PTM should be stricken because the Court did not order it and it is irrelevant. Further, Plaintiff's PTM was already filed and everyone has a copy. Attorney Grimes stated he has legally obtained these documents, does not view them as overly relevant regarding custody except to impeach contrary to the contents of the document and has no position on whether they should be stricken. Plaintiff stated her concerns about attorney client privilege information and requests this trial be put over till after the divorce/custody trial. Attorney Lozano argued interest is racking up on her retainer agreement, there is also prejudice to her law firm for waiting months to get paid. Attorney Lozano also argued she doesn't see any prejudice with proceeding today, Attorney Gibbs argued that it is prejudicial to Plaintiff since Attorney Lozano can get a video copy of today's trial.

COURT ORDERED the following:

1. This trial shall be POSTPONED until after the divorce/custody trial. The Matter shall be SET for 4/6/2021 at 1:30 p.m.

2. Non-Jury Trial currently scheduled 2/1/2021 and 2/2/2021 at 9:00 a.m. STANDS. STACK 1 is confirmed, HOWEVER, with the new incoming judge there is a possibility of reassignment to dates nearby.

3. Based upon Plaintiff's request and no opposition from either counsel, Plaintiff's Pre-Trial Memorandum shall be STRICKEN.

4. DISCOVERY is CLOSED.

Plaintiff shall prepare the order from today's hearing and Attorney Lozano shall review and sign off.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS: Oct 05, 2020 1:30PM Evidentiary Hearing
Courtroom 13 Moss, Cheryl B.

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** November 23, 2020

D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff
vs.
Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.

November 23, 2020 **1:30 PM** **Motion**

HEARD BY: Hardcastle, Gerald W. **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 13

COURT CLERK: Michelle Cunningham; Jamile Vazquez

PARTIES:

Dawm Lozano, Claimant, not present
Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant, Counter Claimant, not present
[redacted] Gonzalez, Subject Minor, not present
Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff, present
R. Gibbs, Attorney, Unbundled Attorney, not present

John Muije, Attorney, not present
Melvin Grimes, Attorney, present

Pro Se

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- PLAINTIFF'S VERIFIED MOTION FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY DEFENDANT SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN CONTEMPT OF COURT AND TO REVISE PROTOCOL FOR DROP OFFS AND FOR ADDING SPECIFIC INSTRUCTION TO THE VIDEO CALL ORDER

COURT CLERKS: Michelle Cunningham (mlc), Jamile Vazquez

Parties appeared via BLUEJEANS.

COURT NOTED it received the Motion to Consolidate late yesterday, it was not timely filed but the Court is inclined to consider it.

Plaintiff stated there are some issues that she would like to address today regarding Defendant conspiring with her boyfriend's child's mother and Attorney Grimes to take Plaintiff's child and her

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

boyfriend's child.

Attorney Grimes stated the October motion before the Court today does not comply with AWOD, the child is not being withheld and the motion is moot.

COURT ORDERED the Matter shall be taken UNDER ADVISEMENT. If the Court grants the Order to Show Cause (OSC), the Court will have the OSC issued and will set a hearing date.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** November 30, 2020

D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff
vs.
Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.

November 30, 2020 **3:20 PM** **Minute Order**

HEARD BY: Moss, Cheryl B. **COURTROOM:** Chambers

COURT CLERK: Antoria Pickens;

PARTIES:
Dawm Lozano, Claimant, not present
Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant, Counter Claimant, not present
[redacted] Gonzalez, Subject Minor, not present
Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant, not present
R. Gibbs, Attorney, Unbundled Attorney, not present
John Muije, Attorney, not present
Melvin Grimes, Attorney, not present
Benjamin Childs, Attorney, not present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- MINUTE ORDER - NO HEARING HELD

The Court reviewed all pleadings on file and issued the following ruling.

Based on the pleadings on file, the Court FINDS there were NO specific violations from Defendant addressed or supported in the Plaintiff's request for an Order to Show Cause. The Court further FINDS Plaintiff's request was VAGUE.

COURT ORDERED,

Plaintiff's request for an Order to Show Cause shall be DENIED. However, the Motion's remaining requests shall be CONTINUED and HEARD on January 5, 2021, at 10:00 AM.

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** February 17, 2021

D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff
vs.
Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.

February 17, 2021 10:00 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Perry, Mary **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 23

COURT CLERK: Silvia Avena

PARTIES:
Dawm Lozano, Claimant, not present
Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant, present
[redacted] Gonzalez, Subject Minor, not present
Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff, present
R. Gibbs, Unbundled Attorney, present
John Muije, Attorney, not present
Melvin Grimes, Attorney, present
Pro Se

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- OPPOSITION & COUNTERMOTION: DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED MOTION AND NOTICE OF MOTION FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE REGARDING CONTEMPT AND COUNTERMOTION TO HAVE PLAINTIFF DECLARED A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT, FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND FOR EXCLUSIVE POSSESSION ON THE MARTIAL RESIDENCE... MOTION: AMENDED MOTION AND NOTICE OF MOTION FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE REGARDING CONTEMPT... MOTION TO MODIFY CUSTODY: DEFENDANT'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO MODIFY CUSTODY... OPPOSITION: PLAINTIFF'S MOTION AND NOTICE OF MOTION FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE REGARDING CONTEMPT... OPPOSITION & COUNTERMOTION: PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION T EX PARTE MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE HEARINGS... OPPOSITION: DEFENDANT'S OMNIBUS OPPOSITION AND RE;REPLY TO PLAINTIFFS VERIFIED MOTION FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY DEFENDANT SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN CONTEMPT OF COURT AND TO REVISE PROTOCOL OF FOR DROP OFFS AND FOR ADDING SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS TO THE VIDEO CALL ORDER, PLAINTIFFS E PARTE MOTION FOR RETURN OR CHILD, PLAINTIFF OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO MODIFY CUSTODY, PLAINTIFF'S EX PARE MOTION

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

FOR RETURN OF CHILD, PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S EMERGENCY MOTION MODIFY CUSTODY, PLAINTIFF'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR RETURN OF A CHILD, LUBAS OBJECTION TO AUTHENTICITY AND RELEVANCE OF ENRIQUE'S EXHIBITS, PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE REGARDING CONTEMPT, AND PLAINTIFF'S EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE.

BlueJeans/video hearing.

The Court noted the papers and pleadings on file.

Discussion/argument regarding Child Protective Services (CPS) issues, visitation, and child related matters.

COURT ADMONISHED the parties that if the parties do not act appropriately, the Court shall consider a third (3rd) party to care for the minor child.

The Court stated that any more long line of Motions as previously filed, shall be deemed vexatious litigant and therefore, COURT ORDERED, TEMPORARILY as follows:

The parties shall share JOINT LEGAL CUSTODY of the minor child.

The parties shall share JOINT PHYSICAL CUSTODY of the minor child.

Defendant shall have PARENTAL TIMESHARE with the minor child on Sundays at 8:00 a.m. until Wednesday at 5:00 p.m.

The CHILD EXCHANGES shall be at Family Court front gate/Marshall area. If any issues arise, Donna's House shall be ordered.

Plaintiff shall sign all pleadings as Gonzalez (legal name) until Plaintiff's name is legally changed.

Both of the parents shall submit to a PSYCH EVALUATION within sixty (60) days. Counsel shall work together to determine who shall complete the PSYCH EVALUATIONS and provider must be a Ph.D. level and with knowledge as to writing reports to the Court. The psychologist shall have access to all of the pleadings in this case.

The minor child shall not be told that her last name is "Snow", as the minor child's last name is Gonzalez.

The minor child shall not be enrolled/not attend a Russian school.

Plaintiff may hire a tutor to come to Plaintiff's house for minor child to learn Russian.

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

All phone call communications with the minor child shall be in English (no Russian shall be used).

The phone call communications with the minor child may be recorded STANDS.

There shall be no phone call communications during the other parent's custodial time with the minor child.

DISCOVERY is OPEN.

Return hearing (psych eval) SET 4-28-21 at 11:30 a.m.

Trial shall be set at next hearing.

Grandmother's VISITATION is SUSPENDED. Grandmother may see the minor child when the minor child is in Plaintiff's care.

MOTION/OPPOSITION/COUNTERMOTION ISSUES PENDING are HELD IN ABEYANCE.

Mr. Grimes shall prepare the Order from today's hearing and Mr. Gibbs shall countersign.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS: Apr 28, 2021 11:30AM Return Hearing
(psych evaluation)
Courtroom 23 Perry, Mary

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** April 28, 2021

D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff
vs.
Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.

April 28, 2021 **11:30 AM** **Return Hearing**

HEARD BY: Perry, Mary **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 23

COURT CLERK: Helen Green

PARTIES:
Dawm Lozano, Claimant, not present
Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant, Counter Claimant, not present
[redacted] Gonzalez, Subject Minor, not present
Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant, not present
R. Gibbs, Attorney, Unbundled Attorney, not present
John Muije, Attorney, not present
Melvin Grimes, Attorney, not present
Benjamin Childs, Attorney, not present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- RETURN HEARING: (PSYCH EVALUATION)

Attorney Gibbs appeared by Bluejeans video in an unbundled capacity for Plaintiff.
Plaintiff appeared by Bluejeans video.
Attorney Grimes appeared by Bluejeans video for Defendant.
Defendant appeared by Bluejeans video.

The Court noted that it had received the psychological evaluations and further noted that the parties should have used the same person.

Upon the Court's inquiry, Plaintiff stated that she paid $700.00 for her report. Attorney Grimes stated that dad paid the doctor that he used about $2,500.00.

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

Attorney Grimes stated that there were only two issues: custody and the marital home.

Argument by counsel regarding traveling out of state and to Mexico with the child.

Attorney Grimes stated that dad's psychologist received all of the pleadings, but that he was not duplicative if the same facts were asserted in more than one document. Attorney Gibbs stated he sent pleadings to mom's psychologist as well.

Argument by counsel regarding the child's school and cost. Attorney Grimes stated that mom had enrolled the child in a private school over dad's objection and that the school was 45 minutes from dad's residence and 15 minutes from mom's residence.

Attorney Gibbs stated that both parties preferred a 3-2-2 schedule.

COURT ORDERED:

Per STIPULATION of counsel attorney Grimes may amend Defendant's Answer and Counterclaim and attorney Gibbs may amend his Reply.

The current location for child exchanges is at the courthouse. Per STIPULATION of counsel the parties shall exchange the child at the gas station on I-215 and Charleston and attorney Grimes shall include the address in the Order. The parties shall stay 10 feet apart and there shall be no communication between the adults at the exchange and they shall not leave their cars.

Dad shall go to mom's psychiatrist and the parties shall split the $700.00.

Mr. Gonzalez shall meet with Dr. Paglini and Dr. Paglini shall be used as the rebuttal for both mom and dad. The Court does not need recommendations.

Both parties shall have 14 days vacation and dad may take the child to Mexico and mom shall turn over the child's passport. The parties vacation time could be longer than 14 days when custodial days are attached. If dad does not return with the child the Court shall have him criminally prosecuted.

Mom may call the child on Tuesdays and Fridays when she is gone. If travel days are Tuesday and Friday then the parties shall change the days and that applies to both parties and the child is allowed to call whenever the child wishes.

As mom has already enrolled the child in private school over dad's objection mom shall pay the entire amount for the school and the Court shall have a small evidentiary hearing on that issue. Counsel shall fully brief the Court on the Arcela factors and include the numbers, standings for the schools, costs, etc. If the Court rules in dad's favor, dad shall be awarded attorney fees.

Attorney Gibbs shall provide counsel with mom's proposed custody schedule for review.

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

Discovery closes on 9/13/21.

Any expert witnesses will be due on 6/14/21. Rebuttal experts are due on 7/14/21. Pre-Trial Memorandums and exhibits are due on 10/4/21.

Evidentiary Hearing SET for 10/11/21 @ 9:30 A.M. (Day 1) and for 10/12/21 @ 9:30 (Day 2). The Evidentiary Hearing shall be IN PERSON.

Evidentiary Hearing SET for 7/9/21 @ 1:30 P.M. (school issue) IN PERSON

Attorney Grimes shall prepare the Order from today's hearing and include the Trial Management Order.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS: Apr 28, 2021 11:30AM Return Hearing
(psych evaluation)
Courtroom 23 Perry, Mary

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** July 09, 2021

D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff
vs.
Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.

July 09, 2021 **1:30 PM** **Evidentiary Hearing**

HEARD BY: Perry, Mary **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 23

COURT CLERK: Kyle Medina

PARTIES:

Dawm Lozano, Claimant, not present
Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant, Counter Claimant, present
[redacted] Gonzalez, Subject Minor, not present
Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant, present
R. Gibbs, Unbundled Attorney, present

John Muije, Attorney, not present
Melvin Grimes, Attorney, present

Pro Se

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- EVIDENTIARY HEARING: RE SCHOOL

The parties stated they have a stipulated agreement. Parties put under OATH and CANVASSED by the Court.

PARTIES STIPULATED and the COURT ORDERED the following:

The Minor Child shall be enrolled in the [redacted] The Minor Child shall participate at least 2 days of the week and Wednesday shall be on of those days. Both parties shall have the right to attend the Minor Child's first day of school. Both parties shall have a back up school that is equal distance from the parties residence if Bloom is determined to not be in the Minor Child's best interest.

The parties shall temporarily have a week on week off timeshare schedule with the Minor Child. The exchanges shall be on Wednesday at

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

The Defendant shall receive the Minor Child today July 9, 2021 at 6:30 pm with the Minor Child's passport for the planned vacation to Mexico

The parties shall have video communication through Zoom with the Minor Child on Fridays and Mondays at 7:00 pm.

The Plaintiff shall have vacation time with the Minor Child from July 28, 2021 to Massachusetts and shall provide the Defendant with a copy of the itinerary.

The parties shall not withhold the Minor Child's passport.

The Defendant shall notify the Plaintiff if he will be more than twenty four hours away from the scheduled exchanged and the Plaintiff shall keep the Minor Child in her custody.

Status Check SET for November 9, 2021 at 9:00 am.

Attorney Gibbs shall prepare the Order, send it to Attorney Grimes shall sign off and submit it to the Court.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** September 22, 2021

D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff
vs.
Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.

September 22, 2021 **3:00 PM** **Calendar Call - HM**

HEARD BY: Perry, Mary **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 23

COURT CLERK: Kyle Medina

PARTIES:
Dawm Lozano, Claimant, not present
Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant, Counter Claimant, present
[redacted] Gonzalez, Subject Minor, not present
Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant, present
R. Gibbs, Unbundled Attorney, present

John Muije, Attorney, not present
Melvin Grimes, Attorney, present

Pro Se

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- CALENDAR CALL-HM

In the interest of public safety due to the Coronavirus pandemic, all parties were present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the BlueJeans application.

Counsel stated that they are ready to proceed with the Trial dates given. Counsel agreed that 9:00 am would work for both parties instead of starting at 9:30 am.

Court discussed the quick claim deed from the Defendant to the Plaintiff. Court noted that the payments made towards the marital residence is from community income and the Court may be inclined to equally split the amount.

COURT ORDERED the following:

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

Evidentiary Hearing SET for October 11, 2021 and October 12, 2021 shall start at 9:00 am.

The Minute Order shall suffice, and a written Order is not required.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS: Nov 09, 2021 9:00AM Status Check
STATUS CHECK RE:SCHOOLING
Courtroom 23 Perry, Mary

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** October 11, 2021

D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff
vs.
Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.

October 11, 2021 9:00 AM Evidentiary Hearing

HEARD BY: Perry, Mary **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 23

COURT CLERK: Kyle Medina

PARTIES:
Dawm Lozano, Claimant, not present
Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant, Counter Claimant, present
[redacted] Gonzalez, Subject Minor, not present
Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant, present
R. Gibbs, Unbundled Attorney, present
John Muije, Attorney, not present
Melvin Grimes, Attorney, present
Pro Se

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- EVIDENTIARY HEARING

Counsel noted that the parties have stipulated to custody and financial's are the only remaining issues.

Parties put under OATH. Testimony from the Defendant and Exhibits presented.

Parties STIPULATED to and the Court ORDERED the following:

The parties shall have JOINT LEGAL JOINT PHYSICAL custody of the Minor Child.

The parties shall have a week on week off timeshare schedule with the Minor Child. The child exchanges shall be on Wednesdays.

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

The Defendant shall notify the Plaintiff if he will be more than twenty four hours away from the Minor Child and the Plaintiff shall keep the Minor Child in her custody until the Defendant arrives back into the Country.

The non custodial parent shall have video communication with the Minor Child on Monday's and Friday's at 7:15 pm. The parties shall not monitor or record the calls.

Each party shall take a vacation period with the Minor Child for no less than seven days per year for a total of seven days but shall not interfere with the Minor Child's school. The parties shall notify one another where they will be traveling with the Minor Child anywhere outside of Clark county.

The Defendant shall have timeshare with the Minor Child for Thanksgiving day in the year 2021 and the Plaintiff shall have Thanksgiving day with the Minor Child in 2022. The Defendant shall have timeshare with the Minor Child for Halloween 2021 from and the Plaintiff shall have Halloween 2022 with the Minor Child.

The Plaintiff shall have timeshare with the Minor Child from December 17, 2021 to December 26, 2021 for winter break. The Defendant shall have timeshare with the Minor Child from December 26, 2021 to January 5, 2022 for winter break. The child exchanges shall be at 12:00 pm.

The Plaintiff shall have timeshare with the Minor Child each year for the Plaintiff's birthday and on Mother's Day. The Defendant shall have timeshare with the Minor Child each year for the Defendant's birthday and on Father's Day. The holiday times shall be described as starting at 10:00 am to 12:00 pm the following day.

The parties shall alternate Easter with the Minor Child each year.

Staring in 2022 the party that has the last week of school during their custodial time with the Minor Child shall also have the first week of winter break with the Minor Child.

The Plaintiff shall select a suitable counselor for the Minor Child. The Counseling sessions shall be during the Plaintiff's custodial time with the Minor Child. The Plaintiff shall bear all costs for the counseling. Both parties shall participate in Counseling as needed. Counseling itself shall not have any part in building the case unless it is a mandatory reporting situation.

The Plaintiff shall claim the Minor Child for the Dependent tax credit in odd years and the Defendant shall claim the Minor Child in even years.

Child Support shall be DEFERRED.

The parties' custodial stipulation shall be in effect pursuant to rule 7.50.

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

Evidentiary Hearing SET for October 12, 2021 at 9:30 am STANDS.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** October 12, 2021

D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff
vs.
Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.

October 12, 2021 9:30 AM Evidentiary Hearing

HEARD BY: Perry, Mary **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 23

COURT CLERK: Kyle Medina

PARTIES:
Dawm Lozano, Claimant, not present
Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant, Counter Claimant, present
[redacted] Gonzalez, Subject Minor, not present
Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant, present
R. Gibbs, Unbundled Attorney, present

John Muije, Attorney, not present
Melvin Grimes, Attorney, present

Pro Se

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- EVIDENTIARY HEARING

Parties Put under Oath.

Plaintiff's Sworn Testimony given, Exhibits presented (see worksheet).

Counsel stated that the parties have stipulated to the remaining financial issues.

Parties Canvassed by Counsel.

Parties STIPULATED to and the Court ORDERED the following:

COURT FINDS that it has subject matter jurisdiction over this case, personal jurisdiction over the

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

parties, and child custody subject matter jurisdiction over the minor child.

Upon submission of Decree, an absolute DECREE OF DIVORCE is GRANTED, pursuant to the stipulation outlined in Trial. The Parties are returned to the status of SINGLE and UNMARRIED.

The parties' stipulation shall be in effect pursuant to rule 7.50.

The parties shall have JOINT LEGAL JOINT PHYSICAL custody of the Minor Child.

The parties shall have a week on week off timeshare schedule with the Minor Child. The child exchanges shall be on Wednesdays.

The Defendant shall notify the Plaintiff if he will be more than twenty four hours away from the Minor Child and the Plaintiff shall keep the Minor Child in her custody until the Defendant arrives back into the Country.

The non custodial parent shall have video communication with the Minor Child on Monday's and Friday's at 7:15 pm. The parties shall not monitor or record the calls.

Each party shall take a vacation period with the Minor Child for no less than seven days per year for a total of seven days but shall not interfere with the Minor Child's school. The parties shall notify one another where they will be traveling with the Minor Child anywhere outside of Clark county.

The Defendant shall have timeshare with the Minor Child for Thanksgiving day in the year 2021 and the Plaintiff shall have Thanksgiving day with the Minor Child in 2022. The Defendant shall have timeshare with the Minor Child for Halloween 2021 from and the Plaintiff shall have Halloween 2022 with the Minor Child.

The Plaintiff shall have timeshare with the Minor Child from December 17, 2021 to December 26, 2021 for winter break. The Defendant shall have timeshare with the Minor Child from December 26, 2021 to January 5, 2022 for winter break. The child exchanges shall be at 12:00 pm.

The Plaintiff shall have timeshare with the Minor Child each year for the Plaintiff's birthday and on Mother's Day. The Defendant shall have timeshare with the Minor Child each year for the Defendant's birthday and on Father's Day. The holiday times shall be described as starting at 10:00 am to 12:00 pm the following day.

The parties shall alternate Easter with the Minor Child each year.

Staring in 2022 the party that has the last week of school during their custodial time with the Minor Child shall also have the first week of winter break with the Minor Child.

The Plaintiff shall select a suitable counselor for the Minor Child. The Counseling sessions shall be

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

during the Plaintiff's custodial time with the Minor Child. The Plaintiff shall bear all costs for the counseling. Both parties shall participate in Counseling as needed. Counseling itself shall not have any part in building the case unless it is a mandatory reporting situation.

All and any Child Support and Arrears shall be WAIVED and shall be revisited in eighteen months as of today October 12, 2021.

The Plaintiff shall claim the Minor Child for the Dependent tax credit in odd years and the Defendant shall claim the Minor Child in even years.

The marital residence shall be listed for sale. Attorney Grimes shall provide Attorney Gibbs with three names of realtors and Attorney Gibbs shall select one of the three. If the realtor chosen is not sufficient in selling the home in timely matter the parties shall stipulate on choosing a different realtor. The parties shall participate and cooperate with the realtors recommendations.

The money from the sale of the home shall be used to pay off the balance on the Mortgage and whatever fees are associated with "Exhibit A" the quick claim deed.

The remaining balance from the sale of the home shall be equally split between the parties.

The parties shall keep any and all assets and bank accounts in their name as their sole and separate property.

The parties shall bear their own Attorney's fees and costs.

Status Check SET for November 9, 2021 at 9:00 am STANDS.

Attorney Gibbs shall prepare the Divorce Decree, send it to Attorney Grimes for review and signature and submit it to the Court.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** November 09, 2021

D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff
vs.
Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.

November 09, 2021 **9:00 AM** **Status Check**

HEARD BY: Perry, Mary **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 23

COURT CLERK: Kyle Medina

PARTIES:

Dawm Lozano, Claimant, not present
Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant, Counter Claimant, present
[redacted] Gonzalez, Subject Minor, not present
Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant, present
R. Gibbs, Unbundled Attorney, present

John Muije, Attorney, not present
Melvin Grimes, Attorney, present

Pro Se

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- STATUS CHECK: STATUS CHECK RE: SCHOOLING

In the interest of public safety due to the Coronavirus pandemic, all parties were present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the BlueJeans application.

Court inquired on why additional names of schools were provided for the Court's consideration. Attorney Gibbs stated that the [redacted] previously chosen has no curriculum and that volunteer parents are teaching the children. Counsel stated argument regarding home schooling the Minor Child until a school is chosen.

Court discussed the additional schooling options that are equal distance from the parties' residence.

Court further discussed setting a Trial based on the schools provided.

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

COURT ORDERED the following:

The parties shall each present three schools to the Court.

Attorney Grimes shall being the passport paperwork on the Trial date to be signed in open Court.

Non Jury Trial SET for November 18, 2021 at 1:30 pm.

The Minute Order shall suffice, and a written Order is not required.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** November 18, 2021

D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff
vs.
Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.

November 18, 2021 **1:30 PM** **Non-Jury Trial**

HEARD BY: Perry, Mary **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 23

COURT CLERK: Kyle Medina

PARTIES:
Dawm Lozano, Claimant, not present
Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant, Counter Claimant, present
[redacted] Gonzalez, Subject Minor, not present
Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant, present
R. Gibbs, Unbundled Attorney, present

John Muije, Attorney, not present
Melvin Grimes, Attorney, present

Pro Se

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- NON JURY TRIAL: NON JURY TRIAL (1/2 DAY) : SCHOOLING

Court noted that today's setting was to decide on school for the Minor Child to attend. Court further noted that the parties have narrowed down to two schools that is equal distant from both residences but that the Defendant is opposed to the charter school.

Parties put under OATH. Plaintiff's TESTIMONY presented. Exhibits presented/admitted (see worksheet).

Parties STIPULATED to the following:

Plaintiff's Exhibits 1 through 19 shall be ADMITTED.

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

Temporarily the Minor Child shall be enrolled into [redacted] school without prejudice.

COURT ORDERED the following:

The parties shall be allowed to take the Minor Child out of the Country during their timeshare during the summer months as long as the party notifies the other party in advance.

Counsel shall exchange the school statistics no later than November 29, 2021.

The Defendant shall keep the passport in his possession during the even years and the Plaintiff shall keep the passport in her possession during the odd years. The passport shall follow the Minor Child during the summer months.

The Defendant shall keep the passport for the remainder of the year 2020.

The Non Jury Trial (Day 2) SET for December 7, 2021 at 9:00 am.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS: Nov 18, 2021 1:30PM Non-Jury Trial
NON JURY TRIAL (1/2 DAY) : SCHOOLING
Courtroom 23 Perry, Mary

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** December 07, 2021

D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff
vs.
Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.

December 07, 2021 9:00 AM **Non-Jury Trial**

HEARD BY: Perry, Mary **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 23

COURT CLERK: Kyle Medina

PARTIES:
Dawm Lozano, Claimant, not present
Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant, Counter Claimant, present
[redacted] Gonzalez, Subject Minor, not present
Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant, present
R. Gibbs, Unbundled Attorney, present

John Muije, Attorney, not present
Melvin Grimes, Attorney, present

Pro Se

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- NON-JURY TRIAL: NON JURY TRIAL (HALF DAY) (DAY 2)

Parties put under OATH. Sworn testimony and Exhibits presented (see worksheet).

COURT stated its FINDINGS and ORDERED the following:

The Minor Child shall continue to attend and stay enrolled in [redacted] School.

The Plaintiff shall be allowed to apply at the [redacted] Elementary School in February of 2023. The parties shall work together and research the [redacted] to decide if it is in the best interest of the Minor Child.

The parties STIPULATE to attending family therapy. They shall agree on a therapist and shall attend

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

therapy for a minimum of six months.

Attorney Grimes shall prepare the final Order, send it to Attorney Gibbs for review and signature and submit it to the Court.

Case shall be CLOSED.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS: Dec 07, 2021 9:00AM Non-Jury Trial
NON JURY TRIAL (HALF DAY) (DAY 2)
Courtroom 23 Perry, Mary

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** December 20, 2021

D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff
vs.
Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.

December 20, 2021 8:00 AM **Minute Order**

HEARD BY: Perry, Mary **COURTROOM:** Chambers

COURT CLERK: Kyle Medina

PARTIES:
Dawm Lozano, Claimant, not present
Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant, Counter Claimant, not present
[redacted] Gonzalez, Subject Minor, not present
Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant, not present
R. Gibbs, Attorney, Unbundled Attorney, not present
John Muije, Attorney, not present
Melvin Grimes, Attorney, not present
Benjamin Childs, Attorney, not present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- MINUTE ORDER NO HEARING HELD
D-18-575860-D
Luba Gonzalez vs. Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez

NRCP 1 and EDCR 1.10 state that the procedure in district courts shall be administered to secure efficient, speedy, and inexpensive determinations in every action.

In the interest of Judicial Economy, both Plaintiff and Defendant s Motions filed on December 17, 2021 will be ADVANCED to be heard on December 22, 2021 at 11:30am in conjunction with the required hearing on the Temporary Restraining Order.

Copies of this Minute Order to be provided to the parties and their counsel.

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** December 22, 2021

D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff
vs.
Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.

December 22, 2021 11:30 AM **All Pending Motions**

HEARD BY: Perry, Mary **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 23

COURT CLERK: Kyle Medina

PARTIES:
Dawm Lozano, Claimant, not present
Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant, Counter Claimant, present
[redacted] Gonzalez, Subject Minor, not present
Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant, present
R. Gibbs, Attorney, Unbundled Attorney, not present
John Muije, Attorney, not present
Melvin Grimes, Attorney, present
Benjamin Childs, Attorney, present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- HEARING REQUIRED FOR TPO: & ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE...MOTION: LUBA SNOW MOTION TO SET ASIDE

In the interest of public safety due to the Coronavirus pandemic, Attorney Grimes, Attorney Burke and the Defendant were present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the BlueJeans application. Attorney Child's and the Plaintiff were present in person.

Court noted that the bankruptcy Court needs a clear record of the findings of the case. Court further noted that the Plaintiff's share could have been placed in the Attorney's trust account until the bankruptcy Court reached a decision so that it would have not adversely affected the new buyers of the property or the Defendant of the property.

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

Attorney Grimes stated that for the record he did not mislead the Court or violate any sections.

COURT stated its FINDINGS and ORDERED the following:

There is an automatic stay on the proceedings therefore the Matter shall be taken OFF CALENDAR.

Attorney Grimes shall prepare the Final Order and submit it to the Court.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** March 16, 2022

D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff
vs.
Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.

March 16, 2022 **11:00 AM** **All Pending Motions**

HEARD BY: Hardcastle, Gerald W. **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 23

COURT CLERK: Kyle Medina; Kimberly Morehead

PARTIES:
Dawm Lozano, Claimant, not present
Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant, Counter Claimant, present
[redacted] Gonzalez, Subject Minor, not present
Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant, present
R. Gibbs, Attorney, Unbundled Attorney, not present
John Muije, Attorney, not present
Melvin Grimes, Attorney, present
Benjamin Childs, Attorney, present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- MOTION: PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO AMEND OR MAKE ADDITIONAL FINDINGS OF FACTS [NRCP 52 (B) AND/ OR TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGEMENT [NRCP 59(E)] AN/OR CORRECT CLERICAL ERROR [NRCP 0(A) AND (B)]...OPPOSITION & COUNTERMOTION: DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS'S MOTION TO AMEND OR MAKE ADDITIONAL FINDINGS OF FACT AND/OR AMEND JUDGEMENT AND/OR CORRECT CLERICAL ERROR AND COUNTERMOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES...MOTION: PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO OPPOSITION MOTION TO AMEND OR MAKE ADDITIONAL FINDINGS OF FACTS [NRCP 52 (B) AND/ OR TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGEMENT [NRCP 59(E)] AN/OR CORRECT CLERICAL ERROR [NRCP 0(A) AND (B)]

In the interest of public safety due to the Coronavirus pandemic, all parties were present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the BlueJeans application.

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

Court noted that the pleadings have been reviewed. Court stated that due to the history of the case the pleadings shall be heard by Judge Perry. Counsel agreed with the Court's suggestion.

COURT ORDERED the following:

The Motion and Opposition hearings shall be CONTINUED to March 30, 2022 at 11:00 am.

The Minute Order shall suffice, and a written Order is not required.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Mar 30, 2022 11:00AM Motion
MOTION:PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO AMEND OR MAKE ADDITIONAL FINDINGS OF FACTS [NRCP 52 B AND/OR TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT...(CONTINUED FROM 03/16/2022)
Courtroom 23 Perry, Mary

Mar 30, 2022 11:00AM Opposition & Countermotion
OPPOSITION & COUNTERMOTION: DEFENDANT'S MOTION (CONTINUED FROM 03/16/2022)
Courtroom 23 Perry, Mary

Mar 30, 2022 11:00AM Motion
MOTION: PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO AMEND (CONTINUED FROM 03/16/2022)
Courtroom 23 Perry, Mary

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** March 30, 2022

D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff
vs.
Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.

March 30, 2022 **11:00 AM** **All Pending Motions**

HEARD BY: Perry, Mary **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 23

COURT CLERK: Kyle Medina; Kimberly Morehead

PARTIES:
Dawm Lozano, Claimant, not present
Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant, Counter Claimant, present
[redacted] Gonzalez, Subject Minor, not present
Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant, present
R. Gibbs, Attorney, Unbundled Attorney, not present
John Muije, Attorney, not present
Melvin Grimes, Attorney, present
Benjamin Childs, Attorney, present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- MOTION: MOTION: PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO AMEND OR MAKE ADDITIONAL FINDINGS OF FACTS [NRCP 52 B AND/OR TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT...(CONTINUED FROM 03/16/2022)...OPPOSITION & COUNTERMOTION: OPPOSITION & COUNTERMOTION: DEFENDANT'S MOTION (CONTINUED FROM 03/16/2022)...MOTION: MOTION: PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO AMEND (CONTINUED FROM 03/16/2022)

In the interest of public safety due to the Coronavirus pandemic, all parties were present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the BlueJeans application.

Court reviewed the pleadings on file and the history of the case. Attorney Child's stated that bankruptcy has been filed and court noted that there is an automatic stay.

Court stated that the Department reviewed the competing Orders that were submitted and the Court

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

chose the correct Order. Court further noted that the Court will not force someone to attend therapy.

COURT ORDERED the following:

The Plaintiff's Motions shall be DENIED.

Attorney's fees shall be GRANTED. Attorney Grimes shall submit a memorandum of fees and costs to the Court.

Attorney Grimes shall submit the December 22, 2022 Order to the Court.

Attorney Grimes shall prepare the Final Order, send it to Attorney Child's for review and signature and submit it to the Court.

Case shall be CLOSED.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** May 17, 2022

D-18-575860-D Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff
vs.
Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.

May 17, 2022 **8:00 AM** **Minute Order**

HEARD BY: Perry, Mary **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 23

COURT CLERK: Kyle Medina

PARTIES:
Dawm Lozano, Claimant, not present
Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant, Counter Claimant, not present
[redacted] Gonzalez, Subject Minor, not present
Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant, not present
R. Gibbs, Attorney, Unbundled Attorney, not present
John Muije, Attorney, not present
Melvin Grimes, Attorney, not present
Benjamin Childs, Attorney, not present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- MINUTE ORDER NO HEARING HELD
D-18-575860-D
Luba Gonzalez vs. Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez

This case was reassigned to Department P from Department I after the 2020 election. When Department P took over this case there was already a lengthy history of game playing and manipulation between the Parties dating back to 2018. These games and the need to win did not cease once Department P took over. At the time of the October Evidentiary Hearing there were already 260 filings within the matter.

On October 11 and October 12, 2021, the Court held the Evidentiary Hearing for Divorce in this matter. Testimony was taken, but the Parties ultimately entered into a Marital Settlement agreement after Counsel for both Parties engaged in a side bar with Judge Perry. Judge Perry had made it clear

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

to Counsel that after hearing testimony regarding the transfer of the marital party, she found that Plaintiff had committed fraud upon Defendant in the transfer of the property and did not find Plaintiff credible. Plaintiff s Counsel advised his client based off this information and the Marital Property was stipulated to being Community Property and proceeds from the sale to be equally divided.

After once again reviewing the entirety of the case, at the end of the October 12 , 2021 Evidentiary Hearing the Court gave warning to both Parties to put their differences aside and start working on developing a friendship for the sake of their child. Judge Perry noted how many times the Parties had appeared before her in a short period of time because of their insistence to win over the other Party at all costs. Similar sentiments were made after the November 18, 2021 and December 7, 2021 Evidentiary Hearing dates to determine the child s school.

Shortly thereafter on December 15, 2021 Plaintiff filed for Bankruptcy. The Bankruptcy paperwork alleged that Plaintiff was the only person with an interest in the home, and that she was still in fact married with the divorce pending. However, the Stipulated Decree of Divorce was signed on December 8, 2021 and a Notice of Entry was filed December 10, 2021. Therefore, this was not true, Plaintiff had been divorced for a week and the property had been ordered to be divided. This appeared to be another stab at winning on behalf of Plaintiff. Seeing that Plaintiff was attempting to commit fraud in another Court, at the hearing on December 22, 2021, the Court did state the adverse findings it would have made in October 2021 as to the property and Plaintiff s credibility had the Parties not entered into the Martial Settlement Agreement.

One more hearing occurred in this case on March 30, 2022 regarding Plaintiff s Motion to Amend of Make Additional Findings, which was denied. Plaintiff has since filed one more Motion to Amend, Make Additional Findings or Order a New Trial. It appears to the Court that Plaintiff s game-playing and insistence at winning continues. Before this final Motion could be heard, Plaintiff filed an Affidavit to Disqualify based on bias or prejudice.

This Court FINDS that after presiding over this case for almost a year and a half, and having watched Plaintiff attempt to defraud the other Party as well as attempt to defraud another Court, the Court has now developed a personal bias against Plaintiff and therefore should not remain on this case.

This Court voluntarily recuses from future hearings in D-18-575860-D and hereby ORDERS that the Clerk of the Court randomly reassign D-18-575860-D to another department. The Motion hearing set for May 18, 2022 at 11:00am on the Department P Calendar for PLAINTIFF S MOTION TO AMEND OR MAKE ADDITIONAL FINDINGS OF FACTS [NRCP52(b)] AND/OR FOR A NEW TRIAL [NRCP 59(a)] AND/OR TO ALTER OR AMENDS JUDGMENT [NRCP 59(e)] AND/OR CORRECT CLERICAL ERROR [NRCP 60(a) AND (b)] filed April 12, 2022 and Defendants subsequent OPPOSITION AND COUNTERMOTION filed April 22, 2022 shall be vacated and rescheduled upon re-noticing on the new Department Calendar, as well as Plaintiff s Claim of Exemption and Defendant s Objection.

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

Pursuant to the Model Code of Judicial Conduct: Canon 2, Rule 2.11(A)(1): A judge shall disqualify herself in any proceeding in which the judge s impartiality might reasonably be questioned, including but not limited to the following circumstances: (1) The judge has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party or a party s lawyer.

NOW THEREFORE; IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that this Court voluntarily recuse itself on D-18-575860-D, pursuant to:

Model Code of Judicial Conduct: Canon 2
Rule 2.11. Disqualification.
(A) A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in any proceeding in which the judge s impartiality might reasonably be questioned, including but not limited to the following circumstances:
(1) The judge has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party or a party s lawyer, or personal knowledge of facts that are in dispute in the proceeding.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that this case be immediately be transferred to another department pursuant to:

NRS 1.235(5)(a):
(5) Except as otherwise provided in subsection 6, the judge against whom an affidavit alleging bias or prejudice is filed shall proceed no further with the matter and shall:
(a) If the judge is a district judge, immediately transfer the case to another department of the court, if there is more than one department of the court in the district, or request the judge of another district court to preside at the trial or hearing of the matter.

A copy of this minute order shall be served on the parties and their attorneys, as well as the Department 7 Law Clerk.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS

DATE: 10/11/2021 - 10-12-2021

CASE NAME: Gonzales v Velazquez Gonzales

CASE NUMBER: D-18-575800-D

		OFFERED	ADMITTED	OBJECTED		
#8	Quit Claim Deed	Yes 10-11-21	Yes 10-11-21	NO		
#30	Checks From Defendant	Yes 10-11-21	Yes 10-11-21	NO		

Melvin R. Grimes, Esq.
State Bar [redacted]
ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP
[redacted]
Attorney for Defendant

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

LUBA GONZALEZ, Plaintiff, v. ENRIQUE VELAZQUEZ-GONZALEZ, Defendant.	Case No: D-18-575860-D Dept No: P Date of Trial: October 11 and 12, 2021 Time of Trial: 9:30 a.m.

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Ex.	Title of Document(s)	Bates No.	Offered	Object	Admit
A	Deed of Trust for Sunny Countryside House	DEF 1 - DEF 6	Yes 10-12-21	NO	Yes 10-12-21
B	Promissory Note Sunny Countryside House	DEF 7- DEF 11			
C	Declaration of Value for [redacted]	DEF 12			
D	Quit Claim Deed for Sunny Countryside House	DEF 13- DEF14			
E	Change of Beneficiary	DEF 15			
F	Mortgage Assistance Payments	DEF 16- DEF18			
G	State Farm Life Insurance Policy	DEF 19- DEF 59			
H	Automobile Insurance Cards	DEF 60- DEF 61			
I	Defendant's 2018 W-2 Earning Summary	DEF 62- DEF 63			
J	Defendant's Paystubs	DEF 64- DEF 73			

K NF	BBVA Compass Account Summary	DEF 74-DEF 76	Yes 10/11/21	NO	Yes 10/11/21
L	Statements from Bank of America Account ending in 8926 from April 2018-January 2019	DEF 77-DEF 138			
M	Transfer Record for Wedding Payments	DEF 139-DEF 140			
N	Wedding Payment Information	Def 141-DEF 147			
O	Defendant's R.C. Willey Debt	DEF 148-DEF 149			
P	Defendant's Background Information	DEF 150-DEF158			
Q	Defendant's Impairment Questionnaire	DEF 159-DEF 161			
R	Receipt from Plaintiff's Counsel	DEF 162			
S	Letter from Defendant's Boss, Irene Affrunti	DEF 163			
T	Letter from Defendant's Father, Alberto Ayala Salazar	DEF 164			
U	Letter from Defendant's girlfriend, Jennifer Terrana regarding monthly expenses	DEF 165 – DEF 166			
V	Letter from Defendant's girlfriend, Jennifer Terrana	DEF 167			
W	Jennifer Terrana's Application for Protection against Plaintiff	DEF 168-DEF 170			
X	LVMPD Record of Altercation between Plaintiff and Robin Hammer	DEF 171-DEF172			
Y	Records of Defendant's Travels	DEF 173-DEF 178			
Z	Defendant's Certification of Completion of Children In Between Class	DEF 179			
AA	Messages between Plaintiff and Defendant on Talking Parents	DEF 180-DEF182			
BB	Text Messages between Defendant and Robin Hamer	DEF 183-DEF184			
CC	Jennifer Terrana's Cox Bill of which Defendant pays a Portion	DEF 185-DEF 187			
DD	Jennifer Terrana's Water Bill, of which defendant pays a portion	DEF 188-DEF190			

EE	Jennifer Terrana's NV Energy Bill, of which Defendant pays a portion	DEF 191-DEF 194				
FF	Jennifer Terrana's Southwest Gas Bill, of which Defendant pays a portion	DEF 195-DEF198				
GG	Jennifer Terrana's Republic Services Bill, of which Defendant pays a portion	DEF 199-DEF 201				
HH	Jennifer Terrana's DirecTV Bill, of which Defendant pays a portion	DEF 202				
II	Jennifer Terrana's Sewer Bill, of which Defendant pays a portion	DEF 203				
JJ	Defendant's Capital One Statements 01/2016 - 09/2017	DEF 204-DEF 267				
KK	Jennifer Terrana's Mortgage Statement	DEF 268				
LL	First Video from March 4, 2019 Exchange	DEF 269				
MM	Second Video from March 4, 2019 Exchange	DEF 270				
NN	Third Video from March 4, 2019 Exchange	DEF 271				
OO	Documents Related to Defendant's Cryptocurrency Accounts	DEF 272 - DEF 283				
PP	Wells Fargo Account Summaries	DEF 440 – DEF 587				
QQ	Coinbase Account Summary	DEF 588-DEF590				
RR	Text Messages	DEF 590 – DEF 604				

Any additional exhibits necessary for rebuttal or impeachment purposes.

DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS

DATE: 11/18/2021

CASE NAME: GONZALES V VELAZQUEZ-GONZALEZ

CASE NUMBER: D-18-575860-D

	OFFERED	ADMITTED	OBJECTED		
EXHIBIT A (INFORMATION AND STATS ON SCHOOL) NE	11/18/2021 YES	11/18/2021 YES	NO		

LUBA GONZALEZ v. ENRIQUE IVAN VELASQUEZ-GONZALEZ
Case No. D-18-575860-D
Trial Date: 11/17/2021
Time of Trial: 1:30 p.m.

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit	Marked for Identification	Admitted into Evidence
1	SchoolDigger information on [redacted] Saddle [redacted]	Yes 11-18-21	Yes 11-18-21
2	[redacted]		
3	[redacted]		
4	Niche information on AM Plus Academy fka American Preparatory Academy Las Vegas [redacted]		
5	SchoolDigger information on AM Plus Academy fka American Preparatory Academy Las Vegas [redacted]		
6	Mapquest from Luba's Home to AM Plus Academy fka American Preparatory Academy Las Vegas [redacted]		
7	Mapquest from Enrique's Home to AM Plus Academy fka American Preparatory Academy Las Vegas [redacted]		
8	SchoolDigger information on Jan L. Jones Blackhurst Elementary School		
9	Mapquest from Luba's Home to Jan L. Jones Blackhurst Elementary School		
10	Mapquest from Enrique's Home to Jan L. Jones Blackhurst Elementary School		
11	SchoolDigger information on James Bilbray Elementary School		
12	Mapquest from Luba's Home to James Bilbray Elementary School		
13	Mapquest from Enrique's Home to James Bilbray Elementary School		

14 MF	SchoolDigger information on [redacted] Elementary School	Yes 11-18-21	Yes 11-18-21
15 MF	[redacted]		
16 MF	[redacted] M.		
17 MF	SchoolDigger information on William and Mary Scherkenbach Elementary School		
18 MF	Mapquest from Luba's Home to William and Mary Scherkenbach Elementary School		
19 MF	Mapquest from Enrique's Home to William and Mary Scherkenbach Elementary School		
20	Talking Parents Thread re: the Passport.		

LUBA GONZALEZ v. ENRIQUE IVAN VELASQUEZ-GONZALEZ
Case No. D-18-575860-D
Trial Date: 11/17/2021
Time of Trial: 1:30 p.m.

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit	Marked for Identification	Admitted into Evidence
1	SchoolDigger information on [redacted] Saddle [redacted] LG001381 - LG001384		
2	[redacted]		
3	[redacted]		
4	Niche information on AM Plus Academy fka American Preparatory Academy Las Vegas [redacted] LG001387 - LG001401		
5	SchoolDigger information on AM Plus Academy fka American Preparatory Academy Las Vegas [redacted] LG001402 - LG001404		
6	Mapquest from Luba's Home to AM Plus Academy fka American Preparatory Academy Las Vegas [redacted] LG001405		
7	Mapquest from Enrique's Home to AM Plus Academy fka American Preparatory Academy Las Vegas [redacted] LG001406		
8	SchoolDigger information on Jan L. Jones Blackhurst Elementary School, LG001407 - LG001410		
9	Mapquest from Luba's Home to Jan L. Jones Blackhurst Elementary School, LG001411		
10	Mapquest from Enrique's Home to Jan L. Jones Blackhurst Elementary School, LG001412		
11	SchoolDigger information on James Bilbray Elementary School, LG001413 - LG001417		
12	Mapquest from Luba's Home to James Bilbray Elementary School, LG001418		

		Marked for Identification	Admitted	ODJ
13	Mapquest from Enrique's Home to James Bilbray Elementary School, LG001419			
14	[redacted]			
15	[redacted]			
16	[redacted]			
17	SchoolDigger information on William and Mary Scherkenbach Elementary School, LG001426 - LG001429			
18	Mapquest from Luba's Home to William and Mary Scherkenbach Elementary School, LG001430			
19	Mapquest from Enrique's Home to William and Mary Scherkenbach Elementary School, LG001431			
20	Talking Parents Thread re: the Passport, LG001432 - LG001440			
21	Defendant's School Application for the minor child, LG001441 - LG001442	Yes 12-7-21	Yes 12-7-21	NO
22	Minor Child [redacted] Dace's grades from [redacted] LG001443 - LG001445			
23	[redacted] M.	Yes 12-7-21	Yes 12-7-21	NO
24	[redacted]	Yes 12-7-21	Yes 12-7-21	NO
25	Nevada Accountability Report for [redacted] Nevada Report Card, LG001458 - LG001465	Yes 12-7-21	Yes 12-7-21	NO
26	Audio Recording of Plaintiff and Principal Derasmo (flash drive)	Yes 12-7-21	Yes 12-7-21	NO

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLERK'S OFFICE

NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY

ON APPEAL TO NEVADA SUPREME COURT

BENJAMIN B. CHILDS
[redacted]

DATE: May 19, 2022
CASE: D-18-575860-D

RE CASE: LUBA GONZALEZ vs. ENRIQUE IVAN VELAZQUEZ-GONZALEZ

NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED: May 16, 2022

YOUR APPEAL <u>HAS</u> BEEN SENT TO THE SUPREME COURT.

PLEASE NOTE: DOCUMENTS **NOT** TRANSMITTED HAVE BEEN MARKED:

☒ $250 – Supreme Court Filing Fee (Make Check Payable to the Supreme Court)**
- If the [redacted] Filing Fee was not submitted along with the original Notice of Appeal, it must be mailed directly to the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court Filing Fee will not be forwarded by this office if submitted after the Notice of Appeal has been filed.

☐ $24 – District Court Filing Fee (Make Check Payable to the District Court)**

☐ $500 – Cost Bond on Appeal (Make Check Payable to the District Court)**
- NRAP 7: Bond For Costs On Appeal in Civil Cases
- *Previously paid Bonds are not transferable between appeals without an order of the District Court.*

☐ Case Appeal Statement
- NRAP 3 (a)(1), Form 2

☐ Order

☐ Notice of Entry of Order

NEVADA RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE 3 (a) (3) states:

"The district court clerk must file appellant's notice of appeal despite perceived deficiencies in the notice, including the failure to pay the district court or Supreme Court filing fee. <u>The district court clerk shall apprise appellant of the deficiencies in writing</u>, and shall transmit the notice of appeal to the Supreme Court in accordance with subdivision (g) of this Rule with a notation to the clerk of the Supreme Court setting forth the deficiencies. Despite any deficiencies in the notice of appeal, the clerk of the Supreme Court shall docket the appeal in accordance with Rule 12."

Please refer to Rule 3 for an explanation of any possible deficiencies.

***Per District Court Administrative Order 2012-01, in regards to civil litigants, "...all Orders to Appear in Forma Pauperis expire one year from the date of issuance." You must reapply for in Forma Pauperis status.*

Certification of Copy

State of Nevada
County of Clark } **SS:**

I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the hereinafter stated original document(s):

NOTICE OF APPEAL; CASE APPEAL STATEMENT; NOTICE OF POSTING APPEAL BOND; DISTRICT COURT DOCKET ENTRIES; CIVIL COVER SHEET; ORDER AFTER HEARING; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER AFTER HEARING; ORDER AFTER MARCH 30, 2022 HEARING; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER AFTER MARCH 30, 2022 HEARING; ORDER AWARDING ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS TO DEFENDANT, ENRIQUE IVAN VELAZQUEZ-GONZALEZ; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER AWARDING ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS TO DEFENDANT, ENRIQUE IVAN VELAZQUEZ-GONZALEZ; DISTRICT COURT MINUTES; EXHIBITS LIST; NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY

LUBA GONZALEZ,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

ENRIQUE IVAN VELAZQUEZ-GONZALEZ,

Defendant(s),

Case No: D-18-575860-D

Dept No: P

now on file and of record in this office.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto
Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the
Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada
This 19 day of May 2022.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

Heather Ungermann

Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk