IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

INDICATE FULL CAPTION:

LUBA GONZALEZ nka LUBA SNOW
Appellant

vs.

ENRIQUE VELAZQUEZ-GONAZLEZ
Respondents

No. 84759

Electronically Filed
May 31 2022 09:37 a.m.
Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

DOCKETING STATEMENT
CIVIL APPEALS

GENERAL INFORMATION

Appellants must complete this docketing statement in compliance with NRAP 14(a). The purpose of the docketing statement is to assist the Supreme Court in screening jurisdiction, identifying issues on appeal, assessing presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, scheduling cases for oral argument and settlement conferences, classifying cases for expedited treatment and assignment to the Court of Appeals, and compiling statistical information.

WARNING

This statement must be completed fully, accurately and on time. NRAP 14(c). The Supreme Court may impose sanctions on counsel or appellant if it appears that the information provided is incomplete or inaccurate. *Id.* Failure to fill out the statement completely or to file it in a timely manner constitutes grounds for the imposition of sanctions, including a fine and/or dismissal of the appeal.

A complete list of the documents that must be attached appears as Question 27 on this docketing statement. Failure to attach all required documents will result in the delay of your appeal and may result in the imposition of sanctions.

This court has noted that when attorneys do not take seriously their obligations under NRAP 14 to complete the docketing statement properly and conscientiously, they waste the valuable judicial resources of this court, making the imposition of sanctions appropriate. *See* KDI Sylvan Pools v. Workman, 107 Nev. 340, 344, 810 P.2d 1217, 1220 (1991). Please use tab dividers to separate any attached documents.

Docket 84759 Document 2022-17114

1. Judicial District 8th Department 18

County Clark Judge Mary Perry

District Ct. Case No. D-18-575860-D

2. Attorney filing this docketing statement:

[redacted]

Firm Benjamin B. Childs, Ltd

[redacted]

Client(s) LUBA GONZALEZ

If this is a joint statement by multiple appellants, add the names and addresses of other counsel and the names of their clients on an additional sheet accompanied by a certification that they concur in the filing of this statement.

3. Attorney(s) representing respondents(s):

Attorney Melvin R. Grimes Telephone 7024747007

Firm ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP

Address 4411 S. Pecos Rd

[redacted]

Client(s) ENRIQUE VELAZQUEZ-GONAZLEZ

Attorney _____ Telephone _____

Firm _____

Address

Client(s) _____

(List additional counsel on separate sheet if necessary)

4. Nature of disposition below (check all that apply):

- ☐ Judgment after bench trial
- ☐ Judgment after jury verdict
- ☐ Summary judgment
- ☐ Default judgment
- ☐ Grant/Denial of NRCP 60(b) relief
- ☐ Grant/Denial of injunction
- ☐ Grant/Denial of declaratory relief
- ☐ Review of agency determination
- ☐ Dismissal:
  - ☐ Lack of jurisdiction
  - ☐ Failure to state a claim
  - ☐ Failure to prosecute
  - ☐ Other (specify): _____
- ☒ Divorce Decree:
  - ☒ Original ☐ Modification
- ☒ Other disposition (specify): post decree order

5. Does this appeal raise issues concerning any of the following?

- ☐ Child Custody
- ☐ Venue
- ☐ Termination of parental rights

6. Pending and prior proceedings in this court. List the case name and docket number of all appeals or original proceedings presently or previously pending before this court which are related to this appeal:

None

7. Pending and prior proceedings in other courts. List the case name, number and court of all pending and prior proceedings in other courts which are related to this appeal (*e.g.*, bankruptcy, consolidated or bifurcated proceedings) and their dates of disposition:

In re Snow Chapter 7 bankruptcy
BK-S-21-15676-NMC
Southern District of Nevada Bankruptcy Court

8. Nature of the action. Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below:

Divorce with custody and property/debt issues.

Orders being appealed are post decree special orders involving counseling and monetary sanction.

9. Issues on appeal. State concisely the principal issue(s) in this appeal (attach separate sheets as necessary):

Why Court refused to include stipulation by parties which was placed on the video record on December 7, 2021 in the final order.

Was there a legal basis for award of $1,600 attorney fees?

10. Pending proceedings in this court raising the same or similar issues. If you are aware of any proceedings presently pending before this court which raises the same or similar issues raised in this appeal, list the case name and docket numbers and identify the same or similar issue raised:

11. Constitutional issues. If this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute, and the state, any state agency, or any officer or employee thereof is not a party to this appeal, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?

☐ N/A

☐ Yes

☒ No

If not, explain:

12. Other issues. Does this appeal involve any of the following issues?

☐ Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (identify the case(s))

☐ An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions

☐ A substantial issue of first impression

☐ An issue of public policy

☐ An issue where en banc consideration is necessary to maintain uniformity of this court's decisions

☐ A ballot question

If so, explain:

13. Assignment to the Court of Appeals or retention in the Supreme Court. Briefly set forth whether the matter is presumptively retained by the Supreme Court or assigned to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, and cite the subparagraph(s) of the Rule under which the matter falls. If appellant believes that the Supreme Court should retain the case despite its presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals, identify the specific issue(s) or circumstance(s) that warrant retaining the case, and include an explanation of their importance or significance:

Presumptively assigned to the Court of Appeals [NRAP 17(b)(7)] and (10)
Cases involving family law matters other than termination of parental rights or NRS Chapter 432B proceedings;

14. Trial. If this action proceeded to trial, how many days did the trial last? 2

Was it a bench or jury trial? bench

15. Judicial Disqualification. Do you intend to file a motion to disqualify or have a justice recuse him/herself from participation in this appeal? If so, which Justice?

TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

16. Date of entry of written judgment or order appealed from Apr 27, 2022

If no written judgment or order was filed in the district court, explain the basis for seeking appellate review:

Note that two separate orders are being appealed. One was filed April 27, 2022 and one was filed April 28, 2022.

17. Date written notice of entry of judgment or order was served Apr 28, 2022

Was service by:

☐ Delivery

☒ Mail/electronic/fax

18. If the time for filing the notice of appeal was tolled by a post-judgment motion (NRCP 50(b), 52(b), or 59)

(a) Specify the type of motion, the date and method of service of the motion, and the date of filing.

☐ NRCP 50(b) Date of filing _____

☐ NRCP 52(b) Date of filing _____

☐ NRCP 59 Date of filing _____

NOTE: Motions made pursuant to NRCP 60 or motions for rehearing or reconsideration may toll the time for filing a notice of appeal. *See* AA Primo Builders v. Washington, 126 Nev. ____, 245 P.3d 1190 (2010).

(b) Date of entry of written order resolving tolling motion _____

(c) Date written notice of entry of order resolving tolling motion was served _____

Was service by:

☐ Delivery

☐ Mail

19. Date notice of appeal filed May 16, 2022

If more than one party has appealed from the judgment or order, list the date each notice of appeal was filed and identify by name the party filing the notice of appeal:

20. Specify statute or rule governing the time limit for filing the notice of appeal, *e.g., NRAP 4(a) or other*

NRAP 4(a)(1)

SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY

21. Specify the statute or other authority granting this court jurisdiction to review the judgment or order appealed from:

(a)

☐ NRAP 3A(b)(1)	☐ NRS 38.205
☐ NRAP 3A(b)(2)	☐ NRS 233B.150
☐ NRAP 3A(b)(3)	☐ NRS 703.376
☒ Other (specify) NRAP (b)(8) special order after final judgment	

(b) Explain how each authority provides a basis for appeal from the judgment or order:
Special Order after final judgment.

22. List all parties involved in the action or consolidated actions in the district court:

(a) Parties:

Plaintiff s : LUBA GOZALEZ nka LUBA SNOW

Defendants : ENRIQUE IVAN VELAZQUEZ-GONZALEZ

(b) If all parties in the district court are not parties to this appeal, explain in detail why those parties are not involved in this appeal, *e.g.*, formally dismissed, not served, or other:

23. Give a brief description (3 to 5 words) of each party's separate claims, counterclaims, cross-claims, or third-party claims and the date of formal disposition of each claim.

Divorce, Division of Community Property and Community Debt, custody and related financial issues
Stipulated Decree of Divorce filed December 8, 2021

24. Did the judgment or order appealed from adjudicate ALL the claims alleged below and the rights and liabilities of ALL the parties to the action or consolidated actions below?

☐ Yes

☒ No

25. If you answered "No" to question 24, complete the following:

(a) Specify the claims remaining pending below:

Decree is final but Snow timely filed a MOTION TO AMEND OR MAKE ADDITIONAL FINDINGS OF FACTS [NRCP 52(b)] AND/OR FOR A NEW TRIAL [NRCP 59(a) AND/ OR TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT [NRCP 59(e)] AND/OR CORRECT CLERICAL ERROR [NRCP 60(a) AND (b)] which is currently set for hearing on July 11, 2022.

(b) Specify the parties remaining below:
Both parties remain below.

(c) Did the district court certify the judgment or order appealed from as a final judgment pursuant to NRCP 54(b)?

☐ Yes

☒ No

(d) Did the district court make an express determination, pursuant to NRCP 54(b), that there is no just reason for delay and an express direction for the entry of judgment?

☐ Yes

☒ No

26. If you answered "No" to any part of question 25, explain the basis for seeking appellate review (*e.g.*, order is independently appealable under NRAP 3A(b)):
Order is independently reviewable as special order after final judgment [NRAP 3A(b)(8)]

27. Attach file-stamped copies of the following documents:

- The latest-filed complaint, counterclaims, cross-claims, and third-party claims
- Any tolling motion(s) and order(s) resolving tolling motion(s)
- Orders of NRCP 41(a) dismissals formally resolving each claim, counterclaims, cross-claims and/or third-party claims asserted in the action or consolidated action below, even if not at issue on appeal
- Any other order challenged on appeal
- Notices of entry for each attached order

VERIFICATION

I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read this docketing statement, that the information provided in this docketing statement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, and that I have attached all required documents to this docketing statement.

Luba Gonzalez nka Luba Snow
Name of appellant

Benjamin B. Childs, Sr.
Name of counsel of record

May 31, 2022
Date

/s/ Benjamin B. Childs, Sr.
Signature of counsel of record

Clark County, Nevada
State and county where signed

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the 31 day of May , 2022 , I served a copy of this completed docketing statement upon all counsel of record:

☐ By personally serving it upon him/her; or

☐ By mailing it by first class mail with sufficient postage prepaid to the following address(es): (NOTE: If all names and addresses cannot fit below, please list names below and attach a separate sheet with the addresses.)

Melvin R. Grimes emailed to
4411 Pecos R
[redacted]

Ishi Kunin
KUNIN LAW GROUP
[redacted]

Dated this 31 day of May , 2022

/s/ Benjamin B. Childs
Signature

Snow v. Gonzalez
Case # 84759

INDEX TO DOCKETING STATEMENT EXHIBITS

Document	Bates #
Complaint filed August 20, 2018	1 - 7
MOTION TO AMEND OR MAKE ADDITIONAL FINDINGS OF FACTS [NRCP 52(b)] AND/OR TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT [NRCP 59(e)] AND/OR CORRECT CLERICAL ERROR [NRCP 60(a) AND (b) filed February 9, 2022	
Motion	8 - 14
Exhibits	15 - 47
MOTION TO AMEND OR MAKE ADDITIONAL FINDINGS OF FACTS [NRCP 52(b)] AND/OR TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT [NRCP 59(e)] AND/OR CORRECT CLERICAL ERROR [NRCP 60(a) AND (b) filed April 12, 2022	
Motion	48 - 62
Exhibits	63 - 236
ORDER AFTER MARCH 30, 2022 HEARING filed April 28, 2022, with Notice of Entry [Order on appeal]	237 - 243
ORDER AWARDING ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS TO DEFENDANT ENRIQUE IVAN VELAZQUEZ- GONZALEZ filed April 29, 2022 [Order on Appeal]	244 - 251

Electronically Filed
8/20/2018 12:29 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

Luba Gonzalez
[redacted]
In Proper Person

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Luba Gonzalez, Plaintiff, vs. Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez, Defendant.	Case No.: D-18-575860-D Dept No.: Department I

COMPLAINT FOR DIVORCE AND UCCJEA DECLARATION (With Children)

COMES NOW, Plaintiff, Luba Gonzalez in Proper Person as and for a Complaint for Divorce against Defendant, and alleges as follows:

1. That Luba Gonzalez for a period of more than six weeks immediately preceding the filing of this action, has been and now is an actual and bona fide resident of the State of Nevada, County of Clark, and has been actually physically present and domiciled in Nevada for more than six (6) weeks prior to the filing of this action.

2. That Plaintiff and Defendant were married on 03/30/2013 in Las Vegas, Nevada, and have been and still are husband and wife.

3. That during the course of said marriage, the tastes, mental disposition, views, likes and dislikes of Plaintiff and Defendant have become so widely divergent that the parties have become incompatible in marriage to such an extent that it is impossible for them to live together as husband and wife; that the incompatibility between Plaintiff and Defendant is so great that there is no possibility of reconciliation between them.

4. Wife is not **pregnant** at this time.

Snow v. Velazquez
Case # 84759 Docketing Statement
Page 1 of 251

Case Number: D-18-575860-D

5. That there is one minor child born in this union:

NAME	AGE	DATE OF BIRTH
[redacted] Gonzalez	2	[redacted]

The State of Nevada is the habitual residence of the minor child.

6. The child has lived in the State of Nevada since [redacted] The name and current address of each non-parent the children lived with during the last five years are: None

7. The child has lived with the following persons in the following places within the last five years: [redacted]

I **have not** participated as a party or witness or in some other capacity in any other case involving the child.

I **do not** know of a different case that could affect the current case.

I **do not** know of anyone other than the parent who has physical custody of the child or who claims custody/visitation rights to the child.

8. The Court should consider the following issues in determining custody: None.

9. Luba Gonzalez is a fit and proper person to be awarded primary physical custody of the minor child.

10. Luba Gonzalez and Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez are fit and proper persons to be awarded joint legal custody of the minor child.

11. Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez will have visitation with the minor child every 1st and 3rd Saturday at 8am to Sunday at 8pm.

12. That all visitations shall remain in the State of Nevada. In the event the parties mutually agree the child may travel outside the State of Nevada, Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez shall provide an airplane ticket for both Luba Gonzalez and the minor child to and from the visitation.

If Luba Gonzalez has to miss work then Enrique Velazquez-Gonzalez will be responsible to reimburse Luba Gonzalez the amount lost during the missed work time.

13. That no holiday schedule is being implemented.

14. Mother will claim the minor child every year for income tax reporting.

15. Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez should pay child support in the amount of $100 per month. The child support is in compliance with NRS 125B.080. Father earns $0.00 per month.

16. Both husband and wife may request a review of the Child Support Order every three years.

17. That the minor child's name shall be changed to read [redacted] Snow-Gonzalez.

18. The child is presently covered by Luba Gonzalez insurance and Luba Gonzalez is responsible to maintain health insurance coverage.

19. 30/30 Rule: Any parent incurring an out-of-pocket medical expense relating to the minor child will provide to the other parent a copy of all paperwork relating to that expense within thirty (30) days of incurring said expense, along with a request for contribution for one-half (½) of the out-of-pocket expense actually incurred. Upon receipt of a request for contribution for one-half (½) of an out-of-pocket expense incurred by a parent on behalf of the minor child, the other parent will reimburse the requesting parent in the amount requested within thirty (30) days of receipt of said request for contribution. Upon receipt of reimbursement from any insurance carrier by either parent, and if the other parent previously paid a portion of the payment resulting in that reimbursement, the parent receiving the reimbursement shall equally divide said reimbursement with the other parent within seven (7) days of receipt of same. Both parents have the authority to contact the insurance provider directly in order to determine the status of any individual claim. The parties ask the court to adopt the 30/30 Rule.

Any expenses not covered by insurance should be paid equally by both parties.

20. That Defendant cannot modify child support/custody without completing the mandatory COPE class.

21. That Wife wishes to return to her maiden name of Luba Snow.

22. That there is community property to be divided by the Court, as follows: Luba Gonzalez will retain the property located at [redacted] Las Vegas, Nevada 89179, 2014 Mazda cx5, as her sole and separate property.

23. That there is community debt to be divided by the Court, as follows: Luba Gonzalez will assume the mortgage on the property, student loans in her name, and any debts incurred solely in her name, as her sole and separate debt; Enrique Ivan Velazquez-Gonzalez will assume any debts incurred solely in his name, 2017 Mazda 6 outstanding lease balance, as his sole and separate debt.

24. That neither party is entitled to alimony/spousal support and waive that right.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each party shall submit the information required in NRS 125B.055, NRS 125.130 and NRS 125.230 on a separate form to the Court and the Welfare Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten days from the date this Decree is filed. Such information shall be maintained by the Clerk in a confidential manner and not part of the public record. The parties shall update the information filed with the Court and the Welfare Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten days should any of that information become inaccurate.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of the following provision of NRS 125C.0045(6): PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF ORDER: THE ABDUCTION, CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE AS A CATEGORY D FELONY AS PROVIDED IN NRS 193.130. NRS 200.359 provides that every person having a limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or removes the child from a parent, guardian or other person having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in violation of an order of this court, or removes the child from the jurisdiction of the court without the consent of either the court or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is subject to being punished for a category D felony as provided in NRS 193.130.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the terms of the Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private International Law, apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a foreign country. The parties are also put on notice

of the following provision of NRS 125C.0045(8): If a parent of the child lives in a foreign country or has significant commitments in a foreign country: (a) The parties may agree, and the court shall include in the order for custody of the child, that the United States is the country of habitual residence of the child for the purposes of applying the terms of the Hague Convention as set forth in subsection 7. (b) Upon motion of one of the parties, the court may order the parent to post a bond if the court determines that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child outside the country of habitual residence. The bond must be in an amount determined by the court and may be used only to pay for the cost of locating the child and returning him to his habitual residence if the child is wrongfully removed from or concealed outside the country of habitual residence. The fact that a parent has significant commitments in a foreign country does not create a presumption that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the parties are subject to the relocation requirements of NRS 125C.006 & NRS 125C.0065. If joint or primary physical custody has been established pursuant to an order, judgment or decree of a court and one parent intends to relocate his or her residence to a place outside of this State or to a place within this State that is at such a distance that would substantially impair the ability of the other parent to maintain a meaningful relationship with the child, and the relocating parent desires to take the child with him or her, the relocating parent shall, before relocating: (a) attempt to obtain the written consent of the non-relocating parent to relocate with the child; and (b) if the non-relocating parent refuses to give that consent, petition the court for permission to move and/or for primary physical custody for the purpose of relocating. A parent who desires to relocate with a child has the burden of proving that relocating with the child is in the best interest of the child. The court may award reasonable attorney's fees and costs to the relocating parent if the court finds that the nonrelocating parent refused to consent to the relocating parent's relocation with the child without having reasonable grounds for such refusal, or for the purpose of harassing the relocating parent. A parent who relocates with a child pursuant to this section without the written consent of the other parent or the permission of the court is subject to the provisions of NRS 200.359.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the parties are subject to the provisions of NRS 31A and 125.007 regarding the collection of delinquent child support payments. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that either party may request a review of child support every three years pursuant to NRS 125B.145.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for a Divorce as follows:

1. That the marriage existing between Plaintiff and Defendant be dissolved and that Plaintiff be granted an absolute Decree of Divorce and that each of the parties be restored to the status of a single, unmarried person.

2. That the Court grant the relief requested in this Complaint;

3. That Defendant for all costs and legal fees associated with this filing; and

4. For such other relief as the Court finds to be just and proper.

DATED this 20 day of AUGUST, 2018.

Luba Gonzalez
7260 Sunny Countryside Avenue
[redacted]
In Proper Person

MAGGIE STRICKLAND
NVDR20143424
[redacted]
(702) 439-5333

VERIFICATION

STATE OF NEVADA)
) ss:
COUNTY OF CLARK)

Under penalties or perjury, I declare that I am the Plaintiff in the above-entitled action that I have the foregoing Complaint and know the contents thereof, that the pleading is true of my own knowledge, except for those matters therein contained stated upon information and belief, and that as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the State of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED this 20 day of August, 2018.

Submitted By: Luba Gonzalez

[redacted]

In Proper Person

MAGGIE STRICKLAND
NVDP20143424
[redacted]

Electronically Filed
2/9/2022 10:08 AM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

BENJAMIN B. CHILDS, ESQ.
State Bar # 3946
[redacted]
Attorney for Plaintiff

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

LUBA GONZALEZ kna LUBA SNOW Plaintiff vs. ENRIQUE IVAN VELAZQUEZ-GONZALEZ Defendant	Case # D-18-575860-D Dept # P Hearing Date Requested

==========

MOTION TO AMEND OR MAKE ADDITIONAL FINDINGS OF FACTS [NRCP 52(b)] AND/OR TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT [NRCP 59(e)] AND/OR CORRECT CLERICAL ERROR [NRCP 60(a) AND (b)]

Plaintiff LUBA SNOW [Luba] moves to alter the ORDER AFTER HEARING [referred to herein as the Order] filed February 8, 2022. [Exhibit 1] This motion is timely filed.

As Luba filed a Chapter 7 bankruptcy on December 15, 2021[1] and the automatic stay is still in effect, no financial issues will be addressed in this motion at this time, solely custody issues.

FACTUAL SUMMARY

Although never named in the Order, the minor child at issue is [redacted] Gonzalez [redacted] born August 7, 2016.

For purposes of this motion, the facts are very simple. A post-trial hearing

[1]. Notice of Bankruptcy filed in this case December 16, 2021.

was conducted on December 7, 2021 to address school issues. Divorce had been granted after a trial on October 11 and 12, 2021 and a Stipulated Decree of Divorce was filed December 8, 2021.

The Order provides on Page 7, Line 25 for the family therapy. However this is both an incomplete and an inaccurate statement of the proceedings and the Court's order from the bench on December 7, 2021. Judge Perry found there was a stipulation for family therapy and if Enrique didn't "go to a counselor and get family therapy, mom is gonna wind up having primary.". The quote from the hearing is below. Since January, Luba proposed a therapist and Enrique responded that he would not participate in family therapy. So Luba has been participating in therapy with [redacted] but without Enrique.

This Motion is intended to address this deficiency in the Order. Further, it addresses the failure of Enrique to participate in the therapy even under the existing order. This is exactly what happened. See Exhibit 2.

Beginning at 11:26:03 of video record :

Judge Perry:

" I know you need help. If this keeps up, one of you is going to end up with primary physical custody and it's the one who most willing to work with the other one. If you (Enrique) are stubborn and don't go to a counselor and get family therapy, mom is gonna wind up having primary. Do you understand? Because she wants to go to therapy."

Enrique:

"I have never refused"

Judge Perry:

"Then why don't the 2 of you come up with the therapist name and in this order… add it to the order that they will try it for 6 months, ok … I … I …won't order but **you put it in there was stipulated between the parties that they will try it for 6 months to see if it will help**."

Thus, the Court expressly acknowledges the stipulation on the record, admonished Enrique, and ordered that it be included in the Order.

LEGAL AUTHORITY

This motion is timely filed to amend or make additional findings of fact or to alter or amend judgment to ensure an accurate record exists or to correct clerical mistake or other mistake.

This motion is authorized by NRCP 52(b), set forth in its entirety below.

> (b) Amended or Additional Findings. On a party's motion filed no later than 28 days after service of written notice of entry of judgment, the court may amend its findings — or make additional findings — and may amend the judgment accordingly. The time for filing the motion cannot be extended under Rule 6(b). The motion may accompany a motion for a new trial under Rule 59.

The alternative relief to alter or amend judgment is authorized by NRCP 59(e), set forth in its entirety below.

> (e) Motion to Alter or Amend a Judgment. A motion to alter or amend a judgment must be filed no later than 28 days after service of written notice of entry of judgment.

Finally, NRCP 60(a) and (b) addressing mistakes in orders also supports this motion. Motions under NRCP 60 must be file within six months of the notice of entry of judgment.

> Rule 60 - Relief From a Judgment or Order
> (a)Corrections Based on Clerical Mistakes; Oversights and Omissions. The court may correct a clerical mistake or a mistake arising from oversight or omission whenever one is found in a judgment, order, or other part of the record. The court may do so on motion or on its own, with or without notice. But after an appeal has been docketed in the