IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LUBA GONZALEZ N/K/A LUBA SNOW A/K/A DACE, Appellant, v. ENRIQUE IVAN VELAZQUEZ-GONZALEZ, Respondent.	)	Supreme Court Case No.: 90570

Electronically Filed
May 05 2026 04:05 PM
Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR REVIEW [SECOND REQUEST]

COMES NOW Enriquez Velazquez-Gonzalez, Respondent, by and through his attorney of record, Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group; and hereby submits this Motion to Extend Time to File Response to Petition for Review [Second Request] until May 22, 2026.

DATED this 5th day of May, 2026.

Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group

By: /s/ Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
9294
[redacted]

Docket 90570 Document 2026-20445

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

NRAP § 31 (b)(3) provides, "A motion for extension of time for filing a brief may be made no later than the due date for the brief and must comply with the provisions of this Rule and Rule 27. Timeliness of the motion is governed by Rule 25(a)(2)."

NRAP § 31 (b)(3)(A) requests the following information be provided:

(i) When the brief is due and was first due: In this matter, the Response was first due on April 22, 2026. A telephone extension was granted and the brief is now due on May 6, 2026.

(ii) The number of extensions previously granted (including any streamlined extension): One (1) extension has previously been granted via telephone.

(iii) Whether any previous extensions have been denied or denied in part: Not Applicable.

(iv) The reasons or grounds why an extension is necessary:

Respondent's Counsel has been providing assistance to a colleague due to a recent medical emergency and is also experiencing staffing difficulties arising from a staff member's recent hospitalization.

The Appellant will not suffer any prejudice by the granting of this request.

(v) The length of the extension requested and when the brief would become due: Respondent, Enriquez Velazquez-Gonzalez, respectfully requests that the

Court grant an extension of the current deadline to May 22, 2026, which constitutes a sixteen (16) day extension from the original deadline.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set-forth above, Respondent, Enriquez Velazquez-Gonzalez, respectfully request the Court grant his request for an extension for the Respondent to file his Response until May 22, 2026.

DATED this 5th day of May, 2026.

Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group

By: /s/ Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
9294
[redacted]

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE (*NRAP* § 32 (a)(9))

1. I hereby certify that this brief complies with the formatting requirements of NRAP 32(a)(4), the typeface requirements of NRAP 32(a)(5) and the type style requirements of NRAP 32(a)(6) because:

☒ This brief has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using Microsoft Word Version 759 in 14 point, Times New Roman; or

☐ This brief has been prepared in a monospaced typeface using [*state name and version of word-processing program*] with [*state number of characters per inch and name of type style*].

2. I further certify that this brief complies with the page- or type-volume limitations of NRAP 40, 40A, or 40B because it is either:

☐ Proportionately spaced, has a typeface of 14 points or more, and contains 759 words; or

☐ Monospaced, has 10.5 or fewer characters per inch, and contains _____ words or _____ lines of text; or

☐ Does not exceed _____ pages.

3. Finally, I hereby certify that I have read this appellate brief, and to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, it is not frivolous or interposed for any improper purpose. I further certify that this brief complies with all applicable Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure,

including NRAP 28(e)(1), if applicable, which requires every assertion in the brief regarding matters in the record to be supported by a reference to the page and volume number, if any, of the transcript or appendix where the matter relied on is to be found. I understand that I may be subject to sanctions in the event that the accompanying brief is not in conformity with the requirements of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure.

DATED this 5th day of May, 2026.

Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group

By: /s/ Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
9294
[redacted]

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, an employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group, hereby certify that on 5th day of May, 2026, I served a true and correct copy of this Motion to Extend Time to File Response to Petition for Review [Second Request] via the Supreme Court's electronic filing and service system (eFlex):

Emily McFarling, Esq.
Attorney for Respondent

By: */s/ Shayna Hall*
Employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law